LA-UR-10-3955 July 2010 EP2010-0271

Completion Report for Regional Aquifer Well R-50



Prepared by the Environmental Programs Directorate

Los Alamos National Laboratory, operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC52-06NA25396, has prepared this document pursuant to the Compliance Order on Consent, signed March 1, 2005. The Compliance Order on Consent contains requirements for the investigation and cleanup, including corrective action, of contamination at Los Alamos National Laboratory. The U.S. government has rights to use, reproduce, and distribute this document. The public may copy and use this document without charge, provided that this notice and any statement of authorship are reproduced on all copies.

LA-UR-10-3955 EP2010-0271

Completion Report for Regional Aquifer Well R-50

July 2010

Responsible project manager:							
Ted Ball	Sheden Fall	Project Manager	Environmental Programs	7/13/10			
Printed Name	Signature	Title	Organization	Date			
Besponsible LANS repre	esentative:						
Bruce Schappell	B-6Schysell	Executive Director	Environmental Programs	7-13-10			
Printed Name	Signature //	Title	Organization	Date			
Responsible DOE repres	sentative:						
Everett Trellinger	C + H = (O)	Federal Project					
Everett i rollinger	Lunth lell	Director	DUE-LASU	7-13-10			
Printed Name	Signature (/	Title	Organization	Date			

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This well completion report describes borehole drilling, well installation, well development, aquifer testing, and dedicated sampling-system installation for regional aquifer well R-50, located south of Mortandad Canyon, Technical Area 05, at Los Alamos National Laboratory in Los Alamos County, New Mexico. This report was written in accordance with the requirements in Section IV.A.3.e.iv of the Compliance Order on Consent. The well was installed at the direction of the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) to monitor groundwater quality and contaminant movement and to define the southern extent of chromium contamination in the vicinity of monitoring wells R-28 and R-42.

The R-50 monitoring well borehole was drilled using dual-rotary air-drilling methods. Drilling fluid additives included potable water and foam. Foam-assisted drilling was used only in the vadose zone and ceased approximately 100 ft above the regional aquifer; only small amounts of potable water were added to the air within the regional aquifer. Additive-free drilling provides minimal impacts to the aquifer and formation. Borehole drilling during the R-50 project was troublesome; the original borehole had to be abandoned because of a broken drill bit at 946 ft below ground surface (bgs). The second R-50 borehole was successfully completed to total depth using casing-advance and open-hole drilling methods.

A retractable 18-in. casing was advanced using dual-rotary methods partially into the Bandelier Tuff to a depth of 190 ft bgs. A 16-in. retractable casing was advanced using dual-rotary methods through the remaining Bandelier Tuff, the Cerro Toledo interval, Otowi Member of the Bandelier Tuff, and Guaje Pumice Bed to 555.1 ft bgs. A 15-in. open borehole was advanced with fluid-assisted air-rotary methods and a downhole hammer bit through the Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks and into the Puye Formation sediments to a depth of 895 ft bgs. Twelve-inch casing was then advanced with a tricone bit to a total depth of 1224.5 ft bgs in Miocene pumiceous sediments.

Well R-50 was completed as a dual-screen well to evaluate water quality and to measure water levels at two discrete depth intervals within the regional aquifer. The upper 10-ft-long screened interval is set with the top of the screen at 1077 ft bgs within the Puye Formation, and the lower 20-ft-long screened interval is set with the top of the screen at 1185 ft bgs within Miocene pumiceous sediments. The composite depth to water after well installation and well development was 1066.8 ft bgs. The well screens are separated by a packer as part of the permanent sampling system to ensure isolation of each groundwater-bearing zone.

The well was completed in accordance with an NMED-approved well design and was thoroughly developed; groundwater at both screened intervals met target water-quality parameters. Hydrogeologic testing indicated that both screened intervals in monitoring well R-50 are productive and will perform effectively to meet the planned objectives. Water-level transducers will be placed in both well screens in the R-50 monitoring well, and groundwater sampling will be performed as part of the facility-wide groundwater-monitoring program.

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1			
2.0	PRELIMINARY ACTIVITIES. 2.1 Administrative Preparation 2.2 Site Preparation	1 2 2		
3.0	DRILLING ACTIVITIES. 3.1 Drilling Approach	2 3		
4.0	SAMPLING ACTIVITIES	5 5 6		
5.0	GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY 5.1 Stratigraphy 5.2 Groundwater	6 8		
6.0	BOREHOLE LOGGING 6.1 Video Logging 6.2 Geophysical Logging	 9 9 9		
7.0	WELL INSTALLATION R-50 MONITORING WELL 7.1 Well Design	 9 9 9		
7.0	WELL INSTALLATION R-50 MONITORING WELL 7.1 Well Design	9 9 10 11 12 12 12 13 13 13		
7.0 8.0 9.0	WELL INSTALLATION R-50 MONITORING WELL 7.1 Well Design	9 9 11 12 12 13 13 13 13 13		
7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0	WELL INSTALLATION R-50 MONITORING WELL 7.1 Well Design	9 9 10 11 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 14 14		

Figures

Figure 1.0-1	Location of regional monitoring well R-50	17
Figure 5.1-1	Regional monitoring well R-50 borehole stratigraphy	18
Figure 7.2-1	Regional monitoring well R-50 as-built well construction diagram	19
Figure 8.3-1a	As-built schematic for regional monitoring well R-50	21
Figure 8.3-1b	As-built technical notes for regional monitoring well R-50	22

Tables

Table 3.1-1	Fluid Quantities Used during R-50 Drilling and Well Construction	. 23
Table 4.2-1	Summary of Groundwater Screening Samples Collected during Drilling, Well Development, and Aquifer Testing of Well R-50	.24
Table 6.0-1	R-50 Video and Geophysical Logging Runs	.25
Table 7.2-1	R-50 Monitoring Well Annular Fill Materials	. 25
Table 8.5-1	R-50 Survey Coordinates	.25
Table 8.6-1	Summary of Waste Samples Collected during Drilling and Development of R-50	.26

Appendixes

Appendix A	Borehole R-50 Lithologic Log
Appendix B	Groundwater Analytical Results
Appendix C	Aquifer Testing Report
Appendix D	Borehole Video Logging (on DVD included with this document)

Appendix E Geophysical Logs and Schlumberger Geophysical Logging Report (on CD included with this document)

Acronyms and Abbreviations

APS	Accelerator Porosity Sonde (Schlumberger geophysical logging tool)
amsl	above mean sea level
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
bgs	below ground surface
Consent Order	Compliance Order on Consent
DO	dissolved oxygen
ECS	Elemental Capture Spectroscopy Sonde (Schlumberger geophysical logging tool)
EES-14	Earth and Environmental Sciences Group 14
Eh	oxidation-reduction potential
EP	Environmental Programs Directorate
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency (U.S.)
F	filtered
FD	field duplicate
FTB	field trip blank
gpm	gallons per minute
HNGS	Hostile Environment Natural Gamma Sonde (Schlumberger geophysical logging tool)
hp	horsepower
I.D.	inside diameter
LANL	Los Alamos National Laboratory
mV	millivolt
NAD	North American Datum
NMED	New Mexico Environment Department
NMSW	New Mexico Special Waste
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
O.D.	outside diameter
ORP	oxidation-reduction potential
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
Qbo	Otowi Member of the Bandelier Tuff
Qbog	Guaje Pumice Bed of Otowi Member of the Bandelier Tuff
Qbt	Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff
Qct	Cerro Toledo interval
RPF	Records Processing Facility
SOP	standard operating procedure

ТА	technical area
Tb4	Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks
TD	total depth
TLD	Triple Detector Lithodensity (Schlumberger geophysical logging tool)
тос	total organic carbon
Tpf	Puye Formation
Tsfu	Miocene pumiceous sediments
UF	unfiltered
VOC	volatile organic compound
WCSF	waste characterization strategy form
WES-EDA	Waste and Environmental Services Division-Environmental Data and Analysis

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This completion report summarizes borehole drilling, geophysical logging, well construction, well development, aquifer testing, and dedicated sampling system installation for regional aquifer groundwater monitoring well R-50. The report is written in accordance with the requirements in Section IV.A.3.e.iv of the Compliance Order on Consent (the Consent Order). The first R-50 borehole was abandoned because of a broken drill bit at 946 ft below ground surface (bgs). The second successful R-50 monitoring well borehole was drilled from December 5, 2009, to January 25, 2010, and the well was completed from February 1 to 13, 2010, at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL or the Laboratory) for the Environmental Programs (EP) Directorate.

The R-50 project site is located on the mesa top to the south of Mortandad Canyon within Laboratory Technical Area 05 (TA-05) (Figure 1.0-1). The purpose of the R-50 well is to investigate the southern extent of chromium contamination detected in regional aquifer wells R-42 and R-28 (Figure 1.0-1). Additionally, the well will be used to test for hydrologic communication between R-50, R-42, R-28, R-44, R-45, and R-13.

The primary objective of the drilling activities at R-50 was to drill and install a dual-screen regional aquifer monitoring well. Water-level transducers in the upper and lower screened intervals will be used to evaluate hydraulic connections between this monitoring well, other monitoring wells, and nearby water-supply well PM-5. Secondary objectives were to collect drill-cutting samples, conduct borehole geophysical logging, and investigate potential perched groundwater zones.

The R-50 borehole was drilled to a total depth (TD) of 1224.5 ft bgs. During drilling, cuttings samples were collected at 5-ft intervals in the borehole from ground surface to TD. A monitoring well with two screens was installed. The upper 10-ft screen interval is set between 1077 and 1087 ft bgs, and the lower 20-ft-long screen interval is set between 1185 and 1205.6 ft bgs. The composite depth to water after well installation was 1066.8 ft bgs on February 17, 2010.

Postinstallation activities included well development, aquifer testing, surface completion, geodetic surveying, and dedicated sampling-system installation. Future activities will include site restoration and waste management.

The information presented in this report was compiled from field reports, logbooks, and daily activity summaries. Records, including field reports, field logs, and survey information, are on file at the Laboratory's Records Processing Facility (RPF). This report contains brief descriptions of activities and supporting figures, tables, and appendices completed to date associated with the R-50 project. Information on radioactive materials and radionuclides, including the results of sampling and analysis of radioactive constituents, is voluntarily provided to NMED in accordance with U.S. Department of Energy policy.

2.0 PRELIMINARY ACTIVITIES

Preliminary activities included preparing administrative planning documents and preparing the drill site and drill pad. All preparatory activities were completed in accordance with Laboratory policies and procedures and regulatory requirements.

2.1 Administrative Preparation

The following documents helped guide the implementation of the scope of work for the R-50 project:

- "Drilling Work Plan for Regional Aquifer Well R-50" (LANL 2009, 107461)
- "Drilling Plan for Regional Aquifer Well R-50" (TerranearPMC 2009, 108564)
- "Integrated Work Document for Regional and Intermediate Aquifer Well Drilling (Mobilization, Site Preparation and Setup Stages)" (LANL 2007, 100972)
- Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan Addendum (LANL 2006, 092600)
- "Waste Characterization Strategy Form for Regional Well for Chromium Investigation, R-50 (Mortandad Canyon), Regional Groundwater Well Installation and Corehole Drilling" (LANL 2009, 107445)

2.2 Site Preparation

The drill pad was prepared by Laboratory personnel several weeks before mobilizing the drill rig, air compressors, trailers, and support vehicles to the drill site on October 28 through 30, 2009. Additionally, alternative drilling tools and construction materials were staged at the Pajarito Road lay-down yard.

Potable water was obtained from a fire hydrant on Puye Road. Safety barriers and signs were installed around the borehole cuttings containment pit and along the perimeter of the work area.

3.0 DRILLING ACTIVITIES

This section describes the drilling strategy and approach and provides a chronological summary of field activities conducted at monitoring well R-50.

3.1 Drilling Approach

The drilling method and selection of equipment and drill-casing sizes for the R-50 monitoring well were designed to retain the ability to investigate and case-off potential perched groundwater zones above the regional aquifer, although perched water was not anticipated at this mesa-top site between relatively dry canyons. The approach also ensured that a sufficiently sized drill casing was used to meet the required 2-in. minimum annular thickness of the filter pack around a 5.56-in.-outside diameter (O.D.) well.

Dual-rotary air-drilling methods using a Foremost DR-24HD drill rig were employed to drill the R-50 borehole. Dual-rotary drilling has the advantage of simultaneously advancing and casing the borehole. The Foremost DR-24HD drill rig was equipped with conventional drilling rods, tricone bits, downhole hammer bits, a deck-mounted air compressor, and general drilling equipment. Auxiliary equipment included two Sullair trailer-mounted air compressors. Three sizes of A53 grade B flush-welded mild carbon-steel casing (18-in., 16-in., and 12-in.-inside diameter [I.D.]) were used for the R-50 project.

The dual-rotary technique at R-50 used filtered compressed air and fluid-assisted air to evacuate cuttings from the borehole during drilling. Drilling fluids, other than air, used in the borehole (all within the vadose zone) included potable water and a mixture of potable water with Baroid AQF-2 foaming agent. The fluids were used to cool the bit and help lift cuttings from the borehole. Use of foaming agents was terminated at 960 ft bgs, roughly 100 ft above the predicted top of the regional aquifer. No additives other than

potable water were used for drilling below this depth (960 ft bgs). Total amounts of drilling fluids introduced into the borehole are presented in Table 3.1-1.

3.2 Chronological Drilling Activities for the R-50 Well

Mobilization of drilling equipment and supplies to the R-50 drill site occurred from October 28 to October 30, 2009. Decontamination of the equipment and tooling was performed before mobilization to the site. On October 31, following on-site equipment inspections, the first R-50 monitoring well borehole was initiated at 1320 h using dual-rotary methods with 18-in. drill casing and a 17-in. (17.25-in.) tricone roller bit.

Drilling Activities at Original Borehole

Drilling and advancing 18-in. casing proceeded rapidly through alluvium and the upper portion of the Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff. Drilling continued to 187.5 ft bgs where the 18-in. drill casing was landed on November 2, 2009. No indications of groundwater were observed while advancing the 18-in. casing.

On November 3, a string of 16-in. drill casing was started into the borehole. Drilling using dual-rotary methods with the 16-in. casing string and a 15-in. hammer bit started on November 4 at 187.5 ft bgs. Drilling progressed through the remaining portion of the Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff, the Otowi Member ash flows, Guaje Pumice Bed, and the top of the Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks. The 16-in. casing was advanced a few feet into the Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks and was landed at a depth of 559.8 ft bgs on November 6. No indications of groundwater were observed while advancing the 16-in. casing.

Open-hole drilling with a 15-in. hammer bit commenced late in the day of November 6 (1110 h). Drilling progressed smoothly to a depth of 659.5 ft bgs on November 7, at which time the hammer bit ceased firing and erratic performance was noted. The tool string was removed from the borehole, and the bottom bit assembly of the hammer was observed to be absent. The bit was broken at the bit shank. Two video logs and several trips with various overshot alignment and fishing tools were required to fish the broken bit out of the borehole. The broken bit was recovered on the night shift of November 8.

Open-hole drilling resumed with a new hammer bit on November 9 to a depth of 687 ft bgs, just below where a scoria/rubble deposit was encountered. Loose rubble falling into the borehole from above continually trapped the tool string and necessitated cementing the rubble zone in an effort to regain stability. On November 10, 665 gal. of sand grout (Portland cement with a minor amount of silica sand) was installed in the bottom of the borehole at 687 ft bgs. Three more batches of sand grout were installed in the borehole on November 11 (485 gal.), early on November 12 (531 gal.), and late on November 12 (606 gal.) because of cement losses to the formation. Drilling out the cement with a 15-in. (14.75-in.) tricone roller bit and redirecting the cement discharge into rolloff bins took place on November 13. The tricone bit was removed from the tool string and replaced with a 15-in. hammer bit to address slow penetration at a depth of 680 ft bgs.

Drilling progressed smoothly to a depth of 946 ft bgs on November 14, at which time the hammer bit again ceased firing. The tool string was removed from the borehole and the bit assembly of the hammer was observed to be absent with the bit shank again at fault. Between November 14 and December 3, multiple video runs and fishing efforts were conducted 24-h a day without success. Fishing efforts were seriously hampered by the fact that no clear video images of the missing tool were observed. The tool remained obscured by sediments despite repeated efforts to circulate air and clean it off. Without knowing

the tool's true orientation in the bottom of the hole and having reached a calculated point of diminishing returns, the decision was made on December 3 to abandon the first hole and re-drill a new hole.

Drilling Activities at R-50 Borehole

The second R-50 borehole was located approximately 50 ft northwest of the first borehole and was initiated on December 5. The drilling approach for the second borehole was identical to the first borehole. Between December 5 and December 14, a string of 18-in. casing was advanced to 190.7 ft bgs. Between December 14 and December 18, a string of 16-in. casing was advanced to 475 ft bgs when operations were suspended for the Laboratory holiday closure. After the holiday break, on January 4, 2010, the 16-in. (16.75-in.) casing string was advanced using a 15-in. tricone bit and landed at 555.1 ft bgs, 10 ft into the top of the Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks.

The tricone bit was removed from the borehole on January 6, 2010, to prepare for open-hole drilling in the volcanic rocks with a 15-in. hammer bit. Upon removal from the hole, the tricone bit was observed to have an unusual wear pattern and was missing several carbide buttons. A video log was run in the borehole on January 6, and the 16-in. casing was observed to be missing the drive shoe. Two fishing runs were conducted on January 7 using a high-strength fishing magnet below a junk basket, and only a small quantity of steel shavings was recovered from the bottom of the borehole. Open-hole drilling resumed on January 8 with the 15-in. hammer bit, but progress was abnormally slow. The hammer bit was removed from the hole, and a physical measurement of the drilled depth was made and recorded as 570 ft bgs. While the measurement was being made, a 2-ft stainless-steel weight detached from the tagline and was left in the bottom of the hole. Fishing for the stainless-steel weight occurred on January 9 and 10 without success.

Late in the shift on January 10, the decision was made to place cement in the bottom of the hole and drill through the cement, the stainless-steel weight, and whatever was left of the 16-in. casing shoe with a milling tool. Approximately 40 gal. of neat Portland cement was emplaced at the bottom of the hole at 570 ft bgs on January 10. A brief test of the cement in the borehole with the milling tool on January 11 indicated the cement had not cured sufficiently. The milling tool was advanced through the cement and 3 ft into massive basalt to 573.1 ft bgs on January 12. Stainless-steel shavings were observed in the discharge, and the milling tool was removed from the hole, but the field crew was not optimistic that the entire piece of stainless-steel tagline weight had been cleared from the borehole.

Since the milling tool was smaller (13.62 in.) than the borehole (15.88 in.), a 15-in. reaming bit and a 15-in. tricone bit were used in the borehole on January 13 and 14 to ream the hole closer to the gauge of the hammer bit (15.88 in.) and to potentially mill any pieces of the stainless-steel tagline weight that may have remained. The milling and reaming operations, in conjunction with encountering hard, massive volcanic rocks, were successful at removing most of the stainless steel from the borehole. While a substantial amount of metal was observed in the discharge, the fishing magnet was also run in the borehole twice and recovered various pieces of carbon steel from the missing 16-in. casing shoe on both trips. Ultimately, it is believed that the metal in the borehole and the lost 16-in. casing shoe resulted from advancing the 16-in. casing too far into the top of the (weathered) Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks.

Open-hole drilling with the 15-in. hammer bit resumed on January 15 at 573 ft bgs, and unstable borehole conditions were encountered almost immediately. The borehole was advanced to 601 ft bgs into a scoria/rubble interval. Approximately 400 gal. of neat Portland cement was placed in the borehole on January 16. Drilling out the cement with a 15-in. tricone roller bit and redirecting the cement discharge into rolloff bins took place on January 17. The tricone bit was removed from the tool string and replaced with a 15-in. hammer bit to remedy the slow penetration encountered at a depth of 615 ft bgs. Drilling conditions between 601 and 615 ft bgs were also observed to be unstable, with poor circulation and few

returns at the surface. Another cementing operation was undertaken and approximately 400 gal. of neat Portland cement was placed in the borehole at 615 ft bgs on January 17. The 15-in. hammer bit was used to redrill the cemented interval and advance the borehole to 675 ft bgs on January 18. On January 19 and 20 the borehole was advanced through the Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks to 895 ft bgs without any further stability issues. The bottom of the Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks was encountered at 892 ft bgs, and the decision was made to discontinue open-hole drilling methods. The 15-in. hammer bit was removed from the hole. The 16-in. casing was cut at 538.1 ft bgs, approximately 17 ft above the bottom of the casing string on January 20.

A welded string of 12-in. (12.75-in.-O.D.) casing was started in the borehole on January 20 and reached the bottom of the hole at 895 ft bgs on January 23. Dual-rotary drilling with 12-in. casing and a 12-in. tricone roller bit commenced on January 23. Use of the foaming agent was discontinued at 960 ft bgs. The R-50 borehole was drilled to a TD of 1224.5 ft bgs early in the morning (0100 h) of January 25. No problems were encountered during the final portion of dual-rotary casing advance drilling.

During drilling, field crews worked two 12-h shifts each day, 7 d/wk. The R-50 drilling operations encountered numerous difficulties and delays. Difficulties associated with broken tools in the first borehole were the principal issue, but winter weather, unconsolidated rubble and scoria zones, lost circulation, and the holiday break also played roles in hampering progress.

Abandonment of Original Borehole

The abandonment of the first borehole took place in stages. The entire string of 18-in. casing was removed from the borehole on December 4 and 5, 2009, before the drill rig was repositioned on the second hole. One 20-ft stick of 16-in. casing was also removed from the first borehole on December 5 to expose the top of the Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks for geophysical and video logging. Geophysical and video logging with Laboratory equipment took place on December 17. After logging operations were completed, a Pulstar work-over rig was set up over the first borehole. The bottom portion of the borehole was abandoned on December 18 with 18 yd³ of sand grout consisting of Portland cement with a minor amount of silica sand. The remaining 16-in. casing was removed between January 6 and 8, 2010, after the holiday break. An additional 15 yd³ of sand grout was emplaced on January 7, and 18 yd³ was added on January 8 to complete the abandonment of the original borehole from 0 to 946 ft bgs.

4.0 SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

This section describes the cuttings and groundwater sampling activities for monitoring well R-50. All sampling activities were conducted in accordance with applicable quality procedures.

4.1 Cuttings Sampling

Bulk cuttings samples were collected from the original R-50 borehole at 5-ft intervals from ground surface to 946 ft bgs; bulk samples were collected from the second borehole from ground surface to the TD of 1224.5 ft bgs. At each interval, approximately 500 mL of bulk cuttings was collected by the site geologist from the drilling discharge cyclone, placed in resealable plastic bags, labeled, and archived in core boxes. Sieved fractions (>#10 and >#35 mesh) were also collected from ground surface to total depth and placed in chip trays along with unsieved (whole rock) cuttings. Sieved chip tray samples were collected from the first borehole from 0–945 ft bgs; sieved chip tray samples were collected from the second borehole from 945–1224.5 ft bgs. Cuttings recovery was 100% for both R-50 boreholes. Radiation control technicians screened cuttings before removal from the site. All screening measurements were within the range of

background values. The core boxes and chip trays were delivered to the Laboratory's archive at the conclusion of drilling activities.

The stratigraphy encountered at R-50 is summarized in section 5.1, and a detailed lithologic log is presented in Appendix A.

4.2 Water Sampling

A groundwater-screening sample was collected from the drilling discharge on January 24 at 1090.0 ft bgs near the top of the regional aquifer. A second groundwater screening sample was collected on January 25 from the drilling discharge at 1224.0 ft bgs. These two samples were collected after water introduction was halted and air-only circulation was conducted. As the discharge cleared, the water samples were collected directly from the discharge cyclone. The screening samples were analyzed at the Laboratory's Earth and Environmental Sciences Group 14 (EES-14) laboratory for cations, anions, perchlorate, and metals. Table 4.2-1 presents a summary of screening samples collected during the R-50 monitoring-well installation project. Groundwater chemistry and field water-quality parameters are discussed in Appendix B.

Additionally, 14 groundwater screening samples were collected during well development and aquifer testing from the pump's discharge line and analyzed by EES-14 for only total organic carbon (TOC).

Groundwater characterization samples will be collected from the completed well in accordance with the Consent Order. For the first year, the samples will be analyzed for the full suite of constituents, including radioactive elements; anions/cations; general inorganic chemicals; volatile and semivolatile organic compounds; and stable isotopes of hydrogen, nitrogen, and oxygen. The analytical results will be included in the appropriate periodic monitoring report issued by the Laboratory. After the first year, the analytical suite and sample frequency at R-50 will be evaluated and presented in the annual Interim Facility-Wide Groundwater Monitoring Plan.

5.0 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

A brief description of the geologic and hydrogeologic features encountered at R-50 is presented below. The Laboratory's geology task leader and project site geologists examined cuttings and geophysical logs to determine geologic contacts and hydrogeologic conditions. Drilling observations, video logging, water-level measurements, and geophysical logs were used to characterize groundwater occurrences encountered at R-50.

5.1 Stratigraphy

Stratigraphic units for the R-50 borehole, drilled to a depth of 1224.5 ft bgs, are presented below in order of occurrence from younger to older units. Lithologic descriptions are based on binocular microscope analysis of drill cuttings samples collected from the discharge hose. Cuttings and borehole geophysical logs were used to identify unit contacts. Figure 5.1-1 illustrates the stratigraphy at R-50. A detailed lithologic log is presented in Appendix A.

Fill (0-4 ft bgs)

Fill consisting of mixed constituents including abundant quartzite and rounded volcanic pebbles (typical of construction base-course gravel) and tuffaceous debris was encountered from 0 ft to approximately 4 ft bgs.

Unit 2, Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff, Qbt 2 (4-45 ft bgs)

Unit 2 of the Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff was intersected from 4 to 45 ft bgs and is at least 41 ft thick in the R-50 borehole area. Unit 2 represents a moderately welded rhyolitic ash-flow tuff (i.e., ignimbrite) that is composed of abundant (up to 30% by volume) quartz and sanidine crystals, moderately compressed devitrified pumice lapilli, and minor volcanic lithic fragments set in a matrix (up to 60% by volume) of weathered ash. Cuttings typically contain abundant fragments of indurated tuff and numerous free quartz and sanidine crystals.

Unit 1v, Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff, Qbt 1v (45-150 ft bgs)

Unit 1v of the Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff occurs from 45 ft to 150 ft bgs and is locally 105 ft thick. Unit 1v is a poorly to moderately welded rhyolitic ash-flow tuff that is pumiceous, generally lithic-poor, and crystal-bearing to locally crystal-rich. Abundant ash matrix is locally preserved in cuttings. Cuttings commonly contain numerous fragments of indurated crystal-rich tuff with compressed, strongly devitrified pumice lapilli. Abundant free quartz and sanidine crystals and minor small (generally less than 10 mm in diameter) volcanic lithic inclusions also occur in cuttings.

Unit 1g, Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff, Qbt 1g (150-230 ft bgs)

Unit 1g of the Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff was intersected in the R-50 borehole from 150 ft to 230 ft bgs and has an estimated thickness of 80 ft. Unit 1g is a poorly welded rhyolitic ash-flow tuff that is strongly pumiceous, crystal-bearing, and lithic-poor. Unit 1g cuttings locally exhibit abundant ash matrix and less frequent fragments of indurated tuff than in Unit 2, suggesting generally poor welding. The pumice-rich interval from 210 ft to 230 ft bgs suggests a possible air-fall tephra deposit, the Tsankawi Pumice Bed, forms the base of the Tshirege Member.

Cerro Toledo Interval, Qct (230-247 ft bgs)

The Cerro Toledo interval, a layer of poorly consolidated volcaniclastic sediments that occurs stratigraphically between the Tshirege and Otowi Members of the Bandelier Tuff, is present from 230 ft to 247 ft bgs. Cerro Toledo deposits are estimated to be 17 ft thick. Locally, these sediments consist of poorly sorted pebble gravels with silty fine to coarse sands composed of volcanic and tuffaceous debris. Commonly subrounded detrital clasts are composed of various (predominantly hornblende-phyric) dacites, flow-banded rhyolite, andesite, abundant vitric pumices, and quartz and sanidine crystals.

Otowi Member of the Bandelier Tuff, Qbo (247-505 ft bgs)

The Otowi Member of the Bandelier Tuff is present in the R-50 section from 247 ft to 505 ft bgs and is estimated to be 258 ft thick. The Otowi Member is a poorly welded rhyolitic ash-flow tuff (i.e., ignimbrite) that is pumiceous, crystal-bearing, and locally lithic-rich. Abundant pale orange to white pumice lapilli noted in cuttings are typically glassy, with quartz and sanidine phenocrysts. Orange pumices denoting weak oxidation and iron-oxide staining are most common in the upper part of the Otowi section. Locally abundant volcanic lithics or xenoliths (up to 19 mm in diameter) occur in cuttings as subangular to subrounded fragments of intermediate composition, including porphyritic dacites and andesite. Cuttings locally exhibit abundant fine volcanic ash and numerous quartz and sanidine crystals.

Guaje Pumice Bed of the Otowi Member of the Bandelier Tuff, Qbog (505–525 ft bgs)

The Guaje Pumice Bed occurs from 505 ft to 525 ft bgs and has an estimated local thickness of 20 ft. This pumice- and ash-fall tephra deposit forms the base of the Otowi Member. The unit contains

abundant (up to 100% by volume) rounded, lustrous, vitric, phenocryst-poor pumice lapilli with trace occurrences of small volcanic lithic fragments and quartz and sanidine crystals.

Puye Formation, Tpf (525–545 ft bgs)

A thin upper section of the Puye Formation was encountered in R-50 from 525 ft to 545 ft bgs and is estimated to be 20 ft thick. Upper Puye volcaniclastic sediments consist of moderately to poorly sorted, moderately cemented, fine to coarse gravels with silty fine to coarse sand. Subrounded to well-rounded detrital constituents are predominantly composed of gray porphyritic dacites, less abundant black vitrophyre, vesicular basalt, minor pumice, and quartz and sanidine crystals.

Cerros del Rio Volcanic Rocks, Tb4 (545-890 ft bgs)

The Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks (formerly Cerros del Rio basalt), encountered in R-50 from 545 ft to 890 ft bgs, locally forms a varied sequence of lavas, tephras, and volcanic sedimentary deposits, primarily of basaltic composition. The cumulative thickness of the Tb 4 series is approximately 345 ft. The upper part of the sequence, from 545 ft to 580 ft bgs, is composed of a 35-ft-thick olivine-clinopyroxene basalt flow with a thin vesicular, rubbly, clay-rich top. The mid-portion of the Cerros del Rio section, from 580 ft to 750 ft bgs, consists of complexly interlayered thin basaltic lavas, cinder deposits, and basaltic sediments that locally exhibit trace granular occurrences of pumice and quartzite. One or more phenocryst-poor basalt lava(s), with possible interflow sediments or tephras, is inferred from 750 ft to 880 ft bgs. The base of the Tb 4 section, from 880 to 890 ft bgs, consists of volcanic sediments with detritus of basaltic to intermediate-composition detritus.

Puye Formation, Tpf (890–1155 ft bgs)

A lower section of the Puye Formation was intersected from 890 ft to 1155 ft bgs. These volcaniclastic sediments consist of poorly sorted to unsorted, moderately indurated, medium to coarse gravels with silty fine to coarse sand. Subrounded to well-rounded detrital constituents throughout the typical Puye section are predominantly composed of gray biotite- and/or hornblende-phyric dacites and locally minor abundances of white pumice.

Miocene Pumiceous Sediments, Tsfu (1155-1224.5 ft bgs)

A pumice-rich volcaniclastic section, preliminarily referred to as Miocene pumiceous sediments, was intersected from 1155 ft to the bottom of the R-50 borehole, at 1224.5 ft bgs. This unassigned unit, locally interfingered with Puye sediments, has a minimum thickness of 69.5 ft. These sediments consist of fine to medium gravels with fine to coarse sand that are moderately to poorly sorted, weakly cemented, and contain detrital pumices making up 50% or more (locally as much as 90%) by volume.

5.2 Groundwater

Drilling proceeded without any groundwater indications until 1090.0 ft bgs in the Puye Formation. Water production was minimal (less than 5 gallons per minute [gpm]) at 1090.0 ft bgs. The borehole was then advanced to a TD of 1224.5 ft bgs, where the groundwater production rate was estimated at 50 gpm. Measured water levels indicated a stabilized depth to water of 1069.4 ft bgs on January 28, 2010, before well installation.

6.0 BOREHOLE LOGGING

Several video logs and a several suites of geophysical logs were collected during the R-50 drilling project. A summary of video and geophysical logging runs is presented in Table 6.0-1.

6.1 Video Logging

Video logs were run to a depth of 658 ft bgs on November 7, 2009, to a depth 936 ft bgs on November 15, and again to 939 ft bgs on November 18 in the first R-50 borehole as part of bit-fishing operations. None of these video runs aided in bit recovery because of murky drilling water in the borehole.

A final video log was run in the first R-50 borehole to a depth of 939 ft bgs before abandonment, with the 16-in. drill casing retracted to 537.8 ft bgs on December 17, 2009. The video verified no perched intermediate water entering the borehole. The video log is presented on DVD as Appendix D included with this document.

In the replacement R-50 borehole, a video survey was run to verify a successful cut in the 12-in. drill casing at 1220.0 ft bgs on January 28, 2010.

Table 6.0-1 details the video logging runs.

6.2 Geophysical Logging

A natural gamma ray log was run in the first R-50 borehole using the Laboratory's geophysical equipment on December 17, 2009, before abandonment. A final natural gamma ray log was run in the second R-50 borehole on January 28, 2010, before well construction started. Details of the logging operations are presented in Table 6.0-1.

A suite of Schlumberger geophysical logs was run inside the drill casing in the second borehole on January 25, 2010. At the time of logging, the terminations of the three casing strings in the R-50 borehole were located at the following depths: 18-in. casing at 190.7 ft bgs, 16-in. casing at 555.1 ft bgs, and 12-in. casing at 1224.5 ft bgs. The geophysical suite included the following tools: Triple Detector Lithodensity (TLD); Accelerator Porosity Sonde (APS); natural and spectral gamma logs (Hostile Environment Natural Gamma Sonde [HNGS]), and Elemental Capture Spectroscopy Sonde (ECS). Interpretation and details of the logging are presented on CD in the geophysical logging report as Appendix E.

7.0 WELL INSTALLATION R-50 MONITORING WELL

The R-50 well was installed between February 1 and February 13, 2010.

7.1 Well Design

The R-50 well was designed in accordance with the approved drilling work plan. NMED approved the well design before the well was installed. The well was designed with dual screens to monitor groundwater quality near the top of the regional aquifer within Puye Formation sediments from 1077 to 1087 ft bgs and deeper in the aquifer within Miocene pumiceous sediments from 1185 to 1205 ft bgs.

7.2 Well Construction

The R-50 monitoring well was constructed of 5.0-in. I.D./5.56-in. O.D., type A304 passivated stainlesssteel threaded casing fabricated to American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) A312 standards. Screened sections utilized three 10-ft lengths of 5.0-in.-I.D. rod-based 0.020-in. wire-wrapped screens to make up the 10-ft-long upper and 20-ft-long lower well-screen intervals. Compatible external stainless-steel couplings (also type A304 stainless steel fabricated to ASTM A312 standards) were used to join all individual casing and screen sections. The coupled unions between the threaded sections were approximately 0.7 ft long. All casing, couplings, and screens were steam- and pressure-washed on-site before installation. A 2-in. I.D. threaded/coupled steel tremie pipe (decontaminated before use) was utilized to deliver backfill and annular fill materials downhole during well construction. Short lengths of 12-in. drill casing (4.5-ft casing and shoe, at a depth of 1220.0 to 1224.5 ft bgs) and 16-in. drill casing (17-ft casing and shoe, at a depth of 538.1 to 555.1 ft bgs) remain in the borehole. The 12-in. casing stub was encased in the lowermost bentonite seal, while the 16-in. casing stub was encased in the upper bentonite seal.

An 11.8-ft stainless-steel sump was placed below the bottom of the lower well screen. Stainless-steel centralizers (four sets of four) were welded to the well casing approximately 2.0 ft above and below each screen. A Pulstar work-over rig was used for well-construction activities. Figure 7.2-1 presents an as-built schematic showing construction details for the completed well.

Decontamination of the stainless-steel well casing and screen took place from January 31 to February 1, along with mobilization of initial well-construction materials to the site.

On February 1, at 1325 h the 5-in. stainless-steel well casing began to be lowered into the wellbore. After the well casing was landed at 1217.5 ft bgs, annular materials began to be added on February 4. A lower seal composed of 3/8-in. bentonite chips (3.7 ft³) was placed from 1210.9 to 1221.4 ft bgs. A 10/20 silica sand filter pack was installed from 1179.8 to 1210.9 ft bgs and surged to promote compaction (total 10/20 sand: 41.4 ft³). A short 20/40 silica sand transition collar on top the filter pack was placed from 1176.9 to 1179.8 ft bgs (total 20/40 sand: 3.3 ft³). Installation of annular fill materials was temporarily suspended on February 6 to deploy an inflatable packer inside the well casing between the two screens. The inflatable packer was deployed before the middle bentonite seal was installed to isolate the more productive lower screen zone from the relatively low-producing upper screen zone.

A seal separating the two screened intervals was added from 1092.2 to 1176.9 ft bgs consisting of 3/8-in. bentonite chips (66.7 ft³). The inflatable packer was removed from the well casing on February 7 before the upper filter pack was emplaced. The upper screen filter pack of 10/20 silica sand was then installed from 1072.3 to 1092.2 ft bgs and surged to promote compaction (total 10/20 sand: 21.3 ft³). The upper filter pack was then capped with a short 20/40 silica sand transition collar from 1069.2 to 1072.3 ft bgs (total 20/40 sand: 1.8 ft³).

The well's upper bentonite seal (3/8-in. chips) was installed on February 8 to 12 from 302 to 1069.2 ft bgs using a total of 714.6 ft³ of bentonite chips. The final surface seal of neat Portland cement was placed above the upper bentonite seal from 3.0 to 302 ft bgs. Well construction was completed on February 13. Table 7.2-1 summarizes volumes of all materials used during well construction.

Operationally, well construction proceeded smoothly, 24 h/d, 7 d/wk, from February 1 to 13.

8.0 POSTINSTALLATION ACTIVITIES

Following well installation, the well was developed and aquifer pumping tests were conducted. The wellhead and surface pad were constructed, a geodetic survey was performed, and a dedicated sampling system has been installed. Site restoration activities will be completed following the final disposition of contained drill cuttings and groundwater, per the NMED-approved waste-disposal decision trees.

8.1 Well Development

Well development was conducted between February 14 and 27, 2010. Initially, both screened intervals were bailed and swabbed to remove formation fines in the filter pack and well sump. Bailing continued until water clarity visibly improved. Final development of each screen was then performed with a submersible pump.

The swabbing tool employed was a 4.5-in.-O.D., 1-in.-thick nylon disc attached to a weighted steel rod. The wireline-conveyed tool was repeatedly drawn across the screened intervals causing a surging action across the screen and filter pack. The bailing tool used was a 4.0-in.-O.D. by 21.0-ft-long carbon-steel bailer with a total capacity of 12 gal. The tool was lowered by wireline and repeatedly filled, withdrawn from the hole, and dumped into the cuttings pit. Approximately 1374 gal. of groundwater was removed during bailing activities. After bailing, a 5-horsepower (hp), 4-in. submersible pump was used for well development of each screen.

During the pumping stage of well development, turbidity, temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), and specific conductance parameters were measured. In addition, water samples for TOC analysis were collected. The required values for TOC and turbidity to determine adequate well development are less than 2.0 parts per million (ppm) and less than 5 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU), respectively. Table B-1.2-1 shows the purge volumes and field parameters measured during well development.

Upper Screen

The pumping assembly was removed from the well on February 18, and a 5-hp Berkley submersible pump was installed in the pump shroud after difficulties with the Grundfos pump were encountered. The upper screen was purged from bottom to top in 2-ft increments from 1087 to 1077 ft bgs. Additional pumping was conducted on February 19 and 20. Because the pump was not properly submerged at the upper screened interval and to prevent it from breaking suction and cavitating, it was lowered to 12 ft below the upper screen at 1099.2 ft bgs. The packer was inflated and an additional 1470 gal. was purged at pumping rates between 1.3 and 2.2 gpm. Approximately 3468 gal. of groundwater was purged during the initial phase of development at the upper well screen.

Lower Screen

On February 21 and 22, the same pump used for the upper screen development was reconfigured without a pump shroud and with a packer above the pump to purge the lower screen. After minor difficulties with the packer and check valves within the pump column, the pump was set at the top of the lower well screen at 1186 ft bgs and 1423 gal. of water was purged from the well on February 25. The lower screen was purged from top to bottom in 2-ft increments from 1186 ft bgs to near the bottom of the well sump at 1214.3 ft bgs. After pumping throughout the lower screened interval, the pump was set 2 ft above the screen at 1183 ft bgs, and the packer was inflated to ensure discrete water-quality parameter samples. Purged water from the lower screened interval displayed turbidity values less than 5 NTUs within the first day of pump development. Approximately 5328 gal. of groundwater was purged during lower well screen development.

Total Purge Volumes

Approximately 8796 gal. of groundwater was purged at R-50 during well development activities: 3468 gal. from the upper screen and 5328 gal. from the lower screen. Another 33,373 gal. was purged during aquifer testing: 1785 gal. from the upper screen, and 28,843 gal. from the lower screen. Total groundwater purged during postinstallation activities from both screened intervals combined was 42,169 gal.

8.1.1 Well Development Field Parameters

Field parameters were measured at well R-50 by collecting aliquots of groundwater from the discharge pipe with the use of a flow-through cell. Water-quality parameters are summarized in Table B-1.2-1, and well development field parameters are discussed in Appendix B.

During development of the upper screen, pH and temperature varied from 6.97 to 8.87 and from 18.20 to 21.90°C, respectively. Concentrations of DO ranged from 5.82 to 7.13 mg/L. Corrected Eh (oxidation-reduction potential) values ranged from 412.2 to 435.2 millivolts (mV). Specific conductance varied from 206 to 348 microsiemens per centimeter (μ S/cm).

The final water quality parameters at the end of development of the upper screen were as follows: pH of 7.84, temperature of 21.81°C, specific conductivity of 278 μ S/cm, and turbidity of 20.1 NTU. Although turbidity for the upper screened interval was above 5 NTU at the end of development, the final turbidity measurement after aquifer testing was 2.0 NTU.

During development of the lower screen, pH and temperature varied from 8.10 to 8.32 and from 18.98 to 19.89°C, respectively. Concentrations of DO varied from 10.03 to 12.27 mg/L. Corrected Eh values varied from 441.5 to 461.0 mV. Specific conductance varied from 203 to 234 μ S/cm, and turbidity values varied from 47.3 to 4.7 NTU. Final parameters measured at the lower screen were as follows: pH of 8.19, temperature of 19.89°C, specific conductivity of 203 μ S/cm, and turbidity of 4.9 NTU.

8.2 Aquifer Testing

Aquifer pumping tests were conducted at R-50 between February 28 and March 10, 2010. A 24-h constant-rate pump test was performed on March 5 after several days of no water recovery because of faulty packers in the pump.

A 5-hp pump was used for the aquifer test on the upper screened interval. Initially, the pump's flow rate was set to approximately 1.35 gpm. Approximately 1785 gal. of groundwater was purged from the upper screen interval. A 24-h recovery period followed the pumping of the upper screened interval.

A 10-hp pump was used for the aquifer test on the lower screened interval. A 24-h test, followed by a 24-h recovery period, completed the testing of the lower screen interval. Approximately 28,843 gal. of groundwater was purged from the lower screen interval at a flow rate of approximately 20 gpm.

Turbidity, temperature, pH, DO, ORP, and specific conductance parameters were measured during the 24-h tests. In addition, water samples for TOC analysis were collected and submitted to EES-14.

Approximately 33,373 gal. of groundwater was purged during aquifer testing activities. Field water-quality parameters and TOC analytical results are summarized in Appendix B and detailed in Table B-1.2-1. The results of the R-50 aquifer testing are presented in Appendix C.

8.3 Dedicated Sampling System Installation

The dedicated sampling system for R-50 was installed between May 19 and 21, 2010. The system is manufactured by Baski, Inc., and utilizes a single 3-hp, 4-in.-O.D. environmentally retrofitted Grundfos submersible pump capable of purging each screen interval discretely via pneumatically actuated access port valves. The system includes a viton-wrapped isolation packer between the screen intervals. The pump riser pipe consists of threaded and coupled nonannealed 1-in.-I.D. stainless steel. Two 1-in.-I.D. schedule 80 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tubes are installed along with and banded to the pump riser for dedicated transducers. The PVC transducer tube for the upper screen is equipped with a 6-in. section of 0.010-in.

slotted screen with a threaded end cap at the bottom of the tube. The PVC transducer tube for the lower screen is equipped with a flexible nylon tube that extends from a threaded end cap at the bottom of the PVC tube through the isolation packer. Two In-Situ, Inc. Level TROLL 500 transducers were installed in the PVC tubes to monitor water levels in each screened interval.

Sampling system details for R-50 are presented in Figure 8.3-1a. Figure 8.3-1b presents technical notes for the well.

8.4 Wellhead Completion

A reinforced concrete surface pad, 10 ft \times 10 ft \times 6 in. thick, was installed at the R-50 wellhead. The concrete pad was slightly elevated above the ground surface and crowned to promote runoff. The pad will provide long-term structural integrity for the well. A brass survey pin was embedded in the northwest corner of the pad. A 10-in.-I.D. steel protective casing with a locking lid was installed around the stainless-steel well riser. A total of four bollards, painted yellow for visibility, are set at the outside edges of the pad to protect the well from traffic. The bollards are designed for easy removal to allow access to the well. Details of the wellhead completion are presented in Figure 8.3-1a.

8.5 Geodetic Survey

A New Mexico licensed professional land surveyor conducted a geodetic survey on January 25, 2010 (Table 8.5-1). The survey data collected conforms to Laboratory Information Architecture project standards IA-CB02, "GIS Horizontal Spatial Reference System," and IA-D802, "Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standard for A/E/C and Facility Management." All coordinates are expressed relative to the New Mexico State Plane Coordinate System Central Zone (NAD 83); elevations are expressed in ft above mean sea level (amsl) using the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929. Survey points include ground-surface elevation near the concrete pad, the top of the brass marker in the concrete pad, the top of the stainless-steel well casing, and the top of the protective casing for the R-50 monitoring well.

8.6 Waste Management and Site Restoration

Waste generated from the R-50 project included drilling fluids, drilled-out concrete chips and concrete slurry, drill cuttings, development water, decontamination water, municipal solid waste, petroleum-contaminated soils, and contact waste. A summary of the waste characterization samples collected during drilling, construction, and development of the R-50 well is presented in Table 8.6-1.

All waste streams produced during drilling and development activities were sampled in accordance with the "Waste Characterization Strategy Form for Regional Well for Chromium Investigation, R-50 (Mortandad Canyon), Regional Groundwater Well Installation and Corehole Drilling" (LANL 2009, 107445).

Fluids produced during drilling and well development are expected to be land-applied after a review of associated analytical results per the waste characterization strategy form (WCSF) and the ENV-RCRA-Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 010.1, Land Application of Groundwater. If it is determined that drilling fluids are nonhazardous but cannot meet the criteria for land application, the drilling fluids will be managed and disposed of based upon the regulatory classification of the waste. If analytical data indicate that the drilling fluids are hazardous/nonradioactive or mixed low-level waste, they will be left in a pit or container pending a "contained-in" approval from NMED. If the hazardous wastes are containerized, they are subject to the 90-d accumulation limit, and the "contained-in" approval must be obtained before the accumulation period is exceeded.

Cuttings produced during drilling are anticipated to be land-applied after a review of associated analytical results per the WCSF and ENV-RCRA SOP-011.0, Land Application of Drill Cuttings. If the drill cuttings do not meet the criteria for land application, they will be excavated, containerized, and placed in an accumulation area appropriate for the regulatory classification of the waste. Decontamination fluid used for cleaning the drill rig and equipment is containerized at point of generation. The fluid waste was sampled and will be disposed of at an authorized facility. Characterization of contact waste will be based upon acceptable knowledge, pending analyses of the waste samples collected from the drill cuttings, drilling fluids, and decontamination fluid.

Site restoration activities will include removing drilling fluids and cuttings from the pit and managing the fluids and cuttings in accordance with applicable SOPs, removing the polyethylene liner, removing the containment area berms, and backfilling and regrading the containment area, as appropriate.

9.0 DEVIATIONS FROM PLANNED ACTIVITIES

Drilling, sampling, and well construction at R-50 were performed as specified in "Drilling Plan for Regional Aquifer Well R-50" (TerranearPMC 2009, 108564).

10.0 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Boart Longyear drilled and installed the R-50 monitoring well.

Pat Longmire wrote Appendix B, Groundwater Analytical Results.

David C. Schafer designed, implemented, and analyzed the aquifer tests.

LANL personnel ran downhole video equipment.

Terranear PMC provided oversight on all preparatory and field-related activities.

11.0 REFERENCES AND MAP DATA SOURCES

11.1 References

The following list includes all documents cited in this report. Parenthetical information following each reference provides the author(s), publication date, and ER ID. This information is also included in text citations. ER IDs are assigned by the EP Directorate's RPF and are used to locate the document at the RPF and, where applicable, in the master reference set.

Copies of the master reference set are maintained at the NMED Hazardous Waste Bureau and the Directorate. The set was developed to ensure that the administrative authority has all material needed to review this document, and it is updated with every document submitted to the administrative authority. Documents previously submitted to the administrative authority are not included.

- LANL (Los Alamos National Laboratory), March 2006. "Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan for SWMUs and AOCs (Sites) and Storm Water Monitoring Plan," Los Alamos National Laboratory document LA-UR-06-1840, Los Alamos, New Mexico. (LANL 2006, 092600)
- LANL (Los Alamos National Laboratory), October 4, 2007. "Integrated Work Document for Regional and Intermediate Aquifer Well Drilling (Mobilization, Site Preparation and Setup Stages)," Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico. (LANL 2007, 100972)

- LANL (Los Alamos National Laboratory), October 2009. "Drilling Work Plan for Regional Aquifer Well R-50," Los Alamos National Laboratory document LA-UR-09-6272, Los Alamos, New Mexico. (LANL 2009, 107461)
- LANL (Los Alamos National Laboratory), November 2, 2009. "Waste Characterization Strategy Form for Regional Well for Chromium Investigation, R-50 (Mortandad Canyon), Regional Groundwater Well Installation and Corehole Drilling," Los Alamos, New Mexico. (LANL 2009, 107445)
- TerranearPMC, October 2009. "Drilling Plan for Regional Aquifer Well R-50," plan prepared for Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico. (TerranearPMC 2009, 108564)

11.2 Map Data Sources

Point Feature Locations of the Environmental Restoration Project Database; Los Alamos National Laboratory, Waste and Environmental Services Division, EP2008-0109; 28 February 2009.

Hypsography, 100 and 20 Foot Contour Interval; Los Alamos National Laboratory, ENV Environmental Remediation and Surveillance Program; 1991.

Surface Drainages, 1991; Los Alamos National Laboratory, ENV Environmental Remediation and Surveillance Program, ER2002-0591; 1:24,000 Scale Data; Unknown publication date.

Paved Road Arcs; Los Alamos National Laboratory, KSL Site Support Services, Planning, Locating and Mapping Section; 06 January 2004; as published 04 January 2008.

Dirt Road Arcs; Los Alamos National Laboratory, KSL Site Support Services, Planning, Locating and Mapping Section; 06 January 2004; as published 04 January 2008.

Structures; Los Alamos National Laboratory, KSL Site Support Services, Planning, Locating and Mapping Section; 06 January 2004; as published 04 January 2008.

Technical Area Boundaries; Los Alamos National Laboratory, Site Planning & Project Initiation Group, Infrastructure Planning Division; 19 September 2007.



Figure 1.0-1 Location of regional monitoring well R-50



Figure 5.1-1 Regional monitoring well R-50 borehole stratigraphy



Figure 7.2-1 Regional monitoring well R-50 as-built well construction diagram



Figure 8.3-1a As-built schematic for regional monitoring well R-50

R-50 TECHNICAL NOTES:

SURVEY INFO	ORMAT	'ION'	F
Northing:	176708	37.32 ft	0
Easting:	163866	6.13 ft	v
Elevation:	6904.1	1 ft AMSL	A
Well Contraction	a af shata	less at a D	P
Well Casing (to	p of stain	niess steel)	L
Fasting:	163866	58.23 ft	A
Elevation:	6906.9	3 ft AMSL	P
BOREHOLE C LANL:Video (×4 Schlumberger:T	GEOPH), natural "LD, ECS,	YSICAL LOGS gamma ray (×2) HNGS, APS	
Drilling Compa Boart Longyear	iny		2 1
Drill Rig Foremost DR-24	IHD		M
Drilling Metho	ds		N 3
Dual Rotary	r rotan l	Form assisted air rates	-
riuld-assisted al	r rotary, i	roam-assisted air rotary	P 1.
Drilling Fluids			st
Air, potable wat	er, AQF-2	2 Foam (to 960 ft bgs)	
MILESTONE	DATES		T
Drilling	DAIES		1
Start:	12/05/2	2009	Lo
Finished:	01/25/2	2010	at
Well Completio	on		Т
Start:	02/01/2	2010	M
Finished:	02/13/2	2010	Ty
Well David			30
Start:	02/14/	2010	SI
Finished:	02/26/2	2010	
WELL DEVEL Development M Performed swab Total Volume Pu	OPMEI Methods obing, ba irged: 87	NT iling, and pumping 96 gallons (both screens)	
Parameter Mea	surmen	ts (Final, upper screen/lo	wer s
pH:		7.84/8.19	
Specific Conduct Turbidity:	tance:	278/203 µS/cm 2.0/4.9 NTU	
NOTES: * Coordinates based	i on New N	lexico State Plane Grid Coordinat	es, Cen
Elevation expresse	ed in feet al	bove mean sea level using the Na	tional
	7	PMC	Τ
Terr	anear	TIVIC	
Drafted By: TPMC	anear	te: June 21, 2010	1

AQUIFER TESTING Constant Rate Pumping Test

Upper Screen Water Produced: Average Flow Rate: Performed on: Lower Screen Water Produced: Average Flow Rate: Performed on:

1785 gallons 1.6 gpm 02/28–03/08/2010

28,843 gallons 20 gpm 03/08–10/2010

DEDICATED SAMPLING SYSTEM

Pump Make: Grundfos Model: 5S30-820CBM 2 U.S. gpm, APVs (Access Port Valves) midpoints at 1101.8 (upper) and 1183.6 (lower) ft bgs

Motor

Make: Franklin Electric Model: 2343262604 3hp, 3-phase

Pump Column 1-in.threaded/coupled sched.60 stainless steel tubing

Fransducer Tubes

1-in. flush-threaded sched. 80 PVC tubing Upper 0.01-in. slot screen at 1088.6–1089.2 ft bgs, ower flexible tube from transducer set at 1123.9 ft bgs

Transducers Make: In-Situ, Inc. Type: Level TROLL 500 30 psig range (vented) S/N: 163673, 163974

screen)

ntral Zone (NAD83); I Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929.

R-50 TECHNICAL NOTES Technical Area 05 (TA-05) Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, New Mexico	Figure 8.3-1b
---	------------------

Figure 8.3-1b As-built technical notes for regional monitoring well R-50

Date	Water (gal.)	Cumulative Water (gal.)	AQF-2 Foam (gal.)	Cumulative AQF-2 Foam (gal.)
Drilling		I	I	
12/05/09 800		800	3	3
12/06/09	900	1700	3.5	6.5
12/07/09	250	1950	0.5	7
12/10/09	250	2200	0.5	7.5
12/12/09	450	2650	3	10.5
12/15/09	200	2850	0.5	11
12/16/09	800	3650	3	14
12/17/09	800	4450	3	17
12/18/09	600	5050	6	23
1/04/10	700	5750	2	25
1/05/10	200	5950	1	26
1/06/10	200	6150	3	29
1/08/10	300	6450	2	31
1/11/10	500	6950	2	33
1/12/10	1000	7950	20	53
1/13/10	1500	9450	12	65
1/14/10	300	9750	2	67
1/15/10	1500	11,250	15	82
1/16/10	240	11,490	0	82
1/17/10	2000	13,490	40	122
1/18/10	1800	15,290	80	202
1/19/10	4100	19,390	120	322
1/23/10	2300	21,690	5	327
1/24/10	2400	24,090	0	327
1/28/10	700	24,790	0	327
Well Cons	truction			
2/4/10	1900	1900	n/a*	n/a
2/5/10	1000	2900	n/a	n/a
2/6/10	2600	5500	n/a	n/a
2/7/10	2000	7500	n/a	n/a
2/8/10	3400	10,900	n/a	n/a
2/9/10	2300	13,200	n/a	n/a
2/10/10	2300	15,500	n/a	n/a
2/11/10	3000	18,500	n/a	n/a

 Table 3.1-1

 Fluid Quantities Used during R-50 Drilling and Well Construction

Date	Water (gal.)	Cumulative Water (gal.)	AQF-2 Foam (gal.)	Cumulative AQF-2 Foam (gal.)	
2/12/10	2280	20,780	n/a	n/a	
2/13/10	25	20,805	n/a	n/a	
Total Water Volume (gal.)					
R-50	45,595				

Table 3.1-1 (continued)

* n/a = Not applicable. Foam use terminated at approximately 960 ft bgs.

Table 4.2-1Summary of Groundwater Screening Samples Collected during
Drilling, Well Development, and Aquifer Testing of Well R-50

Location ID	Sample ID	Date Collected	Collection Depth (ft bgs)	Sample Type	Analysis			
Drilling	Drilling							
R-50	GW50-10-5028	1/24/10	1090	Groundwater, Airlifted	Cations, anions, perchlorate, metals			
R-50	GW50-10-5029	1/25/10	1224	Groundwater, Airlifted	Cations, anions, perchlorate, metals			
Development								
R-50	GW50-10-5049	2/20/10	1077–1087	Groundwater, Pumped	ТОС			
R-50	GW50-10-5050	2/26/10	1185.01–1205.57	Groundwater, Pumped	ТОС			
Aquifer Pump	Testing							
R-50	GW50-10-5051	3/5/10	1077–1087	Groundwater, Pumped	TOC			
R-50	GW50-10-5052	3/5/10	1077–1087	Groundwater, Pumped	TOC			
R-50	GW50-10-5053	3/5/10	1077–1087	Groundwater, Pumped	ТОС			
R-50	GW50-10-5054	3/5/10	1077–1087	Groundwater, Pumped	TOC			
R-50	GW50-10-5055	3/6/10	1077–1087	Groundwater, Pumped	ТОС			
R-50	GW50-10-5056	3/6/10	1077–1087	Groundwater, Pumped	TOC			
R-50	GW50-10-5057	3/10/10	1185.01–1205.57	Groundwater, Pumped	TOC			
R-50	GW50-10-5058	3/10/10	1185.01–1205.57	Groundwater, Pumped	TOC			
R-50	GW50-10-5059	3/10/10	1185.01–1205.57	Groundwater, Pumped	TOC			
R-50	GW50-10-5060	3/10/10	1185.01-1205.57	Groundwater, Pumped	TOC			
R-50	GW50-10-5061	3/11/10	1185.01–1205.57	Groundwater, Pumped	TOC			
R-50	GW50-10-5062	3/11/10	1185.01–1205.57	Groundwater, Pumped	TOC			

Date	Туре	Depth (ft bgs)	Description
11/7/09	Video	658	LANL personnel ran a video log in the first R-50 borehole to aid in fishing operations for a broken bit; because of foam the video was ineffective.
11/15/09	Video	936	LANL personnel ran a video log in the first R-50 borehole to aid in fishing operations for a broken bit; because of water opacity the video was ineffective.
11/18/09	Video	936	LANL personnel again ran a video log in the first R-50 borehole to aid in fishing operations; the video was also ineffective due to murky water in the borehole.
12/17/09	Video and natural gamma	939	LANL personnel ran a video and a natural gamma ray log in the original R-50 borehole before abandonment.
01/25/10	Schlumberger	1224	Schlumberger ran TLD/APS/HNGS/ECS suite inside 12-in. drill casing after TD was reached.
01/28/10	Video and natural gamma	1220	LANL personnel ran a video log to verify a successful cut in the 12-in. casing at 1220.0 ft bgs. A natural gamma ray log was also run.

Table 6.0-1R-50 Video and Geophysical Logging Runs

Table 7.2-1R-50 Monitoring Well Annular Fill Materials

Material	Volume
Upper surface seal: cement slurry	573.1 ft ³
Upper bentonite seal: bentonite chips	714.6 ft ³
Upper fine sand collar: 20/40 silica sand	1.8 ft ³
Upper filter pack: 10/20 silica sand	21.3 ft ³
Middle bentonite seal: bentonite chips	66.7 ft ³
Lower fine sand collar: 20/40 silica sand	3.3 ft ³
Lower filter pack: 10/20 silica sand	41.4 ft ³
Backfill: bentonite chips	3.7 ft ³

Table 8.5-1R-50 Survey Coordinates

Identification	Northing	Easting	Elevation
R-50 brass cap embedded in pad	1767087.32	1638666.13	6904.11
R-50 ground surface near pad	1767083.18	1638662.93	6904.16
R-50 top of 10-in. protective casing	1767082.98	1638668.15	6907.23
R-50 top of stainless-steel well casing	1767082.49	1638668.23	6906.93

Note: All coordinates are expressed relative to the New Mexico State Plane Coordinate System Central Zone (NAD 83); elevation is expressed in ft amsl using the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929.

Sample ID	Date Collected	Description	Sample Type
WST05-10-12196	2/4/10	Decon Fluid	Liquid
WST05-10-12192	2/4/10	Decon Fluid	Liquid
WST05-10-12200 (FD ^a)	2/4/10	Decon Fluid	Liquid
WST05-10-12204 (FTB ^b)	2/4/10	Decon Fluid	Liquid
WST05-10-12493 (UF ^c)	2/23/10	Development Water	Liquid
WST05-10-12492 (F ^d)	2/23/10	Development Water	Liquid
WST05-10-12494 (FD)	2/23/10	Development Water	Liquid
WST05-10-12495 (FTB)	2/23/10	Development Water	Liquid
WST50-10-13942	3/5/10	NMSW ^e	Solid
WST50-10-13941 (FTB)	3/5/10	NMSW	Solid
WST50-10-13849 (UF)	3/11/10	Drilling Fluids	Liquid
WST50-10-13848 (F)	3/11/10	Drilling Fluids	Liquid
WST50-10-13850 (FD)	3/11/10	Drilling Fluids	Liquid
WST50-10-13851 (FTB)	3/11/10	Drilling Fluids	Liquid

 Table 8.6-1

 Summary of Waste Samples Collected during Drilling and Development of R-50

^aFD = Field duplicate.

^bFTB = Fielded trip blank.

^c UF = Unfiltered.

^dF = Filtered.

^eNMSW = New Mexico Special Waste.
Appendix A

Borehole R-50 Lithologic Log

Los Alamos National Laboratory Regional Hydrogeologic Characterization Project Borehole Lithologic Log

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 1 of 18
DRILLING COMPANY: Boart START DATE/TIME: 12/05/2009 Longyear Company START DATE/TIME: 12/05/2009			9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010	
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)	Lithology			Lithologic Symbol	Notes
0-4	FILL: Construction fill - abundant quartzi pebbles (typical o gravel) and tuffao sanidine crystals tuff).	-mixed cons te and round of constructio ceous debris plus chips of	tituents including ed volcanic n base-course (i.e., quartz and indurated crystal	Fill	Note: Drill cuttings for microscopic and descriptive analysis were collected at 5-ft intervals from 0 ft to borehole TD at 1224.5 ft bgs.
4–35	UNIT 2 OF THE BANDELIER TU Tuff—very light g 8/1), moderately crystal-rich, pum strongly weather 3'– 35' WR: abur 50–90% fragmer welded ash flow composed of 20- crystals, 10–15% weathered) pumi fragments set in makes up 50–60 quartz and sanid 4 mm) dacitic lith 97–99% quartz at 1–3% fragments	TSHIREGE M FF: gray (N8) to p welded, mod ice-bearing, li ed. adant fine vol- nts of poorly to tuff [i.e., ignin -30% quartz a compressed ices and less weathered as % by volume ine crystals; ic fragments. and sanidine of of ash and vol	AEMBER OF THE inkish gray (5YR erately indurated, ithic-bearing, canic ash. +10F: o moderately nbrite that is and sanidine I devitrified (and/or than 5% lithic sh matrix that]; 10–50% broken I–2% small (up to +35F: crystals; blcanic lithics.	Qbt 2	Unit 2 of the Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff (Qbt 2), encountered from 4 ft to 45 ft bgs, is 41 ft thick.
35–40	Tuff—light grayis welded, crystal-ri weathered. 35'- 40' WR: abu +10F: 80-90% q 10-20% volcanic 98-99% quartz a 1-2% volcanic lit	h orange (10 ich, lithic-bea undant weath uartz and sar c lithics (up to and sanidine o hics.	YR 7/4) poorly ring, strongly ered ash and silt. nidine crystals; 5 mm). +35F: crystals;	Qbt 2	

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 2 of 18
DRILLING C	OMPANY: Boart	START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)		Lithology		Lithologic Symbol	Notes
40–45	Tuff—very light g rich, lithic -poor, 40'– 45' WR: abu weathered. +10F sanidine crystals moderately weld pumices. 35F: 99 1% volcanic lithic	Tuff—very light gray (N8) poorly welded, crystal- rich, lithic -poor, pumice-bearing. 40'– 45' WR: abundant fine ash, strongly weathered. +10F: 40–50% broken quartz and sanidine crystals; 40–50% fragments of moderately welded tuff containing devitrified pumices. 35F: 99% quartz and sanidine crystals; 1% volcanic lithics.			The Qbt 2–Qbt 1v contact, estimated to be at 45 ft bgs, is based on based on microscopic examination of drill cuttings.
45–70	UNIT 1v OF THE THE BANDELIE Tuff—light grayis welded, crystal-ri weathered. 45'- 70' WR: abu +10F: 80-90% q 10-20% volcanic 98-99% quartz a 1-2% volcanic lit	INIT 1v OF THE TSHIREGE MEMBER OF 'HE BANDELIER TUFF: 'uff—light grayish orange (10YR 7/4) poorly velded, crystal-rich, lithic-bearing, strongly veathered. -5'- 70' WR: abundant weathered ash and silt. -10F: 80-90% quartz and sanidine crystals; 0-20% volcanic lithics (up to 5 mm). +35F: 18-99% quartz and sanidine crystals; -2% volcanic lithics			Unit 1v of the Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff (Qbt 1v), encountered from 45 ft to 150 ft bgs, is estimated to be 105 ft thick.
45–70	Tuff—pale yellow moderately weld- pumiceous, cryst 45'– 55' WR: abu +10F: 50–90% fr friable, crystal-tur pumices; 10–40% 3–5% volcanic (c fragments of silty +35F: 20–30% d 70-80% quartz a 55'– 60' WR: abu and silt. +10F: 40 pale tan siltstone volcanic sand gra weathered crysta 2–3% dacitic lithi 60'– 70' WR: abu 70–90% fragmer compressed dev 3–5% volcanic (c 15 mm);10–20% sandstone. +35F pumice fragment crystals.	vish orange (ed, poorly ind al-bearing, lit undant (up to agments of si ff with distinct % quartz and lacitic) lithic fr very fine gra evitrified pum nd sanidine c undant (30–40 0–50% fragme containing ve ains; 40–50% al-tuff with dev cs. undant volcan ts of weather vitrified pumic lacitic) lithic fr fragments of : 10–20% vol s; 80–90% qu	5YR 8/1), poorly to urated, hic-bearing. 50%) volcanic ash. trongly weathered, two devitrified sanidine crystals; ragments; minor ined sandstone. ice fragments; rystals. 0%) volcanic ash ents of indurated ery fine grained fragments of /itrified pumices; ic ash. +10F: red crystal-tuff with es (up to 5 mm); ragments (up to silty tuffaceous canic lithics and uartz and sanidine	Qbt 1v	

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 3 of 18
DRILLING C Longyear Co	OMPANY: Boart mpany	START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/200	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)	Lithology			Lithologic Symbol	Notes
70–90	Tuff—very light g welded, weakly in bearing; locally a 70'- 90' +10F: 20 subrounded lithic rhyolite, trace Pro 10-30% quartz a 5-15% volcanic l and sanidine crys	ray (N8), poo ndurated, pur bundant volc 0–70% subar c fragments (o ecambrian so ind sanidine o lithic fragmen stals.	orly to moderately niceous, crystal- anic lithics. agular to dacite, andesite, hist); crystals. +35F: ts; 85–95% quartz	Qbt 1v	
90–105	Tuff—pinkish gra pink (5YR 7/2), n crystal-rich; lithic 90'–105' +10F: 2 volcanic lithic fra predominantly da sanidine crystals weathered crysta 10–20% volcanic 80–90% quartz a	y (5YR 8/1) t noderately we -bearing. 0–30% angu gments (up to acite); 30–509 ; 10–20% fra al-tuff (ignimb s lithic fragme and sanidine of	o grayish orange elded, pumiceous, lar and broken o 25 mm, % quartz and gments of rite). +35F: nts; crystals.	Qbt 1v	
105–125	Tuff—light brown downward to yell moderately weld pumiceous (pum lithic-poor. 105'– 115' WR: a 30–40% large (u sanidine crystals pumiceous crysta 10–15% volcanic 115'– 125' WR: a fine ash. +10F: 2 dacitic lithic fragr sanidine crystals	ish gray (5YF owish gray (5 ed, moderate ices devitrifie abundant fine p to 3 mm) br ; 20–40% fra al-tuff (devitifi ; lithic fragme abundant pak 0–50% small nents; 10–20	R 6/1) grading SY 7/2), poorly to ly indurated, d), crystal-bearing, ash. +10F: oken quartz and gments of ed pumices); ints (dacite). e yellowish gray (up to 5 mm) % quartz and	Qbt 1v	

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 4 of 18
DRILLING COMPANY: Boart Longyear Company		START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE:	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)		Lithology		Lithologic Symbol	Notes
125–150	Tuff—very light g (5Y 8/1), poorly v pumiceous (pum lithic-poor. 125'– 135' +10F: crystals; 10–20% pumiceous crysta fragments (up to hornblende-dacit 135'– 150' +10F: strongly pumiceous	gray (N8) to pay welded, mode ices devitrifie 5 15–25% qua 5 pale tan frag al-tuff; 20–40 15 mm) pred 5 mm) pred 5 c. 5 70–90% pale 5 pus (pumices	ale yellowish gray erately indurated, d), crystal-bearing, artz and sanidine gments of % volcanic lithic ominantly of gray e tan fragments of distinctly	Qbt 1v	The Qbt 1v–Qbt 1g contact, estimated to be at 150 ft bgs, is based on based on microscopic examination of drill cuttings and interpretation of natural gamma log data.
150–185	strongly pumiced devitrified) crysta 5-15% angular vo 2–3% quartz and UNIT 1g OF THE THE BANDELIE Tuff—very pale of welded, moderat appearance of gl bearing, lithic-po 150'– 165' +10F: fragments of indu abundant quartz pumices that are 5–15% volcanic l predominantly da pumice fragment crystals; 5–10% 165'– 185' +10F: fragments of glas pumices; 5-20% dacites) lithic frag ash flow tuff. +35	 i0' +10F: 70–90% pale tan fragments of pumiceous (pumices distinctly d) crystal-rich rhyolite ash flow tuff; ngular volcanic lithics (gray dacite); uartz and sanidine crystals. OF THE TSHIREGE MEMBER OF NDELIER TUFF: iry pale orange (10YR 8/2), poorly moderately indurated, pumiceous (first nce of glassy pumices noted), crystal-lithic-poor. i5' +10F: 85–95% pale orange ts of indurated ash flow tuff containing th quartz and sanidine crystals and vitric that are streaked with black obsidian; volcanic lithic fragments (up to 7 mm), nantly dacite. +35F: 25–50% tuff and fragments 30–50% quartz and sanidine 5–10% volcanic lithics. i5' +10F: 80–90% pale orange ts of glassy, quartz- and sanidine-phyric ; 5-20% volcanic (predominantly 			Note: interval 150'–165' expressed as color change and abrupt appearance of pinkish orange tuff fragments with glassy obsidian-laced pumices. Unit 1g of the Tshirege Member of the Bandelier Tuff (Qbt 1g), encountered from 150 ft to 230 ft bgs, is estimated to be 80 ft thick.

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 5 of 18
DRILLING C Longyear Co	OMPANY: Boart mpany	START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE:	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	'S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)	Lithology			Lithologic Symbol	Notes
185–210	Tuff—very pale c welded, weakly to pumiceous, cryst 185'– 210' +10F: orange quartz- ai pumice; 3–7% vo (predominantly d indurated ash-flo of glassy pumice crystals; 5–10% v	orange (10YR o moderately al-bearing, lit 93–97% frag nd sanidine-p blcanic lithic fr acite); minor w tuff. +35F: ; 30–50% qua volcanic lithic	8/2), poorly indurated, strongly hic-poor. gments of pale hyric glassy ragments fragments of 40–60% fragments artz and sanidine s.	Qbt 1g	
210–230	Tuff—white (N9), Samples compos fragments and/or fall tephra depos 210'– 230' +10F: fragments of whit quartz- and santo fresh) appearance dacitic lithics. +33 pumice grains; 60 crystals; 2–3% ve	pumice-rich, sed predomin lapilli, sugge its. 98–100% su te, lustrous, v dine-phyric wi te; up to 2% s 5F: 30–40% v 0–70% quartz plcanic lithics	lithic-poor. antly of pumice esting possible air- brounded itric pumice that is ith pristine (i.e., small (up to 2 mm) white glassy z and sanidine	Qbt 1g	The Qbt 1g–Qct contact, estimated to be at 230 ft bgs, is based on microscopic examination of drill cuttings and interpretation of natural gamma log data.
230–247	CERRO TOLEDO Volcaniclastic an yellowish gray (5 with sand and sil composed of inte pumices and crys 225'– 247' +10F: and locally round porphyritic dacite andesite) with ad sandstone) indica 20–40% white, vi sanidine-phyric p 30–40% white gi 40–50% quartz a 20–30% volcanic	IS; 2–3% VOICANIC IITRICS. COTOLEDO INTERVAL: niclastic and tuffaceous sediments—pale <i>i</i> sh gray (5y 8/1) coarse to fine gravels and and silt, poorly sorted, detritus osed of intermediate volcanic rocks, ies and crystals in a silty matrix. 247' +10F: 60–80% broken, subangular ocally rounded volcanic clasts (gray yritic dacite, flow-banded rhyolite, site) with adhered silt (or clumps of silty stone) indicating cemented matrix;)% white, vitric lustrous, quartz- and ine-phyric pumice fragments. +35F:)% white glassy pumice grains;)% quartz and sanidine crystals;)% volcanic lithic grains.			The Cerro Toledo interval (Qct), encountered from 230 to 247 ft bgs, is estimated to be 17 ft thick The Qct–Qbo contact, estimated to be at 247 ft bgs, is based on based on microscopic examination of drill cuttings and interpretation of natural gamma log data.

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 6 of 18
DRILLING C Longyear Co	OMPANY: Boart mpany	START DATE/TIME: 12/05/2009			END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE:	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)	Lithology			Lithologic Symbol	Notes
247–260	OTOWI MEMBE Tuff—pale yellow weakly indurated 247'- 260' +10F: pumice fragment 30–40% angular/ volcanic clasts (p dacite). +35F: 70 crystals; 10–15% 10–15% volcanic	R OF THE B vish gray (5y l, pumice-rich 60–70% whi s/clasts; /broken and s predominantly 0–80% quartz pumice grai e lithic grains.	ANDELIER TUFF: 8/1) poorly welded, , crystal bearing. te, glassy, lustrous subrounded / hornblende- and sanidine ns;	Qbo	The Otowi Member of the Bandelier Tuff (Qbo), intersected from 247 ft to 505 ft bgs, is estimated to be 258 ft thick.
260–275	Tuff— very pale welded, weakly in bearing, lithic-be 260'– 275' +10F: orange-tan, glass pumice; 2–5% ar +35F: 30–40% q 40–60% orange lithic grains.	- very pale orange (10YR 8/2), poorly d, weakly indurated, pumice-rich, crystal- ng, lithic-bearing. 275' +10F: 95–98% fragments of pale e-tan, glassy, quartz- and sanidine-phyric ce; 2–5% angular dacitic lithic fragments. : 30–40% quartz and sanidine crystals; 0% orange pumice grains; 20–30% volcanic prains.			
275–300	Tuff— very pale welded, waekly in bearing, lithic-be 275'– 285' +10F: fragments; 30–40 and sanidine crystals 20–40% volcanic 285'–300' +10F: commonly well ro (predominantly d and sanidine crys 20–40% volcanic	orange (10YF ndurated pur aring. 60–80% vole 0% orange pu stals. +35F: 1 ; 60–80% pur c lithic grains. 85–95% pale punded; 5–15 acites). +35F stals; 60–80% c lithic grains	R 8/2), poorly nice-rich, crystal- canic lithic umices plus quartz 0–15% quartz and mice grains; e orange pumices, % volcanic lithics : 10–15% quartz 6 pumice grains;	Qbo	
300–325	Tuff— very pale welded, pumice bearing to locally 300'- 325' +10: 5 fragments of pale sanidine-phyric p (subangular to su dacite, rare basa of pumice, volcar sanidine crystals	orange (10YF rich, crystal-b lithic-rich. 50–80% local orange vitric oumice; 20–50 ubrounded, p lt). +35F: ma nic lithics and in variable p	R 8/2), poorly earing, lithic- ly well rounded c, quartz- and 0% volcanic lithics redominantly gray de up of fragments quartz and roportions.	Qbo	

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 7 of 18
DRILLING C Longyear Co	OMPANY: Boart mpany	START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	'S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)	Deptr Deptr Lithology			Lithologic Symbol	Notes
325–345	Tuff— medium lig pumiceous, cryst 325'–345' +10F: predominantly m fragments. 97–94 lithic fragments (gray and pinkish 1–3% fragments flow tuff. +35F:sa pumice, volcanic crystals in variab	ght gray (N6) cal-poor, lithic samples in th ade up of vol 3% angular/b up to 15 mm) dacites, dark of lithic- and amples made lithics and qu le proportions	, poorly welded, -rich. iis interval canic lithic roken volcanic composed of light brown andesite; crystal-bearing ash up of fragments of uartz and sanidine S.	Qbo	
345–360	No sample available for description. No cuttings returned due to lost fluid circulation during drilling in this interval.			Qbo	
360–385	Tuff—very pale c welded, weakly in bearing, lithic-ricl 360'– 385' +10F: colored glassy pu phyric, weakly lin (up to 25 mm) vo porphyritic dacite +35F:samples m grains, volcanic I crystals in variab	y pale orange (10YR 8/2), poorly veakly indurated, pumiceous, crystal- lithic-rich. 5' +10F: 50–70% pale orange tan- lassy pumices (quartz- and sanidine- eakly limonite-stained); 30–50% coarse mm) volcanic lithic fragments (gray ic dacites, dark gray andesite). nples made up of yelllowish pumice plcanic lithics and quartz and sanidine n variable proportions			
385–425	Tuff—varicolorec to light gray (N7) indurated, pumic 385'–425' +10F: fragments (dacite bandedd rhyodad (i.e., limonite-sta sanidine-phyric p orange to white p quartz and sanid proportions.	crystals in variable proportions. Fuff—varicolored, very pale orange (10YR 8/2) o light gray (N7), poorly welded, weakly ndurated, pumiceous, crystal-bearing, lithic-rich. 385'–425' +10F: 40–60% volcanic lithic ragments (dacite, porphyritic vitrophyre, flow- bandedd rhyodacite); 40–60% pale orange tan i.e., limonite-stained) vitric, quartz- and sanidine-phyric pumices. +35F: fragments of prange to white pumice, volcanic lithics, and quartz and sanidine crystals in variable proportions			

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 8 of 18
DRILLING COMPANY: Boart Longyear Company		START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE:	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)	S Bq tj Lithology			Lithologic Symbol	Notes
425–435	Tuff—white (N9) poorly welded, w crystal-bearing, I 425'- 435' +10F: 10 mm), white, Iu phyric; trace volo composed predo	to very pale g eakly indurat ithic-rich. 99–100% pu istrous, quart anic lithics. + minantly of p	gray (5YR 8/1), ed, pumice-rich, imice lapilli (up to z- and sanidine- 35F: samples umice fragments	Qbo	
435–445	Tuff—medium (N welded, weakly in bearing, lithic-ricl 435'–445' +10F: fragments; 30–40 pumice grains, vo sanidine crystals	dium (N5) to light gray (N7), poorly /eakly indurated, pumiceous, crystal- ithic-rich. +10F: 60–70% volcanic lithic s; 30–40% vitric pumices. +35F: vitric rains, volcanic lithics, and quartz and crystals in variable proportions.			
445–490	Tuff—very pale y welded, weakly in bearing, lithic-be 445'-450' +10F: quartz- and sanid 40% volcanic lith 450'-455' +10F: 455'-470' +10F: 30% volcanic lith 470'-490' +10F: 20-40% volcanic	rellowish gray ndurated, pur aring. 60% generall dine-phyric pu ics (predomir 100% white, 70% white, v ics. 60–80% whit t lithic fragme	y (5YR 8/1), poorly nice-rich, crystal- ly white lustrous, unice fragments; nantly dacite). vitric pumice lapilli. itric pumice lapilli; e, vitric pumices; nts.	Qbo	
490–505	Tuff—pale yellow welded, poorly in bearing, lithic-be 490'–505' WR: a gray ash matrix. glassy, quartz- a (up to 12 mm), lo 10–20% small (u lithic fragments ((490'–495') 90% 8% volcanic lithic crystals. (495'–50 30–40% quartz a 30–40% volcanic	volcanic lithic fragments. ale yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), poorly poorly indurated, pumiceous, crystal- lithic-bearing. 5' WR: abundant very pale yellowish n matrix. +10F: 80–90% white to light tan quartz- and sanidine-phyric pumice lapilli 2 mm), locally weathered; o small (up to 5 mm) angular volcanic gments (dacite, andesite). +35F: 95') 90% vitric pumice grains; anic lithics; 2% quartz and sanidine . (495'–505') 40– 50% pumice grains; o quartz and sanidine crystals;			The Qbo-–Qbog contact, estimated to be at 505 ft bgs, is based on microscopic examination of drill cuttings and interpretation of natural gamma log data.

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 9 of 18
DRILLING COMPANY: Boart Longyear Company		START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE:	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	l6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)	(s) Bq JJ, Lithology			Lithologic Symbol	Notes
505–525	GUAJE PUMICE MEMBER OF TH Tuff—white (N9), pumice-rich. San consist predomin appearing rhyolit 505'–515' +10F: subrounded pum phyric; up to 2% dacitic) lithics (up 98–99% pumice lithics. 515'–525' +10F: (up to 6 mm); 1– lithics (dacites, a	BED OF TH HE BANDELI , non-welded nples through iantly of glass ic pumice lap 98–10%% wi ice lapilli, qua angular volca to to 19 mm) lapilli/fragme 98–99% vitrio 2% small (up ndesite).	IE OTOWI ER TUFF: rhyoliic tephra, iout this interval sy, pristine- iilli and fragments. hite vitric, lustrous artz- and sanidine- anic (predominantly +35F: nts; 1–2% volcanic c pumice lapilli to 5 mm) volcanic	Qbog	The Guaje Pumice Bed (Qbog), intersected from 505 ft to 525 ft bgs, is estimated to be 20 ft thick. The Qbog–Tpf contact, estimated to be at 525 ft bgs, is based on microscopic examination of drill cuttings and interpretation of natural gamma log data.
525–545	PUYE FORMAT Volcaniclastic se (10YR 8/2) silty p coarse sand, mo indurated. Samp and pumiceous o (milled during dri indurated matrix) 525'–545' +10F: rounded pumice 10 mm); 10–30% clasts (vesicular 20–40% subroun tan indurated silt pumices, siltston varying proportio crystals.	 [™]E FORMATION: [™]aniclastic sediments—very pale orange [™]R 8/2) silty pebble gravels with fine to [™]se sand, moderately sorted, moderately rated. Samples consist of mixed volcanic pumiceous detritus and generally rounded ed during drilling) fragments of siltstone (i.e., rated matrix) [™]-545' +10F: 30–60% rounded white vitric, nded pumice granules and pebbles (up to nm); 10–30% partly subrounded volcanic ts (vesicular basalt, dacite, black vitrophyre); 40% subrounded fragments of light pinkish indurated siltstone. +35Fsamples of nices, siltstone and volcanic lithic grains in ring proportions; minor quartz and sanidine tab. 			The upper section of Puye volcaniclastic sediments (Tpf), intersected from 525 ft to 545 ft bgs, is estimated to be 20 ft thick. The Tpf–Tb4 contact, estimated to be at 545 ft bgs, is based on microscopic examination of drill cuttings and interpretation of natural gamma log data.

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 10 of 18
DRILLING COMPANY: Boart Longyear Company		START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	' S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)		Lithology		Lithologic Symbol	Notes
545–555	CERROS DEL R Basalt lava—ver medium gray (Na basalt and round fragments. 545'–550' +10F: of strongly vesicu fragments of ligh similar compositi and sanidine cry 550'–555' WR/+4 chips of strongly also, clinopyroxe vesicles coated w (hematite); 10–20 fragments.	40–50% angular basalt; 50 tan clayston on to +10F pl stals. 10F: 80–90% vesicular oliv ne and xenoo vith clay and/ 0% light tan c	IC ROCKS: (10YR 8/2) to ments of vesicular ind/or claystone ular/broken chips 0–60% rounded e. +35F: grains of us trace quartz angular/broken ine-basalt (noted crystic quartz); or Fe-oxides laystone/siltstone	Tb4	The Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks (Tb4) section, including basalt lavas, cinder deposits and volcanic sediments, was intersected from 545 ft to 890 ft bgs and is estimated to be 345 ft thick. Note: The interval 545'–555' likely represents the rubbly, vesicular top of a basalt lava flow.
580–610	Basaltic sedimen gravels with fine sorted, poorly ind abundant granule subrounded to w indicating fluvial 580'–610' +10F: rounded clasts (u weakly altered ba of pumice and da	ts—medium to coarse sar durated. Sam es and pebble ell rounded m transport. 100% subang up to 18 mm) asalt; locally t acite grains.	gray (N5), pebble id, moderately ples contain es exhibiting norphologies gular to well composed of race abundances	Tb4	
610–614.5	Basalt cinder der fragments/chips porphyritic with a and clinopyroxen 605'-614.5' +10F chips of weakly p clinopyroxene an groundmass is w	oosits—mediu of massive ba phanitic grou le-phyric lava 5: 99–100% a porphyritic bas Id olivine; fine leakly altered	um dark gray (N4), asalt that is weakly ndmass; olivine- ingular/broken salt; phenocrysts of -grained	Tb4	

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 11 of 18
DRILLING COMPANY: Boart Longyear Company		START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE:	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	l6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)	Lithology			Lithologic Symbol	Notes
	Basaltic cinder deposits—grayish red (5R 4/2) to medium gray (N5), mixed chips of red scoria and gray weakly porphyritic olivine- and clinopyroxene-phyric basalt.				
614.5–650	614.5'–625' +10 of reddish hemat 40–60% angula olivine- clinopyro	⁼ : 40–60% ar itic (oxidized) r/broken chips xene basalt,	ngular/broken chips scoria; s of vesicular weakly altered.	Tb4	
	625'–630' +10F: gray altered vesi	angular chips cular basalt; f	s of predominantly trace red scoria.		
	630'–650' +10F: 60–80% chips of clinopyroxene ba	20–40% chip gray vesicula salt.	s of reddish scoria; ar olivine-		
	Basaltic cinder d sediments—mec angular chips an due to drilling) la scoriaceous olivi basalt.	altic cinder deposits and reworked ments—medium (N5) to light gray (N7), ular chips and locally surrounded (i.e.,milled to drilling) lapilli composed of vesicular to iaceous olivine- and clinopyroxene-phyric alt.			
650–685	650'-660' +10F: subrounded cind composed of alte clinopyroxene ph lapilli suggest rev cinders.	0'-660' +10F: mixed angular chips and brounded cinders in varying proportions nposed of altered basalt with olivine and hopyroxene phenocrysts. Locally subrounded illi suggest reworking of some portion of these ders.			
	660'–670' +10F: to well rounded p reddish gray ves trace well rounde	660'–670' +10F: angular chips and subrounded o well rounded pebbles and granules of dark reddish gray vesicular to scoriaceous basalt; race well rounded pebbles of altered basalt.			
	670'–685' +10F: 8 mm) of dark gr and clinopyroxer clasts somewhat	100% genera ay to dark red ne -phyric bas less evident	a peoples of altered basal. 100% generally small (up to ay to dark reddish gray olivine- e -phyric basalt; rounding of less evident in this interval.		

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICATION (ID): R-50		TECHNICAL AREA (TA): 05			PAGE: 12 of 18
DRILLING COMPANY: Boart Longyear Company		START DATE/TIME: 12/05/2009			END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE:	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)	Lithology			Lithologic Symbol	Notes
685–750	Basaltic sedimen light gray (N6), p sand, moderately indurated. Samp detritus compose phyric basalt (als plagiolclase and contains detrital quartzite. 685'–690' No san returned due to la 690'–720' +10F/- small pebbles of granules of pumi light pinkish clay. 720'–750' +10F/- grains and granu clinopyroxene-ph exhibit some deg reworked tephra	ts—very light ebble gravels / to well sorte les contain lo ed of altered of o noted trace olivine as phe grains/granule mple available ost circulation +35F: 85–95% altered basal ce, quartzite a +35F: 85–95% les (up to 5 n hyric basalt. M pree of roundi or cinders.	e gray (N8) medium s with fine to coarse d, poorly cally well rounded cally well rounded clinopyroxene- e occurrences of enocrysts) ; locally es of pumice and e. No cuttings of during drilling. 6 granules and t; 5–15% rounded and fragments of 6 well sorted nm) of altered lost particles ng, indicating	Tb4	
750–880	Basaltic lavas wir and/or tephras— gravels with fine sorted, poorly ind subangular to pa composed uniqu porphyritic clinop basalt with fine-g groundmass. 750'-780' +10F: subrounded to lo altered vesicular contains reworke composition; trac 780'-800' +10F: commonly well re (up to 11 mm) m basalt with phene euhedral black cl intergrown with, green olivine; pla locally appears w	rorked tephra or cinders. saltic lavas with likely interflow sediments l/or tephras—medium light gray (N6), pebble vels with fine to coarse sand, moderately ted, poorly indurated. Samples contain hangular to partly well rounded detritus nposed uniquely of altered (bleached) phyritic clinopyroxene- and olivine-phyric salt with fine-grained plagioclase-rich undmass. y'-780' +10F: 99–100% broken chips and brounded to locally well rounded granules of red vesicular clinopyroxene-phyric basalt; itains reworked tephra of likely apparent nposition; trace pumice granules. y'-800' +10F: pebble gravel with sand, nmonly well rounded granules and pebbles to 11 mm) made up entirely of porphyritic salt with phenocrysts (5–7% by volume) of hedral black clinopyroxene commonly ergrown with, or as overgrowths on, pale en olivine; plagioclase-rich groundmass			

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICA	TION (ID): R-50	TECHNICA	L AREA (TA): 05	PAGE: 13 of 18					
DRILLING C Longyear Co	OMPANY: Boart mpany	START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010				
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab				
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft				
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins				
Depth (ft bgs)		Lithology		Lithologic Symbol	Notes				
750–880 (cont.)	880'-850' WR: c very light gray (N clasts, indicating +10F: locally wel mm) and subang of larger clasts; c clinopyroxene- a 850'-880' +10F: to 7 mm), presen diminish in freque	ontains mode (8) silt-sized p more strongl I rounded pel ular chips, su letritus compo nd olivine-phy well rounded at in the samp ency with dep	particles coating y altered basalt. obles (up to 15 uggesting presence osed of yric basalt. detrital clasts (up les, appear to oth in this interval.						
880–890	Basaltic sedimen to coarse gravels moderately sorte contain predomir rounded detritus weakly porphyriti detrital pumice. 880'–890' +10F: chips composed basalt, phenocry occurrences of ro clinopyroxene-ba 885'–890' contain pumices.	ts—medium s with fine to c d, poorly indu- nantly angular composed of c, altered bas a predominar of fine-graine st-poor to aph ounded granu ssalt in the int ns 1–2% rour	gray (N5), medium coarse sand, urated. Samples r chips and rarely fine-grained salt, minor rounded nce of angular ed, massive altered nyric. Minor lles of altered erval nded detrital	Tb4	Note: The interval 880'–890' exhibits predominantly angular chips and an apparent compositional change (i.e., phenocryst-poor) in volcanic rock. The Tb4–Tpf contact, estimated to be at 890 ft bgs, is based on microscopic examination of drill cuttings.				
890–895	PUYE FORMAT Volcaniclastic se fine to medium g sand, moderately Samples consist rounded pebbles 890'–895' +10F/3 well rounded sma pebbles/granules 20–30% indurate grained silty sand	diments—ver ravels with si / sorted, mod of mixed ang predominant 35F: 70–80% all (up to 8 mi s of biotite-da ed fragments dstone	y light gray (N7) lty fine to medium erately indurated. ular chips and well ly of dacite. broken chips and m) cite; of pinkish tan fine-	Tpf	The lower section of Puye volcaniclastic sediments (Tpf), intersected from 890 ft to 1155 ft bgs, is estimated to be 265 ft thick.				

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICA	TION (ID): R-50	TECHNICA	L AREA (TA): 05		PAGE: 14 of 18				
DRILLING C Longyear Co	OMPANY: Boart mpany	START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010				
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab				
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft				
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	'S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins				
Depth (ft bgs)		Lithology		Lithologic Symbol	Notes				
895–905	Volcaniclastic se medium gray (NS silty fine to coars moderately indur well rounded pet chips; detritus pr hornblende-phyri 890'–895' +10F: rounded small (u gray; trace white	diments—ver 5) fine to med e sand, poorl ated. Samplo beles/granule edominantly ic dacites. 85–90% brok p to 8 mm) p dacite with fi	y light gray (N7) to ium gravels with y sorted, es consist of mixed s; and broken of biotite- and ken chips and well ebbles/granules of ne hornblendes.	Tpf					
905–915	Volcaniclastic se yellowish gray (5 gravels with silty sorted, moderate predominantly to 905'–915' WR: C +10F: 90–95% b clasts (up to 15 r exclusively of gra dacites; 5–10% f sandstone.	diments—ver Y 8/1), mediu fine to coarse ely indurated; entirely of va chips and class roken chips a nm) compose ay and pink, c ragments of i	ry light gray (N7) to um to coarse e sand, very poorly detritus arious dacites. sts are silt coated. and subrounded ed almost coarsely porphyritic ndurated	Tpf					
915–945	Volcaniclastic se (5Y 8/1), fine gra sand, moderately indurated; detritu porphyritic dacite 915'–945' WR: c +10F: Nearly mo 90–95% subang pebbles (up to 12 pink and gray ho abundances of v phenocrysts; 5–1 grained sandstor +35F: ; 70–80% grains of porphyr quartz crystals; 1 fragments.	diments—pal vels with silty y sorted, mod is composed es. hips and clas nolithologic s ular to subrou 2 mm) compo rnblende-phy white dacite w 10% fragment he. subrounded t itic dacite; 11 0–15% fine-g	e yellowish gray r fine to medium erately to weakly uniquely of gray ts are silt coated. ample; unded granules and used of mostly of ric dacites; minor vith fine hornblende is of indurated fine- to well rounded 0–15% broken grained sandstone	Tpf					

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICA	FION (ID): R-50	TECHNICA	L AREA (TA): 05		PAGE: 15 of 18
DRILLING C Longyear Co	OMPANY: Boart mpany	START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)		Lithology		Lithologic Symbol	Notes
945–1000	Volcaniclastic se (N5) to very light silty fine to coars weakly indurated monolithologic co phyric dacites. 945'–955' +10F: angular/broken co rounded pebbles porphyritic hornb occurrences of w hornblendes. +38 grains/fragments fine-grained sand 955'–970' +10F: angular/broken co rounded pebbles porphyritic hornb fine hornblende p plagioclase-phyri with frothy textur 970'–1000' +10F angular/broken co rounded pebbles coarsely porphyr minor white horn	diments—var gray (N7) co e sand, very l; detritus com parsely porph 100% dacitic hips and larg predominant lende-dacite thite fine-grain 5F: 90–95% co ; 5–10% frag dstone. 100% dacitic hips and sub c (up to 19 mi lende-dacite, phenocrysts, c ic intermediat es. 100% daciti hips and freq (up to 15 mn itic hornblence blende -dacite	icolored, medium arse gravels with poorly sorted, nposed of nearly yritic hornblende- detritus; e (up to 20 mm) dy of gray coarsely and trace ned dacite with fine dacite ments of indurated detritus; angular to well m) of coarsely white dacite with and trace brown e volcanic rock c detritus; uently well n) of gray and pink le -dacites and e.	Tpf	
1000–1055	Volcaniclastic se (N6) medium to c medium sand, ve indurated; detritu porphyritic dacite pumiceous detrit 1000'-1015' +10 chips and well ro predominantly of porphyritic dacite granules. 1015'-1055' +10 subrounded pebl predominantly of porphyritic hornb white hornblende detrital pumice g	diments—me coarse gravel ery poorly sor is composed es; some inter us. F: 98–100% is unded pebble gray to pink es; trace detrif DF/35F: 90–9 bles (up to 15 gray and pin lende -phyric e -dacite; 5–1 ranules/pebb	dium light gray s with silty fine to ted, weakly of predominantly of vals with minor angular/broken es (up to 20 mm) coarsely tal pumice 5% broken and mm) composed k coarsely dacites and trace 0% rounded les (up to 10 mm).	Tpf	

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICAT	FION (ID): R-50	TECHNICA	L AREA (TA): 05		PAGE: 16 of 18				
DRILLING Concernent	OMPANY: Boart mpany	START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010				
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE:	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab				
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft				
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins				
Depth (ft bgs)		Lithology		Lithologic Symbol	Notes				
1055–1080	Volcaniclastic se pebble gravels w moderately well s detritus compose dacites. 1055'–1080' +10 angular/broken c (up to 10 mm) of dacites and mino	diments—ver rith fine to coa sorted, weakl ed entirely of F/35F: 100% hips and well gray to pink or white dacite	y light gray (N8) arse sand, y cemented; hornblende dacitic detritus; rounded pebbles coarsely porphyritic e with fine	Tpf					
1080–1125	Volcaniclastic se (5Y 8/1) medium coarse sand, ver cemented; nearly detritus compose hornblende dacit 1080'–1125' +10 angular/broken c (up to 15 mm) of hornblende-dacit fine hornblende-	diments—pal to coarse gra y poorly sorte y monolitholo ed almost enti es. F/35F: 100% hips and sub light gray coar be and minor phenocrysts.	e yellowish gray avels with fine to ed, weakly gic sample; irely of gray dacitic detritus; rounded pebbles arsely porphyritic white dacite with	Tpf					
1125–1155	Volcaniclastic se medium light gra to coarse sand, v cemented; nearly detritus compose porphyritic dacite pumice. 1125'–1155' +10 chips and subrou to 20 mm) compo coarsely porphyr phyric dacites; u 95–97% sand-siz fragments of pink 3–5% pumice fra crystals.	diments—ver y (N6) coarse / ery poorly so / monolitholog ed almost enti es, minor abur F: 99–100% unded to suba osed of pinkis itic biotite- an o to 1% detrit zed grains an < and gray da ggments; trace	y light gray (N7) to e gravels with fine orted, weakly gic sample; irely of gray ndances of detrital angular/broken angular clasts (up sh to light gray d/or hornbende- al pumices. +35F: d broken cites; e broken quartz	Tpf	The contact between Tpf and underlying Miocene pumiceous sediments, estimated to be at 1155 ft bgs, is based on microscopic examination of drill cuttings.				

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICA	TION (ID): R-50	TECHNICA	L AREA (TA): 05		PAGE: 17 of 18
DRILLING C Longyear Co	OMPANY: Boart mpany	START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab
GROUND EL	.EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins
Depth (ft bgs)		Lithology		Lithologic Symbol	Notes
1155–1160	MIOCENE PUMI Pumiceous volca varicolored, white fine to medium g moderately to po predominantly de pumices. 1155'–1160' +10 light gray biotite- with very fine hou 30% white pheno	CEOUS SED iniclastic sedi e (N9) to med ravels with fir orly sorted, w etrital dacite c F: 70% angul phyric dacite; mblende pher pcryst-poor pu	IMENTS: ments— ium light gray (N7) te to coarse sand, reakly indurated; lasts and lesser ar/broken chips of trace white dacite nocrysts; unices.	Tsfu	Pumiceous volcaniclastic sediments of Miocene age (no lithologic symbol has yet been assigned for this unit) were intersected from 1155 ft to the bottom of the R-50 borehole at 1224.5 ft bgs. Miocene pumiceous sediments are locally a minimum of 69.5 ft thick
1160–1185	Pumice-rich volc varicolored, white fine gravels with moderately sorte composed of mo and less than 50 1160'–1185' +10 chips and subrou 12 mm) of white detrital pumices aphyric); 20–40% pebbles (up to 15 biotite-phyric dao +35F: 50–70% p grains; trace qua	aniclastic sed e (N9) to med fine to mediu d, weakly cer re than 50% o % dacite grain F: 60–70% ar inded granule glassy (lustro (pumices phe 6 angular to s 5 mm) predon cites; trace flo umice grains; rtz crystals.	iments— ium light gray (N7) m sand, nented. Samples detrital pumices ns to granules. ngular/broken ss/pebbles (up to us) to weathered nocryst-poor to ubrounded ninantly of gray w-banded rhyolite. 30–50% dacite	Tsfu	
1185–1210	Pumice-rich volc varicolored, white fine gravels with moderately sorte composed of mo and less than 50 various volcanic 1185'–1210' +10 rounded granules white, tan and re poor, vitric to loc 30–50% subang to 8 mm) compos lithologies includ biotite-dacites, an	aniclastic sed e (N9) to med fine to medium d, weakly cer re than 50% of % grains and compositions F: 50–70% fro s/pebbles (up ddish pumice ally weathered ular to subrout sed of various ing hornblend ndesite and b	iments— ium light gray (N7) m sand, nented. Samples detrital pumices granules of equently well to 10 mm) of s, phenocryst- d; nded pebbles (up s volcanic le-dacite, white anded vitrophyre.	Tsfu	

BOREHOLE IDENTIFICA	TION (ID): R-50	TECHNICA	L AREA (TA): 05		PAGE: 18 of 18				
DRILLING C Longyear Co	OMPANY: Boart mpany	START DA	TE/TIME: 12/05/2009	9	END DATE/TIME: 1/25/2010				
DRILLING M Rotary	ETHOD: Dual	MACHINE:	Foremost DR24 HD		SAMPLING METHOD: Grab				
GROUND EL	EVATION: 6904.1	6 ft amsl			TOTAL DEPTH: 1224.5 ft				
DRILLERS:	C. Seal, M. Cross		SITE GEOLOGIST	S: J. R. Lawre	ence, E. Huggins				
Depth (ft bgs)		Lithology		Lithologic Symbol	Notes				
	Pumice-rich volc varicolored, white pebble gravels w moderately sorte composed of mo and up to 20% d volcanic compos	aniclastic sed e (N9) to dark ith fine to coa d, weakly cer re than 80% o etritus of vario itions.	liments— brown (10YR 4/2) arse sand, nented. Samples detrital pumices bus intermediate						
1210– 1224.5	1210'–1224.5' +' well rounded gra and grayish brow pumices exhibit i phenocrysts; dist pumices are horr 10–20% general fragments (predo 60–80% pumice various volcanic	10F: 80–90% nules and pel vn pumices (N rare biotite an tinctly well rou- nblende-phyri ly angular vol- ominantly dac grains; 20–40 lithologies; tra	subrounded to bbles of white, tan lote; white d/or hornblende unded brown c); canic lithic ites). +35F: 0% grains of ace quartz crystals.	Tsfu					

Borehole Lithologic Log (continued)

ABBREVIATIONS

5YR 8/4 = Munsell rock color notation where hue (e.g., 5YR), value (e.g., 8), and chroma (e.g.,4) are expressed. Hue indicates soil color's relation to red, yellow, green, blue, and purple. Value indicates soil color's lightness. Chroma indicates soil color's strength.

% = estimated per cent by volume of a given sample constituent

- amsl = above mean sea level
- bgs = below ground surface
- GM = groundmass
- Qbo = Otowi Member of Bandelier Tuff
- Qbog = Guaje Pumice Bed
- Qbt = Tshirege Member of the BandelierTuff
- Qct = Cerro Toledo interval
- Tb4 = Cerros del Rio volcanic rocks
- Tpf = Puye Formation
- Tsfu = Pumiceous sediments
- N/S = no assigned symbol for geologic unit
- +10F = plus No. 10 sieve sample fraction
- +35F = plus No. 35 sieve sample fraction
- WR = whole rock (unsieved sample)
- 1 mm = 0.039 in
- 1 in = 25.4 mm

Appendix B

Groundwater Analytical Results

B-1.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS OF GROUNDWATER AT R-50

Two groundwater-screening samples were collected at borehole R-50 during drilling at 1090 and 1224 ft below ground surface (bgs) from regional saturation within the Puye Formation and Miocene pumiceous sediments, respectively. The two borehole screening samples were submitted to Los Alamos National Laboratory's (LANL's or the Laboratory's) Earth and Environmental Sciences Group 14 (EES-14) for cations, anions, perchlorate, and metals analyses.

Groundwater-screening samples were collected from the completed well R-50 during development and aquifer testing and were analyzed only for total organic carbon (TOC) by EES-14. These groundwater-screening samples were collected from the upper screen, 1077.0 to 1087.0 ft bgs, within the Puye Formation and from the lower screen, 1185.0 to 1205.6 ft bgs, within the Miocene pumiceous sediments.

B-1.1 Analytical Techniques

Groundwater samples were filtered (0.45-µm membranes) before preservation and chemical analyses. Samples were acidified at the EES-14 wet chemistry laboratory with analytical-grade nitric acid to a pH of 2.0 or less for metal and major cation analyses.

Groundwater samples were analyzed using techniques specified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) methods for water analyses. Ion chromatography (EPA Method 300, Rev. 2.1) was the analytical method for bromide, chloride, fluoride, nitrate, nitrite, oxalate, perchlorate, phosphate, and sulfate. The instrument detection limit for perchlorate typically varies from 0.002 to 0.005 ppm in borehole water samples (EPA Method 314.0, Rev. 1). Inductively coupled (argon) plasma optical emission spectroscopy (EPA Method 200.7, Rev. 4.4) was used for analyses of dissolved aluminum, barium, boron, calcium, total chromium, iron, lithium, magnesium, manganese, potassium, silica, sodium, strontium, titanium, and zinc. Dissolved antimony, arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, cesium, cobalt, copper, lead, lithium, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, rubidium, selenium, silver, thallium, thorium, tin, vanadium, and uranium were analyzed by inductively coupled (argon) plasma mass spectrometry (EPA Method 200.8, Rev. 5.4). The precision limits (analytical error) for major ions and trace elements were generally less than ±7%. Total carbonate alkalinity (EPA Method 310.1) was measured using standard titration techniques. Charge balance errors for total cations and anions for the two borehole water samples were -6% and -16% collected during drilling of R-50. The negative cation-anion charge balance values indicate excess anions for the filtered samples. TOC analyses were performed on groundwaterscreening samples collected during development and aquifer testing following EPA Method 415.1.

B-1.2 Field Parameters

B-1.2.1 Well Development

Water samples were drawn from a flow-through cell into sealed containers, and field parameters were measured using a YSI multimeter. Results of field parameters, consisting of pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), specific conductance, and turbidity measured during development at well R-50, are provided in Table B-1.2-1. Percent saturation and concentrations of dissolved oxygen (mg/L) were used to measure DO at well R-50.

During development of the upper screen, pH and temperature varied from 6.97 to 8.87 and from 18.20 to 21.90°C, respectively. Concentrations of DO ranged from 5.82 to 7.13 mg/L. Corrected Eh values ranged from 412.2 to 435.2 millivolts (mV). The correction factor of 203.9 mV at 20°C was used for calculating Eh values from field ORP measurements and was based on an Ag/AgCl, KCI-saturated filling solution

contained in the ORP electrode. Specific conductance varied from 206 to 348 microsiemens per centimeter (μ S/cm).

The final parameters at the end of development of the upper screen were pH of 7.84, temperature of 21.81°C, specific conductivity of 278 μ S/cm, and turbidity of 20.1 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU). Although turbidity for the upper screened interval was above 5 NTU at the end of development, the final turbidity measurement after aquifer testing was 2.0 NTU.

During development of the lower screen, pH and temperature varied from 8.10 to 8.32 and from 18.98 to 19.89°C, respectively. Concentrations of DO varied from 10.03 to 14.25 mg/L, which are biased high and were probably influenced by instrument calibration or instrument malfunction. Concentrations of DO should be less than 8 mg/L for the recorded temperatures of the groundwater samples and Henry's law constant for DO. Corrected Eh values varied from 441.5 to 461.0 mV. Specific conductance varied from 203 to 234 μ S/cm and turbidity values generally decreased from 47.3 to 4.7 NTU. Final parameters measured at the lower screen were pH of 8.19, temperature of 19.89°C, specific conductivity of 203 μ S/cm, and turbidity of 4.9 NTU.

B-1.2.2 Aquifer Testing

During aquifer testing of the upper screened interval, pH and temperature varied from 7.51 to 8.04 and from 17.63°C to 23.66°C, respectively. Percent saturation of DO varied from 74.6 to 87.2, implying that groundwater pumped from R-50 is oxic. Concentrations of DO are calculated to range from 5.5 to 6.5 mg/L at 20°C at 5500 ft (approximate elevation of the upper screen) based on measured percent saturation. The maximum solubility of DO at 100% saturation is 7.4 mg/L at 20°C at 5500 ft. Corrected Eh values determined from field ORP measurements varied from 361.8 to 459.4 mV during aquifer testing of the upper screen (Table B-1.2-1). Specific conductance varied from 224 to 416 μ S/cm, and turbidity varied from 8.6 to 1.82 NTU during aquifer testing of the upper screen (Table B-1.2-1).

During aquifer testing of the lower screened interval, pH and temperature varied from 7.45 to 8.29 and from 13.12°C to 21.06°C, respectively. Reliable percent saturation of DO varied from 85.5% to 97.1%, and 14 values exceeded 100%, confirming instrument malfunction for these measurements. Concentrations of DO are calculated to range from 6.4 to 7.2 mg/L at 20°C at 5500 ft (approximate elevation of the lower screen) based on measured percent saturation. The maximum solubility of DO at 100% saturation is 7.4 mg/L at 20°C at 5500 ft. Corrected Eh values determined from field ORP measurements decreased from 442.9 to 498.0 mV during aquifer testing.(Table B-1.2-1). Specific conductance generally decreased from 205 to 174 μ S/cm, and turbidity varied from 32.7 to 0.1 NTU during aquifer testing of the lower screen (Table B-1.2-1).

B-1.3 Analytical Results for Groundwater-Screening Samples

Analytical results are presented below. Where available, analytical results for samples from well R-50 are screened against regional aquifer background concentrations from developed wells; these background values are for the Laboratory as a whole (LANL 2007, 095817). It should be noted that because of localized variations in geochemistry, background concentrations for the area upgradient of well R-50 may vary.

B-1.3.1 Cations, Anions, Perchlorate, and Metals

Analytical results for two borehole samples collected at well R-50 during drilling are provided in Table B-1.3-1. The filtered-borehole samples (GW50-10-5028 and GW50-10-5029) consist of disaggregated colloidal aquifer material, drilling material, water used during drilling, and native groundwater.

Dissolved concentrations of fluoride were 1.07 and 0.53 mg/L in the two borehole water samples collected during drilling of R-50. For comparison purposes only, background mean, median, and maximum concentrations of dissolved fluoride are 0.37 mg/L, 0.35 mg/L, and 0.57 mg/L, respectively, for developed wells in the regional aquifer (LANL 2007, 095817). Dissolved concentrations of nitrate(N) were 0.40 and 0.50 mg/L in the two borehole water samples collected during drilling of R-50 (Table B-1.3-1). Dissolved concentrations of sulfate were 9.77 and 3.18 mg/L in the same borehole water samples (Table B-1.3-1). For comparison purposes only, median background concentrations for dissolved nitrate(N) and sulfate in developed wells in the regional aquifer are 0.31 mg/L and 2.83 mg/L, respectively (LANL 2007, 095817).

Perchlorate was not detected in the two borehole water samples (Table B-1.3-1) collected during drilling of well R-50.

Metals results for the two borehole water samples do show elevated concentrations of dissolved molybdenum (0.206 ppm and 0.012 ppm), suggesting these samples contain a component of the drilling lubricant used during drilling. Total dissolved concentrations of chromium were 0.007 and 0.005 ppm (7 and 5 ppb) in the two borehole water samples (Table B.1-3-1). For comparison purposes only, background mean, median, and maximum concentrations of total dissolved chromium in developed regional aquifer wells are $3.07 \mu g/L$, $3.05 \mu g/L$, and $7.20 \mu g/L$, respectively, for the regional aquifer (LANL 2007, 095817).

B-1.3.2 Total Organic Carbon

TOC concentrations from development and aquifer testing are presented in Table B-1.3-2. During development, the TOC concentration from the upper screen sample was 0.49 mgC/L, and the TOC concentration from the lower screen sample was 0.28 mgC/L. During aquifer testing, all measured TOC concentrations from the upper screen were less than 0.4 mgC/L; TOC was not detected in samples from the lower screened interval collected during aquifer testing. The median background concentration of TOC is 0.34 mgC/L for regional aquifer groundwater (LANL 2007, 095817).

B-1.4 Summary

In summary, groundwater at well R-50 is relatively oxidizing, based on corrected positive Eh values and DO concentrations measured during well development and aquifer testing. Redox conditions based on corrected field ORP measurements at well R-50 are similar to other previously wells drilled in Mortandad Canyon, including R-1, R-13, R-15, R-28, and R-42. Concentrations of TOC were less than 0.5 mgC/L in groundwater-screening samples collected during development and aquifer testing at well R-50.

B-2.0 REFERENCE

The following list includes all documents cited in this appendix. Parenthetical information following each reference provides the author(s), publication date, and ER ID. This information is also included in text citations. ER IDs are assigned by the Environmental Programs Directorate's Records Processing Facility (RPF) and are used to locate the document at the RPF and, where applicable, in the master reference set.

Copies of the master reference set are maintained at the New Mexico Environment Department Hazardous Waste Bureau and the Directorate. The set was developed to ensure that the administrative authority has all material needed to review this document, and it is updated with every document submitted to the administrative authority. Documents previously submitted to the administrative authority are not included. LANL (Los Alamos National Laboratory), May 2007. "Groundwater Background Investigation Report, Revision 3," Los Alamos National Laboratory document LA-UR-07-2853, Los Alamos, New Mexico. (LANL 2007, 095817)

		Purge	Volume	s and Associ	ated Water-G	Quality Pa	rameters								
r	during Well Development and Aquifer Testing at R-50 Specific Purge Volume Cumulative														
Date	рН	Temp (°C)	DO (mg/L)	ORP, Ehª (mV)	Specific Conductivity (µS/cm)	Turbidity (NTU)	Purge Volume between Samples (gal.)	Cumulative Purge Volume (gal.)							
Well Deve	elopment	Composite	Water fr	om Both Scree	ens										
2/14/10	n/r ^b ; swa	bbing/bailin	g				442	442							
2/15/10	n/r, swab	bing/bailing	9				407	849							
2/16/10	n/r, swab	bing/bailing	9				525	1374							
Well Deve	elopment	Upper Scre	een												
2/18/10	n/r, pump	oing while s	wabbing s	screen			48	1422							
2/19/10	n/r, pump	oing while s	wabbing s	screen			510	1932							
	8.87	21.90	6.98	210.3, 414.2	344	93.7	26	1958							
	8.87	20.37	6.95	217.8, 421.7	348	89.7	40	1998							
	8.76	19.47	7.11	219.9, 423.8	345	85.2	50	2048							
	8.76	19.46	7.08	219.1, 423.0	343	80.4	45	2093							
2/10/10	8.63	19.48	7.03	219.3, 423.2	268	44.6	44	2137							
2/19/10	8.58	20.42	6.73	212.3, 416.2	216	27.7	22	2159							
	8.56	20.60	6.45	216.0, 419.9	208	23.6	42	2201							
	8.53	20.72	6.57	215.4, 419.3	208	20.6	40	2241							
	8.52	20.61	6.50	216.4, 420.3	206	20.6	43	2284							
	8.49	20.05	6.41	216.6, 420.5	207	16.6	34	2318							
	6.97	18.20	7.13	213.7, 417.0	344	26.8	502	2820							
	8.14	20.73	6.49	231.3, 435.2	286	36.0	42	2862							
	7.91	20.74	6.27	223.0, 426.9	281	34.5	42	2904							
	7.75	20.65	6.42	229.4, 433.3	272	35.7	42	2946							
	7.72	20.34	6.36	227.3, 431.2	272	34.5	43	2989							
	7.84	20.37	6.09	222.3, 426.2	272	37.8	43	3032							
2/20/10	7.97	19.94	6.05	222.1, 426.0	281	61.0	43	3075							
	8.19	20.76	5.82	223.5, 427.4	280	32.9	41	3116							
	7.50	21.09	6.02	226.7, 430.6	275	22.6	97	3213							
	7.52	21.45	6.16	216.1, 420.0	275	21.7	81	3294							
	7.78	21.27	5.99	210.2, 414.1	276	19.5	81	3375							
	7.84	21.81	5.92	208.3, 412.2	278	20.1	80	3455							
	n/r, pump	bed before	pump shu	t off			13	3468							

Table B.1-2-1

Date	рН	Temp (°C)	DO (mg/L)	ORP, Eh ^a (mV)	Specific Conductivity (µS/cm)	Turbidity (NTU)	Purge Volume between Samples (gal.)	Cumulative Purge Volume (gal.)
Well Deve	elopment	Lower Scr	een					
2/25/10	n/r, pum	oing while s	wabbing	screen			1423	4891
2/26/10	n/r, pum	oing while s	wabbing	screen			573	5464
2/26/10	n/r, pum	oing sump					268	5732
	8.12	18.98	10.66	237.6, 441.5	216	47.3	169	5901
	8.32	19.38	10.82	238.9, 442.8	234	20.8	251	6152
	8.26	19.08	14.25	243.9, 447.8	230	12.4	248	6400
	8.31	19.57	10.03	242.8, 446.7	223	10.0	266	6666
	8.24	19.35	11.90	241.9, 445.8	220	8.0	244	6910
	8.10	19.23	12.17	250.0, 453.9	216	7.8	254	7164
	8.20	19.31	12.27	251.2, 455.1	213	6.6	258	7422
2/26/10	8.26	19.37	11.62	251.6, 455.5	209	7.1	254	7676
2/20/10	8.22	19.61	11.91	242.7, 446.6	208	5.7	183	7859
	8.25	19.41	11.72	250.0, 453.9	207	5.8	146	8005
	8.29	19.28	11.43	250.3, 454.2	206	5.5	151	8156
	8.31	19.35	10.78	251.5, 455.4	206	5.7	146	8302
	8.24	19.12	11.68	257.1, 461.0	204	4.8	142	8444
	8.25	19.54	11.62	254.0, 457.9	203	4.7	144	8588
	8.19	19.89	11.45	254.5, 458.4	203	4.9	148	8736
	n/r, pump	bed prior to	shutting c	off pump			60	8796

Table B.1-2-1, continued

Date	рН	Temp (°C)	DO (%)	ORP, Ehª (mV)	Specific Conductivity (µS/cm)	Turbidity (NTU)	Purge Volume between Samples (gal.)	Cumulative Purge Volume (gal.)
Aquifer P	umping 1	Fest Upper	Screen	I				I
2/28/10	n/r, pump	oing, aquife	r test prep	aration			90	8886
3/1/10	n/r, pump	oing, aquife	r test prep	aration			49	8935
3/3/10	n/r, pump	oing, aquife	r test prep	aration			90	9025
3/4/10	n/r, pump	oing, aquife	r test prep	aration			60	9085
	7.66	17.63	77.1	255.5, 459.4	224	n/r	87	9172
	7.95	20.63	74.7	245.4, 449.3	229	n/r	78	9250
	8.04	23.24	78.8	233.0, 431.5	409	8.6	100	9350
	7.68	21.66	74.6	232.1, 436.0	410	6.5	76	9426
	7.51	19.28	74.9	240.1, 444.0	407	4.5	51	9477
	7.94	23.17	83.4	221.8, 420.3	404	5.2	76	9553
	7.90	21.16	77.8	226.8, 430.7	406	4.0	70	9623
	7.89	22.85	85.8	228.8, 427.3	404	n/r	75	9698
	7.81	23.11	83.1	241.2, 439.7	406	n/r	37	9735
	7.70	23.66	81.2	228.6, 427.1	405	n/r	34	9769
	7.78	23.64	78.2	220.7, 419.2	412	n/r	28	9797
	7.74	22.77	82.6	223.8, 422.3	414	n/r	38	9835
	7.57	22.88	83.9	232.6, 431.1	414	n/r	33	9868
	7.67	21.86	79.8	238.7, 442.6	413	n/r	39	9907
2/5/40	7.78	21.55	85.6	231.1, 435.0	416	n/r	63	9970
3/5/10	7.86	21.02	79.4	240.4, 444.3	410	3.0	87	10,057
	7.86	22.31	82.2	242.2, 446.1	408	2.7	38	10,095
	7.84	22.55	82.7	242.9, 441.4	410	3.0	38	10,133
	7.82	22.67	83.9	243.3, 441.8	411	2.6	38	10,171
	7.81	22.55	84.2	240.3, 438.8	376	3.1	37	10,208
	7.79	22.37	84.4	241.0, 444.9	248	2.5	38	10,246
	7.85	21.89	84.2	239.8, 443.7	416	2.4	37	10,283
	7.84	22.54	85.3	231.3, 429.8	414	2.7	76	10,359
	7.82	22.10	86.4	231.6, 435.5	416	2.3	74	10,433
	7.76	21.83	85.7	229.9, 433.8	408	2.1	74	10,507
	7.83	22.25	87.2	223.6, 427.5	310	2.3	74	10,581
	7.77	21.78	85.4	207.6, 411.5	415	1.8	74	10,655
	7.80	22.26	84.3	193.7, 397.6	256	1.8	74	10,729
	7.46	22.67	83.7	163.3, 361.8	246	2.0	104	10,833
	n/r, pump	ed before p	oump shu	t off			37	10,870

Table B.1-2-1, continued

Date	рН	Temp (°C)	DO (%)	ORP, Eh ^a (mV)	Specific Conductivity (µS/cm)	Turbidity (NTU)	Purge Volume between Samples (gal.)	Cumulative Purge Volume (gal.)	
Aquifer F	Pumping T	est Lower	Screen						
3/8/10	n/r, pump	ing, mini-te	st prepara	tion			114	10,984	
3/9/10	n/r, pump	ing, mini-te	st				2357	13,341	
	8.29	20.09	149.9	239.0, 442.9	205	32.7	645	13,986	
	8.16	19.86	105.0	250.2, 454.1	201	11.7	579	14,565	
	8.16	20.19	104.1	248.3, 452.2	197	6.0	1202	15,767	
	8.10	19.48	115.6	250.7, 454.6	193	2.7	1199	16,966	
	8.09	19.89	103.6	253.1, 457.0	191	1.7	1199	18,165	
	7.98	20.42	109.7	252.7, 456.6	189	1.1	1197	19,362	
	7.78	20.22	112.9	259.0, 462.9	187	0.4	1196	20,558	
	7.74	21.06	101.0	252.1, 456.0	185	0.2	1196	21,754	
	7.63	21.03	113.1	242.3, 446.2	184	0.1	1197	22,951	
	7.99	20.33	101.1	234.9, 438.8	184	0.1	1196	24,147	
	7.82	20.12	108.8	248.4, 452.3	182	0.3	1200	25,347	
2/10/10	8.04	18.51	113.2	246.9, 450.8	180	0.5	1216	26,563	
3/10/10	7.60	16.53	100.5	271.8, 480.7	180	0.4	1145	27,708	
	7.48	14.87	90.2	278.8, 487.7	179	0.3	1206	28,914	
	7.73	17.71	86.3	263.3, 467.2	178	0.6	1205	30,119	
	7.98	20.02	86.0	249.3, 453.2	176	0.5	1205	31,324	
	7.63	17.58	89.5	271.7, 475.6	177	0.7	1204	32,528	
	7.45	13.12	101.4	286.7, 495.6	177	0.8	1206	33,734	
	7.89	16.15	85.6	260.2, 469.1	175	0.7	1204	34,938	
	7.77	16.07	92.2	268.2, 477.1	175	1.0	1206	36,144	
	7.80	15.51	97.1	266.4, 475.3	174	0.8	1208	37,352	
	7.74	18.33	85.5	269.0, 472.9	175	0.9	1208	38,560	
	7.45 13.78 91.6 289.1, 498.0			289.1, 498.0	175	0.9	1206	39,766	
	n/r, pump	ed before p	oump shut	off			2403	42,169	

Table B.1-2-1, continued

^a Eh (mV) is calculated from a Ag/AgCl saturated KCl electrode filling solution at 15°C, 20°C, and 25°C by adding temperaturesensitive correction factors of 208.9 mV, 203.9 mV, and 198.5 mV, respectively.

^b n/r = Not recorded.

Table B-1.3-1 EES-14 Analytical Results

Sample ID	Date Received	Sample Type	Depth (ft bgs)	Ag rslt (ppm)	stdev (Ag)	Al rslt (ppm)	stdev (Al)	As rslt (ppm)	stdev (As)	B rslt (ppm)	stdev (B)	Ba rslt (ppm)	stdev (Ba)	Be rslt (ppm)	stdev (Be)	Br(-) ppm	Br(-) (U)	Ca rslt (ppm)	stdev (Ca)	Cd rslt (ppm)	stdev (Cd)	CI(-) ppm	CI(-) (U)	CIO4(-) ppm	CIO4(-) (U)	Co rslt (ppm)	stdev (Co)	Alk-CO3 rslt (ppm)
GW50-10-5028	1/27/2010	Borehole	1090	0.001	U*	0.434	0.002	0.0011	0.0000	0.183	0.001	0.834	0.010	0.001	U	0.04	0.02	13.41	0.07	0.001	U	13.1	0.02	0.005	U	0.001	U	0.8
GW50-10-5029	1/27/2010	Borehole	1224	0.001	U	0.074	0.003	0.0008	0.0000	0.139	0.001	0.603	0.004	0.001	U	0.03	0.02	13.03	0.10	0.001	U	4.45	0.02	0.002	U	0.001	U	0.8

Table B-1.3-1 (continued)

																								Mn		
	Date	Sample	Alk-CO3	Cr rslt	stdev	Cs rslt	stdev	Cu rslt	stdev	F(-)	F(-)	Fe rslt	stdev	Alk-CO3+HCO3	Alk-CO3+HCO3	Hg rslt		K rslt	stdev	Li rslt	stdev	Mg rslt	stdev	rslt	stdev	Mo rslt
Sample ID	Received	Туре	(U)	(ppm)	(Cr)	(ppm)	(Cs)	(ppm)	(Cu)	ppm	(U)	(ppm)	(Fe)	rslt (ppm)	(U)	(ppm)	stdev (Hg)	(ppm)	(K)	(ppm)	(Li)	(ppm)	(Mg)	(ppm)	(Mn)	(ppm)
GW50-10-5028	1/27/2010	Borehole	U	0.007	0.001	0.001	U	0.003	0.000	1.07	0.02	0.04	0.00	110	2	0.00082	0.00009	3.84	0.02	0.032	0.001	2.96	0.01	0.009	0.001	0.206
GW50-10-5029	1/27/2010	Borehole	U	0.005	0.001	0.001	U	0.001	U	0.53	0.02	0.68	0.00	90	2	0.00016	0.00003	1.27	0.01	0.019	0.000	4.20	0.04	0.028	0.001	0.012

Table B-1.3-1 (continued)

Sample ID	Date Received	Sample Type	stdev (Mo)	Na rslt (ppm)	stdev (Na)	N rslt (ppm)	stdev (Ni)	NO2 (ppm)	NO2-N rslt	NO2- N (U)	NO3 ppm	NO3-N rslt	NO3-N (U)	C2O4 rslt (ppm)	C2O4 (U)	Pb rslt (ppm)	stdev (Pb)	Lab pH	PO4(-3) rslt (ppm)	PO4(-3) (U)	Rb rslt (ppm)	stdev (Rb)	Sb rslt (ppm)	stdev (Sb)	Se rslt (ppm)	stdev (Se)	Si rslt (ppm)	stdev (Si)	SiO2 rslt (ppm)
GW50-10-5028	1/27/2010	Borehole	0.025	23.66	0.22	0.001	0.000	0.01	0.003	U	1.76	0.40	0.02	0.35	0.01	0.0002	U	8.34	0.01	U	0.003	0.000	0.001	U	0.001	U	13.3	0.0	28.4
GW50-10-5029	1/27/2010	Borehole	0.001	12.37	0.04	0.001	0.000	0.01	0.003	U	2.28	0.51	0.02	0.01	U	0.0002	U	8.02	0.01	U	0.001	U	0.001	U	0.001	U	35.2	0.3	75.3

Table B-1.3-1 (continued)

Sample ID	Date Received	Sample Type	stdev (SiO2)	Sn rslt (ppm)	stdev (Sn)	SO4(-2) rslt (ppm)	SO4(-2) (U)	Sr rslt (ppm)	stdev (Sr)	Th rslt (ppm)	stdev (Th)	Ti rslt (ppm)	stdev (Ti)	TI rslt (ppm)	stdev (TI)	U rslt (ppm)	stdev (U)	V rslt (ppm)	stdev (V)	Zn rslt (ppm)	stdev (Zn)	TDS (ppm)	Cations	Anions	Balance
GW50-10-5028	1/27/2010	Borehole	0.0	0.001	U	9.77	0.02	0.051	0.003	0.001	U	0.005	0.000	0.001	U	0.0028	0.0001	0.003	0.000	0.012	0.001	228	2.06	2.83	-0.16
GW50-10-5029	1/27/2010	Borehole	0.6	0.001	U	3.18	0.02	0.046	0.002	0.001	U	0.003	0.000	0.001	U	0.0003	0.0000	0.004	0.000	0.023	0.001	209	1.58	1.77	-0.06

* U = not detected.

R-50 Well Completion Report

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Type	Depth (ft)	TOC Concentration (ppm)
GW50-10-1049	2/20/10	Well development	1077–1087	0.49
GW50-10-5050	2/26/10	Well development	1185.0–1205.6	0.28
GW50-10-5051	3/5/10	Aquifer testing	1077–1087	0.33
GW50-10-5052	3/5/10	Aquifer testing	1077–1087	0.30
GW50-10-5053	3/5/10	Aquifer testing	1077–1087	0.31
GW50-10-5054	3/5/10	Aquifer testing	1077–1087	0.30
GW50-10-5055	3/6/10	Aquifer testing	1077–1087	0.26
GW50-10-5056	3/6/10	Aquifer testing	1077–1087	0.27
GW50-10-5057	3/10/10	Aquifer testing	1185.0–1205.6	0.20(U*)
GW50-10-5058	3/10/10	Aquifer testing	1185.0–1205.6	0.20(U)
GW50-10-5059	3/10/10	Aquifer testing	1185.0–1205.6	0.20(U)
GW50-10-5060	3/10/10	Aquifer testing	1185.0–1205.6	0.20(U)
GW50-10-5061	3/10/10	Aquifer testing	1185.0–1205.6	0.20(U)
GW50-10-5062	3/10/10	Aquifer testing	1185.0-1205.6	0.20(U)

Table B-1.3-2TOC Analytical Results at R-50

*U = Undetected at analytical detection limits.
Appendix C

Aquifer Testing Report

C-1.0 INTRODUCTION

This appendix describes the hydraulic analysis of pumping tests conducted during February and March 2010 at R-50, a dual-screen regional aquifer well located on the mesa above Mortandad Canyon at Los Alamos National Laboratory (the Laboratory). The tests on R-50 were conducted to quantify the hydraulic properties of the two zones in which the well is screened and evaluate the hydraulic interconnection of the zones.

Testing planned for each screen interval consisted of brief trial pumping, background water-level data collection, and a 24-h constant-rate pumping test. Water levels were monitored in both zones during each of the pumping tests in each screen.

As in most of the R-well pumping tests conducted on the Pajarito Plateau (the Plateau), an inflatable packer system was used in R-50 to both hydraulically isolate the screen zones and try to eliminate casing-storage effects on the test data. Storage effects were eliminated successfully from the screen 2 tests. However, the excessive air content in screen 1 made it impossible to pump water on a sustained basis whenever an upper packer was installed. Therefore, it was necessary to remove the upper packer to perform the 24-h pumping test on screen 1.

Conceptual Hydrogeology

In well R-50, screen 1 lies within sands and gravels of the Puye Formation. Screen 1 is 10 ft long, extending from 1077 to 1087 ft below ground surface (bgs). A 5-ft thick layer of silty deposits from 1085 to 1090 ft bgs may provide some hydraulic resistance between the screen 1 and screen 2 zones. Screen 2 is 20.6 ft long and is positioned 98 ft beneath screen 1, extending from 1185 to 1205.6 ft bgs. It is completed within Miocene pumiceous deposits.

The composite static water level measured on February 28, 2010, before testing was 1066.78 ft bgs. The brass cap elevation at the well is 6904.11 ft above mean sea level (amsl), making the composite water level elevation 5837.33 ft amsl.

When the screen zones were isolated using an inflatable packer, the water level in screen 1 rose 0.44 ft, to a depth of 1066.34 ft bgs and an elevation of 5837.77 ft amsl. Meanwhile, there was no measureable change in the head in screen 2, making its depth to water 1066.78 ft bgs at an elevation of 5837.33 ft amsl. Thus, the water levels showed a downward hydraulic gradient typical of most locations on the Plateau.

The observed head difference between the two zones suggested some degree of hydraulic separation, consistent with the observed silty zone at the bottom of screen 1 and implied likely confinement of the lower zone. In analyzing the pumping test data, the screen 1 zone was interpreted as being unconfined while the screen 2 zone was assumed to be confined.

R-50 Screen 1 Testing

Screen 1 was tested from February 28 to March 8, 2010. After filling the drop pipe on February 28, testing began with brief trial pumping on March 1, background data collection, and an attempted 24-h constantrate pumping test that began on March 3. During the trial test, the discharged groundwater was observed to be excessively aerated. Despite the large air content in the water, however, the pump operated satisfactorily for the 30-min trial pumping period. Trial testing of screen 1 began at 9:00 a.m. on March 1 at a discharge rate of 1.6 gallons per minute (gpm), continued for 30 min until 9:30 a.m., and was followed by 2718 min of recovery until 6:48 a.m. on March 3. At that time, in preparation for the 24-h screen 1 test, the inflatable packers were deflated temporarily to release any trapped air beneath the upper packer that might have interfered with pump operation.

At 8:00 a.m. on March 3, a 24-h pumping test was attempted. Unfortunately, no water was produced. It was assumed that the large air content of the screen 1 groundwater interfered with efficient operation of the pump sufficiently to make it impossible to lift water to the surface. To facilitate pumping, the packer was deflated temporarily to clear any additional trapped air and allow pumping of both screen 1 and screen 2 water. In this configuration, the pump readily produced nearly 7 gpm. The discharge rate was adjusted to around 2 gpm, a suitable rate for the screen 1 test, and the packers were reinflated. Pumping continued successfully at 2 gpm for about 20 min, after which the discharge pressure and pumping rate began to decline. Within another few minutes, pumping ceased altogether. It was assumed that air in the screen 1 groundwater again had interfered with pump operation.

The decision was made to run the screen 1 pumping test without an overlying inflatable packer to maximize the chances of expelling air from the pumped zone and minimize the effect of air on the pump. The pumping system was pulled from the well, reconfigured without an upper packer, and rerun.

On March 5, the 24-h pumping test was restarted at 7:00 a.m. at a discharge rate of 1.6 gpm. Pumping continued for 1440 min until 7:00 a.m. on March 6. Following shutdown, recovery/background data were recorded for 2880 min until 7:00 a.m. on March 8 when the pump was pulled from the well.

R-50 Screen 2 Testing

Well R-50 screen 2 was tested from March 8 to 12, 2010. After filling the drop pipe on March 8, testing began with brief trial pumping on March 9, background data collection, and a 24-h constant-rate pumping test that was begun on March 10.

Two trial tests were conducted on March 9. Trial 1 was conducted at a discharge rate of 20.3 gpm for 60 min from 10:00 to 11:00 a.m., followed by 60 min of recovery until 12:00 p.m.

Trial 2 was conducted for 60 min from 12:00 to 1:00 p.m. at a rate of 20.0 gpm. Following shutdown, recovery/background data were recorded for 1140 min until 8:00 a.m. on March 10.

At 8:00 a.m. on March 10, the 24-h pumping test began with a rate of 20.1 gpm. Pumping continued for 1440 min until 8:00 a.m. on March 11. Following shutdown, recovery measurements were recorded for 1440 min until 8:00 a.m. on March 12 when the pump was tripped out of the well. As presented below, while the air content of the screen 2 zone did not interfere with pump operation, it may have contributed to spurious pressure transients that rendered the 24-h pumping test data unusable.

Aerated Groundwater

Consistent with observations in many of the recent R-well pumping tests, presence of gas or air in the groundwater in R-50 presented some difficulties in pumping test execution and data analysis. Of note was that significant gas or air content was observed in the water pumped from both screens 1 and 2 during the pumping tests conducted on R-50. It is likely that high-pressure compressed air used in the drilling process invaded the aquifer zones during drilling, collecting in the formation pore spaces and/or dissolving in the groundwater. When water is pumped from the aquifer, trapped gas or air in the formation pores can move with the pumped water as well as expand and contract in response to pressure changes. Also, pressure reduction associated with pumping can allow dissolved gas or air to come out of solution. The significant quantity of gas or air present in the formations in recently tested wells has had several effects including (1) interfering with pump operating efficiency, (2) causing transient changes in aquifer

permeability, (3) inducing pressure transients as the gas or air expands and contracts, and (4) causing storage-like effects associated with changes in gas or air volume in the formation voids, filter pack, and well casing.

As described in this report, presence of gas or air in the aquifers at R-50 had the effect of making it impossible to pump screen 1 on a sustained basis unless the upper packer was removed and may have contributed to odd pressure transients during the 24-h test on screen 2, precluding analytical interpretation of that portion of the data set.

C-2.0 BACKGROUND DATA

The background water-level data collected in conjunction with running the pumping tests allow the analyst to determine what water-level fluctuations occur naturally in the aquifer and help distinguish between water-level changes caused by conducting the pumping test and changes associated with other causes.

Background water-level fluctuations have several causes, among them barometric pressure changes, operation of other wells in the aquifer, Earth tides, and long-term trends related to weather patterns. The background data hydrographs from the monitored wells were compared with barometric pressure data from the area to determine if a correlation existed.

Previous pumping tests on the Plateau have demonstrated a barometric efficiency for most wells of between 90% and 100%. Barometric efficiency is defined as the ratio of water-level change divided by barometric pressure change, expressed as a percentage. In the initial pumping tests conducted on the early R-wells, downhole pressure was monitored using a vented pressure transducer. This equipment measures the difference between the total pressure applied to the transducer and the barometric pressure; the difference is the true height of water above the transducer.

Subsequent pumping tests, including at R-50, have utilized nonvented transducers. These devices simply record the total pressure on the transducer, that is, the sum of the water height plus the barometric pressure. This results in an attenuated "apparent" hydrograph in a barometrically efficient well. Take as an example a 90% barometrically efficient well. When monitored using a vented transducer, an increase in barometric pressure of 1 unit causes a decrease in recorded downhole pressure of 0.9 unit because the water level is forced downward 0.9 unit by the barometric pressure change. However, if a nonvented transducer is used, the total measured pressure increases by 0.1 unit (the combination of the barometric pressure increase and the water-level decrease). Thus, the resulting apparent hydrograph changes by a factor of 100 minus the barometric efficiency and in the same direction as the barometric pressure change rather than in the opposite direction.

Barometric pressure data were obtained from Technical Area 54 (TA-54) tower site from the Waste and Environmental Services Division–Environmental Data and Analysis (WES-EDA) Group. The TA-54 measurement location is at an elevation of 6548 ft amsl, whereas the wellhead elevation is 6904.16 ft amsl. The static water level in R-50 was 1066.78 ft below land surface, making the calculated water-table elevation 5837.38 ft amsl. Therefore, the measured barometric pressure data from TA-54 had to be adjusted to reflect the pressure at the elevation of the water table within R-50.

The following formula was used to adjust the measured barometric pressure data:

$$P_{WT} = P_{TA54} \exp\left[-\frac{g}{3.281R} \left(\frac{E1 - E_{TA54}}{T_{TA54}} + \frac{E_{WT} - E_{R-50}}{T_{WELL}}\right)\right]$$
 Equation C-1

where P_{WT} = barometric pressure at the water table inside R-50

 P_{TA54} = barometric pressure measured at TA-54

g = acceleration of gravity, in m/s² (9.80665 m/sec²)

R = gas constant, in J/kg/degree kelvin (287.04 J/kg/degree kelvin)

 E_{R-50} = land surface elevation at R-50 site, in feet (6904.16 ft)

 E_{TA54} = elevation of barometric pressure measuring point at TA-54, in feet (6548 ft)

 E_{WT} = elevation of the water level in R-50, in feet (5837.38 ft)

 T_{TA54} = air temperature near TA-54, in degrees kelvin (assigned a value of 36.1 degrees Fahrenheit, or 275.4 degrees kelvin)

 T_{WELL} = air temperature inside R-50, in degrees kelvin (assigned a value of 62.5 degrees Fahrenheit, or 290.1 degrees kelvin)

This formula is an adaptation of an equation WES-EDA provided. It can be derived from the ideal gas law and standard physics principles. An inherent assumption in the derivation of the equation is that the air temperature between TA-54 and the well is temporally and spatially constant and that the temperature of the air column in the well is similarly constant.

The corrected barometric pressure data reflecting pressure conditions at the water table were compared to the water-level hydrograph to discern the correlation between the two.

C-3.0 IMPORTANCE OF EARLY DATA

When pumping or recovery first begins, the vertical extent of the cone of depression is limited to approximately the well screen length, the filter pack length, or the aquifer thickness in relatively thin permeable strata. For many pumping tests on the Plateau, the early pumping period is the only time the effective height of the cone of depression is known with certainty because soon after startup the cone of depression expands vertically through permeable materials above and/or below the screened interval. Thus, the early data often offer the best opportunity to obtain hydraulic conductivity information because conductivity would equal the earliest-time transmissivity divided by the well screen length.

Unfortunately, in many pumping tests, casing-storage effects dominate the early-time data, potentially hindering the effort to determine the transmissivity of the screened interval. The duration of casing-storage effects can be estimated using the following equation (Schafer 1978, 098240):

$$t_c = \frac{0.6(D^2 - d^2)}{\frac{Q}{s}}$$

Equation C-2

where t_c = duration of casing-storage effect, in minutes

D = inside diameter of well casing, in inches

d = outside diameter of column pipe, in inches

- Q = discharge rate, in gallons per minute
- s = drawdown observed in pumped well at time t_c , in feet

The calculated casing-storage time is quite conservative. Often, the data show significant effects of casing storage have dissipated after about half the computed time.

For wells screened across the water table, there can be an additional storage contribution from the filter pack around the screen. The following equation provides an estimate of the storage duration accounting for both casing and filter pack storage.

$$t_{c} = \frac{0.6[(D^{2} - d^{2}) + S_{y}(D_{B}^{2} - D_{C}^{2})]}{\frac{Q}{s}}$$
 Equation C-3

where S_{y} = short-term specific yield of filter media (typically 0.2)

- D_B = diameter of borehole, in inches
- D_C = outside diameter of well casing, in inches

This equation was derived from Equation C-2 on a proportional basis by increasing the computed time in direct proportion to the additional volume of water expected to drain from the filter pack. (To prove this, note that the left-hand term within the brackets is directly proportional to the annular area [and volume] between the casing and drop pipe while the right-hand term is proportional to the area [and volume] between the borehole and the casing, corrected for the drainable porosity of the filter pack. Thus, the summed term within the brackets accounts for all of the volume [casing water and drained filter pack water] appropriately.)

In some instances, it is possible to eliminate casing-storage effects by setting an inflatable packer above the tested screen interval before the test is conducted. As described below, this proved effective for the some but not all of the tests, likely because of trapped air in the formation pores. Also, as stated above, the upper inflatable packer had to be removed in order to complete the 24-h pumping test on screen 1.

C-4.0 TIME-DRAWDOWN METHODS

Time-drawdown data can be analyzed using a variety of methods. Among them is the Theis method (1934-1935, 098241). The Theis equation describes drawdown around a well as follows:

$$s = \frac{114.6Q}{T} W(u)$$
 Equation C-4

where

$$W(u) = \int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{x} dx$$
 Equation C-5

and

$$u = \frac{1.87r^2S}{Tt}$$
 Equation C-6

and where, S = drawdown, in feet

- Q = discharge rate, in gallons per minute
- T = transmissivity, in gallons per day per foot
- S = storage coefficient (dimensionless)
- t = pumping time, in days
- r = distance from center of pumpage, in feet

To use the Theis method of analysis, the time-drawdown data are plotted on log-log graph paper. Then, Theis curve matching is performed using the Theis type curve—a plot of the Theis well function W(u) versus 1/u. Curve matching is accomplished by overlaying the type curve on the data plot and, while keeping the coordinate axes of the two plots parallel, shifting the data plot to align with the type curve, effecting a match position. An arbitrary point, referred to as the match point, is selected from the overlapping parts of the plots. Match-point coordinates are recorded from the two graphs, yielding four values: W(u): 1/u, s, and t. Using these match-point values, transmissivity and storage coefficient are computed as follows:

$$T = \frac{114.6Q}{s} W(u)$$
Equation C-7
$$S = \frac{Tut}{2693r^2}$$
Equation C-8

- where T = transmissivity, in gallons per day per foot
 - *S* = storage coefficient
 - Q = discharge rate, in gallons per minute

W(u) = match-point value

- *s* = match-point value, in feet
- *u* = match-point value
- *t* = match-point value, in minutes

An alternative solution method applicable to time-drawdown data is the Cooper–Jacob method (1946, 098236), a simplification of the Theis equation that is mathematically equivalent to the Theis equation for most pumped well data. The Cooper–Jacob equation describes drawdown around a pumping well as follows:

$$s = \frac{264Q}{T}\log\frac{0.3Tt}{r^2S}$$

Equation C-9

The Cooper–Jacob equation is a simplified approximation of the Theis equation and is valid whenever the u value is less than about 0.05. For small radius values (e.g., corresponding to borehole radii), u is less than 0.05 at very early pumping times and therefore is less than 0.05 for most or all measured drawdown

values. Thus, for the pumped well, the Cooper–Jacob equation usually can be considered a valid approximation of the Theis equation. An exception occurs when the transmissivity of the aquifer is very low. In that case, some of the early pumped well drawdown data may not be well approximated by the Cooper-Jacob equation.

According to the Cooper–Jacob method, the time-drawdown data are plotted on a semilog graph, with time plotted on the logarithmic scale. Then a straight line of best fit is constructed through the data points and transmissivity is calculated using

$$T = \frac{264Q}{\Delta s}$$

Equation C-10

where T = transmissivity, in gallons per day per foot

- Q = discharge rate, in gallons per minute
- Δs = change in head over one log cycle of the graph, in feet

Because many of the test wells completed on the Plateau are severely partially penetrating, an alternate solution considered for assessing aquifer conditions is the Hantush equation for partially penetrating wells (Hantush 1961, 098237; Hantush 1961, 106003). The Hantush equation is as follows:

Equation C-11

$$s = \frac{Q}{4\pi T} \left[W(u) + \frac{2b^2}{\pi^2 (l-d)(l'-d')} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\sin \frac{n\pi l}{b} - \sin \frac{n\pi d}{b} \right) \left(\sin \frac{n\pi l'}{b} - \sin \frac{n\pi d'}{b} \right) W\left(u, \sqrt{\frac{K_z}{K_r}} \frac{n\pi r}{b} \right) \right]$$

where, in consistent units, s, Q, T, t, r, S, and u are as previously defined and

b = aquifer thickness

d = distance from top of aquifer to top of well screen in pumped well

- l = distance from top of aquifer to bottom of well screen in pumped well
- d' = distance from top of aquifer to top of well screen in observation well
- l' = distance from top of aquifer to bottom of well screen in observation well
- K_z = vertical hydraulic conductivity
- K_r = horizontal hydraulic conductivity

In this equation, W(u) is the Theis well function and $W(u,\beta)$ is the Hantush well function for leaky aquifers where

$$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{K_z}{K_r}} \frac{n\pi r}{b}$$
 Equation C-12

Note that for single-well tests, d = d' and l = l'.

C-5.0 RECOVERY METHODS

Recovery data were analyzed using the Theis recovery method. This is a semilog analysis method similar to the Cooper–Jacob procedure.

In this method, residual drawdown is plotted on a semilog graph versus the ratio t/t', where t is the time since pumping began and t' is the time since pumping stopped. A straight line of best fit is constructed through the data points and T is calculated from the slope of the line as follows:

$$T = \frac{264Q}{\Delta s}$$
 Equation C-13

The recovery data are particularly useful compared with time-drawdown data. Because the pump is not running, spurious data responses associated with dynamic discharge rate fluctuations are eliminated. The result is that the data set is generally "smoother" and easier to analyze.

C-6.0 SPECIFIC CAPACITY METHOD

The specific capacity of the pumped well can be used to obtain a lower-bound value of hydraulic conductivity. The hydraulic conductivity is computed using formulas that are based on the assumption that the pumped well is 100% efficient. The resulting hydraulic conductivity is the value required to sustain the observed specific capacity. If the actual well is less than 100% efficient, it follows that the actual hydraulic conductivity would have to be greater than calculated to compensate for well inefficiency. Thus, because the efficiency is not known, the computed hydraulic conductivity value represents a lower bound. The actual conductivity is known to be greater than or equal to the computed value.

For fully penetrating wells, the Cooper–Jacob equation can be iterated to solve for the lower-bound hydraulic conductivity. However, the Cooper–Jacob equation (assuming full penetration) ignores the contribution to well yield from permeable sediments above and below the screened interval. To account for this contribution, it is necessary to use a computation algorithm that includes the effects of partial penetration. One such approach was introduced by Brons and Marting (1961, 098235) and augmented by Bradbury and Rothchild (1985, 098234).

Brons and Marting introduced a dimensionless drawdown correction factor, s_P , approximated by Bradbury and Rothschild as follows:

$$s_{P} = \frac{1 - \frac{L}{b}}{\frac{L}{b}} \left[\ln \frac{b}{r_{w}} - 2.948 + 7.363 \frac{L}{b} - 11.447 \left(\frac{L}{b}\right)^{2} + 4.675 \left(\frac{L}{b}\right)^{3} \right]$$
 Equation C-14

In this equation, L is the well screen length, in feet. Incorporating the dimensionless drawdown parameter, the conductivity is obtained by iterating the following formula:

$$K = \frac{264Q}{sb} \left(\log \frac{0.3Tt}{r_w^2 S} + \frac{2s_p}{\ln 10} \right)$$
 Equation C-15

The Brons and Marting procedure can be applied to both partially penetrating and fully penetrating wells.

To apply this procedure, a storage coefficient value must be assigned. Storage coefficient values generally range from 10^{-5} to 10^{-3} for confined aquifers and 0.01 to 0.25 for unconfined aquifers (Driscoll 1986, 104226). The screen 1 zone was treated as unconfined in this analysis, while the screen 2 zone was considered confined. Storage coefficient values ranging from 0.01 to 0.10 were used for the screen 1 calculations and a range of 10^{-4} to 10^{-3} was used for screen 2. The calculation result is not particularly sensitive to the choice of storage coefficient value, so a rough estimate is generally adequate to support the calculations.

The analysis also requires assigning a value for the saturated aquifer thickness, *b*. For screen 1, the aquifer was considered to extend from the static water level of 1066.34 ft to the top of the silty zone at 1085 ft—a thickness of 18.66 ft. This made the usable screen length 8 ft—from 1077 to 1085 ft. For screen 2, the aquifer thickness was arbitrarily assigned a value of 120 ft. For partially penetrating conditions, the calculations are not particularly sensitive to the choice of aquifer thickness because sediments far above or below the screen typically contribute little flow.

C-7.0 BACKGROUND DATA ANALYSIS

Background aquifer pressure data collected during the R-50 tests were plotted along with barometric pressure to determine the barometric effect on water levels.

Figure C-7.0-1 shows aquifer pressure data from R-50 screen 1 during the test period along with barometric pressure data from TA-54 that have been corrected to equivalent barometric pressure in feet of water at the water table. The R-50 data are referred to in the figure as the "apparent hydrograph" because the measurements reflect the sum of water pressure and barometric pressure, having been recorded using a nonvented pressure transducer. The times of the pumping periods for the R-50 pumping tests are included in the figure for reference.

The apparent hydrograph showed a steady decline in observed aquifer pressure through the entire monitoring period. This may have been a response to pumping Los Alamos County well PM-4, which was started on February 28 and operated almost continuously throughout the testing and monitoring period shown in the figure. No significant pressure change in response to barometric pressure fluctuations occurred, suggesting a barometric efficiency of near 100%.

The data in Figure C-7.0-1 showed a clear response in screen 1 to pumping screen 2. The magnitude of the drawdown response to pumping screen 2 at 20.0 gpm for 24 h was approximately 0.03 ft.

Figure C-7.0-2 shows aquifer pressure data collected from R-50 screen 2 during the pumping test effort. The sinusoidal diurnal fluctuations having a magnitude of a few hundredths of a foot were likely induced by Earth tides. In addition to the Earth-tide signal, the overall shape of the apparent hydrograph appeared to be a muted version of the barometric pressure signal.

Figure C-7.0-3 shows an expanded-scale plot of the apparent hydrograph showing its similarity to the barometric pressure plot. The relative scales on the plots implied a possible barometric efficiency of about 75%. Of note, however, was that the fluctuations in the apparent hydrograph appeared to *precede* those in the barometric pressure signal—a phenomenon that is ostensibly impossible but that has been observed previously on the plateau. It may be that the similarity in the shapes of the plots was merely coincidental, as it is difficult to explain how changes in the aquifer pressure could precede barometric pressure changes. Alternatively, it is conceivable that atmospheric pressure changes some distance away are transmitted through the aquifer to R-50 more rapidly than they can travel through the atmosphere to the measuring tower.

According to Figures C-7.0-2 and C-7.0-3, the screen 2 water levels showed no discernable response to pumping screen 1 at 1.6 gpm.

Hydrograph data from additional nearby R-wells were downloaded to check for a possible pumping response during the R-50 pumping tests. Wells examined included R-28 (1320 ft away), R-42 (1940 ft), R-44 (1350 ft) and R-45 (1880 ft). Figures C-7.0-4 through C-7.0-9 show data retrieved from R-28, R-42, R-44 screen 1, R-44 screen 2, R-45 screen 1 and R-45 screen 2, respectively.

Because the barometric pressure fluctuations in the hydrographs were large, it was necessary to correct the water level data by removing the barometric effect. This correction was made using BETCO (barometric and Earth-tide correction) software—a mathematically complex correction algorithm that uses regression deconvolution (Toll and Rasmussen 2007, 104799) to modify the data. The BETCO correction not only removes barometric-pressure effects but Earth tides as well. The BETCO corrected data for each of the screen zones are included in the data plots in Figures C-7.0-4 through C-7.0-9. The packer at R-44 was deflated from March 6 to 10; during this time, the composite water level is shown in Figures C-7.0-6 and C-7.0-7.

Examination of these figures showed no response to pumping R-50 screen 1. Lack of a response was less certain for the 20-gpm test on R-50 screen 2. R-28, R-44 screen 2 and R-45 screen 2 showed a possible response, although the noise in the corrected signal was sufficient to make a confident determination of a response difficult.

C-8.0 WELL R-50 SCREEN 1 DATA ANALYSIS

This section presents the data obtained from the R-50 screen 1 pumping tests and the results of the analytical interpretations. Data are presented for drawdown and recovery from the trial test and the 24-h constant-rate test.

C-8.1 Well R-50 Screen 1 Trial Test

Figure C-8.1-1 shows a semilog plot of the drawdown data collected from the 30-min trial test on screen 1 at a discharge rate of 1.6 gpm. The transmissivity estimated from the plot was 280 gpd/ft. Based on a permeable thickness of 18.66 ft (from the static water level to the top of the silty zone near the bottom of screen 1), the computed hydraulic conductivity was 15.0 gpd/ft², or 2.0 ft/d.

Figure C-8.1-2 shows the recovery data collected following shutdown of the trial pumping test. Within several minutes of pump shutoff, nearly full recovery was achieved. The premature water level recovery may have been an indication of hysteretic effects. In unconfined aquifers, rate of recovery can be more rapid than that of drawdown because of a smaller effective storage coefficient during recovery. During pumping the capillary fringe above the water table increases in thickness, while during recover it gets thinner (Bevan et al. 2005, 105186). If the rate of thinning during recovery exceeds the rate of growth during pumping, the effective storage coefficient during recovery will be less than that during pumping, resulting in a more rapid recovery rate than drawdown rate. Additionally, as the water table rebounds during recovery, it can trap air in the previously dewatered pore spaces, further decreasing the effective recovery storage coefficient. Further exacerbating the problem may have been extraneous air already in the formation or air that was dissolved in the groundwater and came out of solution during pumping. It was not possible to estimate aquifer properties from the recovery graph.

The form of the curve in Figure C-8.1-2 was suggestive of storage effects, even though an upper inflatable packer was used for the trial test. The recovery data were plotted as calculated recovery versus recovery time on the log-log graph shown in Figure C-8.1-3. The resulting essentially linear data plot over most of the

range of water-level change was consistent with storage effects and may have resulted from accumulation of additional air in the formation and well casing coming out of solution during the pumping period.

C-8.2 Well R-50 Screen 1 24-Hour Constant-Rate Test

Figure C-8.2-1 shows a semilog plot of the drawdown data collected from the 24-h constant-rate pumping test conducted at 1.6 gpm. Because an inflatable packer was not used for this test, casing-storage effects persisted for a significant duration. The relevant storage times are shown on the graph for reference. The transmissivity estimated from the plot was 220 gpd/ft making the average hydraulic conductivity of the upper 18.66 ft of saturation 11.8 gpd/ft², or 1.6 ft/d. The slope fluctuations over the latter part of the test may have reflected aquifer heterogeneity or may have resulted from hydraulic conductivity fluctuations in the aerated portion of the formation near the well bore in response to varying air distribution and content over time.

Figure C-8.2-2 shows the recovery data collected following shutdown of the 24-h constant-rate pumping test. As indicated by the storage times on the graph, the entire span of recovery was casing-storage affected and could not be analyzed.

As seen in the trial test, full recovery was achieved in a relatively short time, suggesting asymmetry between the drawdown and recovery responses. Figure C-8.2-3 shows a comparison plot of drawdown and calculated recovery, highlighting the stunning difference in the two responses.

C-8.3 Well R-50 Screen 1 Specific Capacity Data

Specific capacity data were used along with well geometry to estimate a lower-bound transmissivity value for the permeable zone penetrated by R-50 screen 1 to provide a frame of reference for evaluating the foregoing analyses.

At the end of the 24-h pumping test, the discharge rate was 1.6 gpm with a resulting drawdown of 10.55 ft for a specific capacity of 0.15 gpm/ft. In addition to specific capacity and pumping time, other input values used in the calculations included storage coefficient values ranging from 0.01 to 0.1, a borehole radius of 0.51 ft, a screen length of 8 ft (from the top of screen 1 at 1077 ft to the top of the silty sediments at 1085 ft), and a saturated thickness of 18.66 ft (from the static water level to the top of the silty sediments).

Applying the Brons and Marting method to these inputs yielded lower-bound transmissivity values shown in Figure C-8.3-1. As indicated, the lower-bound transmissivity values fell between 220 and 280 gpd/ft, consistent with the pumping test values cited previously.

C-9.0 WELL R-50 SCREEN 2 DATA ANALYSIS

This section presents the data collected from the R-50 screen 2 pumping tests and the results of the analytical interpretations. Data are presented for drawdown and recovery data from trials 1 and 2.

The data from the 24-h test showed highly unusual response and were not analyzable. Figure C-9.0-1 shows a linear plot of the complete data set obtained from screen 2 during the screen 2 pumping tests. The response to the trial tests appeared normal, but the data from the 24-h test was inexplicable.

As shown on the graph, pressure began rising steadily about an hour before the start of the 24-h test. When the pump was started, an abrupt drop in level occurred, but then the steady rate of rise resumed. It appeared that the pumping drawdown response was superimposed on the rising trend, although the magnitude of the drawdown was substantially less than had been observed during the trial tests. Several hours into the test, the rate of rise diminished and remained small for the remainder of the pumping period.

When pumping stopped, there was an abrupt rise in pressure/level to an apparent position above the static water level ("super recovery"), followed by a few linear rises in level as well as a brief period of apparent stabilization. Of significance, after 24 h of recovery, when the inflatable packer was deflated, the measured level dropped to the actual static water level.

It was not known if the pressure transducer had malfunctioned or if the recorded pressures had actually occurred, although it is possible that the measurements are reliable. Other pumping tests conducted in wells having air in the formation have shown similar data response. Specifically, tests conducted on R-48 and R-34 showed some of the same linear responses seen in Figure C-9.0-1. Those tests also showed super recovery with magnitudes of up to 15 ft of recovery above the static water level. The common factor among the wells was the presence of gas or air in the formation, as evidenced by its effect on submersible pump operation and/or visible gas or air bubbles in the pumped water.

The anecdotal observation of the transducer producing the correct water level when the packer was deflated at the conclusion of testing lends credibility to the idea that the transducer did not malfunction. It is possible that the apparent super recovery resulted from gas or air pressure around the borehole or in the well at the transducer that could not be relieved until the packer was deflated, allowing air to escape up the well casing. Following similar anomalies observed in the testing of R-48, the pressure transducer used in that test was returned to the vendor for examination and testing and was found to be in perfect working order.

Regardless of the causes of the unusual response recorded in the screen 2 24-h pumping test, the data were unusable and were not analyzed.

C-9.1 Well R-50 Screen 2 Trial 1

Figure C-9.1-1 shows a semilog plot of the screen 2 drawdown data collected from trial 1 at a discharge rate of 20.3 gpm. The early data suggested a transmissivity of 1400 gpd/ft for the 20.6-ft-long screened interval, making the estimated average hydraulic conductivity 68.0 gpd/ft², or 9.1 ft/d.

The subsequent progressively flatter slopes may indicate variety of conditions including leakage, vertical growth of the cone of depression into a thicker sequence of sediments, and a lateral increase in hydraulic conductivity and transmissivity. In this instance, it was likely that the flattening trend indicated vertical growth of the cone of depression beyond the screen length. The computed transmissivity for any particular segment of the data plot roughly reflects the transmissivity of the unknown sediment thickness penetrated by the cone of depression at that particular time.

Figure C-9.1-2 shows the recovery data collected following shutdown of the trial 1 pumping test. The transmissivity estimated from the early data was 1380 gpd/ft, making the computed hydraulic conductivity 67.0 gpd/ft², or 9.0 ft/d. The subsequent data showed the same steady slope decrease observed in the drawdown data set.

C-9.2 Well R-50 Screen 2 Trial 2

When trial 2 pumping was first initiated, no water was produced for 1 min at which time the pump was shut off. The pump was restarted after a 2-min shutdown and produced water. Subsequent examination of the drawdown data showed that the pump had indeed produced water during the first minute of operation, indicating a significant length of drop pipe must have drained and was being refilled during the initial 1-min pumping period.

Figure C-9.2-1 shows a semilog plot of the drawdown data collected from the trial 2 restart at a discharge rate of 20.0 gpm. The transmissivity value computed from the early data was 1350 gpd/ft, making the average hydraulic conductivity of the screened interval 65.5 gpd/ft², or 8.8 ft/d. The subsequent flatter slopes showed the recharge-like effect associated with possible vertical growth of the cone of depression beyond the limited screened interval, leakage and/or lateral heterogeneity.

Figure C-9.2-2 shows Hantush partial penetration curve matching analysis of the data. The analysis was performed for an arbitrary assumed aquifer thickness of 120 ft. The analysis shown in Figure C-9.2-2 was based on an anisotropy ratio of 1.0. The hydraulic conductivity determined from the curve match was 63.3 gpd/ft², or 8.5 ft/d.

The Hantush analysis was repeated for anisotropy values of 0.1 and 0.01 as shown in Figures C-9.2-3 and C-9.2-4, respectively. The curve matches became progressively worse for increasing anisotropy severity, suggesting relatively isotropic conditions for the contiguous aquifer zone penetrated by screen 2. Because of the poor curve matches in Figures C-9.2-3 and C-9.2-4, the calculated aquifer parameters were not considered reliable.

Figure C-9.2-5 shows the recovery data collected following shutdown of the trial 2 pumping test. As indicated on the graph, the transmissivity value obtained from the early recovery data was 1330 gpd/ft, implying a hydraulic conductivity of 64.6 gpd/ft², or 8.6 ft/d. The later data again showed a continuously flattening slope associated with vertical expansion of the cone of impression (recovery cone) around the well.

C-9.3 Well R-50 Screen 2 Specific Capacity Data

Specific capacity data were used along with well geometry to estimate a lower-bound hydraulic conductivity value for the permeable zone penetrated by R-50 screen 2. This was done to provide a frame of reference for evaluating the foregoing analyses.

During the trial 2 pumping test, the discharge rate was 20.0 gpm for 60 min. The corresponding drawdown was 10.9 ft for a specific capacity estimate of 1.83 gpm/ft. In addition to specific capacity and pumping time, other input values used in the calculations included an arbitrary aquifer thickness of 120 ft, a well screen length of 20.6 ft, storage coefficient values ranging from 10^{-4} to 10^{-3} and a borehole radius of 0.51 ft.

Applying the Brons and Marting method to these inputs yielded the lower-bound hydraulic conductivity values shown in Figure C-9.3-1. As indicated, the lower-bound hydraulic conductivity values ranged from about 80 to 85 gpd/ft², depending on the assumed storage coefficient value. The hydraulic conductivity values computed from the pumping test analyses cited previously averaged about 66 gpd/ft². Thus, the calculated lower-bound values exceeded the pumping test values, although by a modest amount (20%).

The discrepancy between the lower-bound hydraulic conductivity values and the pumping-test values could have resulted from heterogeneity effects—for example, the non-screened sediments having a greater average hydraulic conductivity than the screened zone. Alternatively, it could imply small errors in the pumping test values. An example of a possible cause of errors in the basic analyses would be a minor storage effect associated with a small amount of air trapped in the formation. The resulting subtle storage effect could lead to a slight underestimate of the hydraulic conductivity. Finally, it is possible that the assumption of fully confined conditions underestimated the storage coefficient for the screen 2 zone. The slight drawdown observed in screen 1 while pumping screen 2 could imply minor dewatering of the upper aquifer during the test, increasing the effective screen 2 storage coefficient. Incorporating a larger storage coefficient in the Brons and Marting calculations would have had the effect of reducing the computed hydraulic conductivity somewhat. It was not possible to determine the nature of the cause(s) of the slight

inconsistency between the pumping test results and specific capacity analysis. Nevertheless, the computed difference was relatively small and inconsequential and of relatively the same magnitude.

C-10 SUMMARY

Constant rate pumping tests were conducted on R-50 screens 1 and 2. The tests were performed to gain an understanding of the hydraulic characteristics of the screen zones and the degree of interconnection between them. Numerous observations and conclusions were drawn for the tests as summarized below.

The static water level observed in screen 1 was 0.44 ft higher than that in screen 2, showing a downward hydraulic gradient—typical for multiple-screen wells at the Laboratory. The silty sediments observed from 1085 to 1090 ft bgs likely created hydraulic resistance between the two screen zones. These sediments were assumed to provide confinement for the screen 2 zone. The screen 1 zone was assumed to be unconfined.

A comparison of barometric pressure and R-50 screen 1 water-level data showed a high barometric efficiency, probably around 100%. The data for screen 2, on the other hand, suggested the possibility of a barometric efficiency for that zone of about 75%, although the hydrograph fluctuations inexplicably preceded the barometric pressure changes.

Pumping screen 1 at 1.6 gpm for 1440 min had no discernable effect on water levels in screen 2, whereas pumping screen 2 at 20.1 gpm for 1440 min caused about 0.03 ft of drawdown in screen 1.

Analysis of the screen 1 pumping tests showed transmissivity values of 220 and 280 gpd/ft, averaging 250 gpd/ft. This made the average hydraulic conductivity of the upper 18.66 ft of saturated formation (from the screen 1 static water level of 1066.34 ft to the top of the silty sediments at 1085 ft) 13.4 gpd/ft², or 1.8 ft/d.

Screen 1 produced 1.6 gpm for 1440 min with 10.55 ft of drawdown for a specific capacity of 0.15 gpm/ft. The lower-bound transmissivity computed from this information was between about 220 and 280 gpd/ft, consistent with the pumping tests values.

Analysis of the screen 2 pumping tests suggested a hydraulic conductivity of 66 gpd/ft² (8.8 ft/d) for the 20.6-ft-thick screened interval.

Screen 2 produced 20.0 gpm for 60 min with 10.9 ft of drawdown for a short-term specific capacity of 1.8 gpm/ft. The lower-bound hydraulic conductivity computed from this information ranged from 80 to 85 gpd/ft², somewhat inconsistent with the pumping test value, but of relatively the same magnitude.

The steadily flattening drawdown curves for screen 2 showed a recharge-like effect associated with vertical growth of the cone of depression (partial penetration), leakage, and/or a lateral increase in hydraulic conductivity.

The data showed significant effects of air in the formation, filter pack and/or well casing. The likely source of the air was the high-pressure compressed air used in drilling the borehole. The presence of air caused storage effects in some of the screen 1 tests and made it impossible to pump water from screen 1 on a sustained basis if an upper packer was used. Much of the data recorded in the screen 2 testing showed extremely unusual response, possibly related to dynamic pressure transients associated with temporal air content and distribution in the formation.

C-11.0 REFERENCES

The following list includes all documents cited in this appendix. Parenthetical information following each reference provides the author(s), publication date, and ER ID. This information is also included in text citations. ER IDs are assigned by the Environmental Programs Directorate's Records Processing Facility (RPF) and are used to locate the document at the RPF and, where applicable, in the master reference set.

Copies of the master reference set are maintained at the NMED Hazardous Waste Bureau and the Directorate. The set was developed to ensure that the administrative authority has all material needed to review this document, and it is updated with every document submitted to the administrative authority. Documents previously submitted to the administrative authority are not included.

- Bevan, M.J., A.L. Endres, D.L. Rudolph, and G. Parkin, December 2005. "A Field Scale Study of Pumping-Induced Drainage and Recovery in an Unconfined Aquifer," *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 315, No. 1–4, pp. 52–70. (Bevan et al. 2005, 105186)
- Bradbury, K.R., and E.R. Rothschild, March-April 1985. "A Computerized Technique for Estimating the Hydraulic Conductivity of Aquifers from Specific Capacity Data," *Ground Water*, Vol. 23, No. 2, pp. 240-246. (Bradbury and Rothschild 1985, 098234)
- Brons, F., and V.E. Marting, 1961. "The Effect of Restricted Fluid Entry on Well Productivity," *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 172-174. (Brons and Marting 1961, 098235)
- Cooper, H.H., Jr., and C.E. Jacob, August 1946. "A Generalized Graphical Method for Evaluating Formation Constants and Summarizing Well-Field History," *American Geophysical Union Transactions,* Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 526-534. (Cooper and Jacob 1946, 098236)
- Driscoll, F.G., 1986. Excerpted pages from *Groundwater and Wells*, 2nd Ed., Johnson Filtration Systems Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota. (Driscoll 1986, 104226)
- Hantush, M.S., July 1961. "Drawdown around a Partially Penetrating Well," *Journal of the Hydraulics Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers,* Vol. 87, No. HY 4, pp. 83-98. (Hantush 1961, 098237)
- Hantush, M.S., September 1961. "Aquifer Tests on Partially Penetrating Wells," Journal of the Hydraulics Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, pp. 171–195. (Hantush 1961, 106003)
- Schafer, D.C., January-February 1978. "Casing Storage Can Affect Pumping Test Data," *The Johnson Drillers Journal*, pp. 1-6, Johnson Division, UOP, Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota. (Schafer 1978, 098240)
- Theis, C.V., 1934-1935. "The Relation Between the Lowering of the Piezometric Surface and the Rate and Duration of Discharge of a Well Using Ground-Water Storage," *American Geophysical Union Transactions,* Vol. 15-16, pp. 519-524. (Theis 1934-1935, 098241)
- Toll, N.J., and T.C. Rasmussen, January–February 2007. "Removal of Barometric Pressure Effects and Earth Tides from Observed Water Levels," *Ground Water*, Vol. 45, No. 1, pp. 101–105. (Toll and Rasmussen 2007, 104799)



Figure C-7.0-1 Well R-50 screen 1 apparent hydrograph



Figure C-7.0-2 Well R-50 screen 2 apparent hydrograph



Figure C-7.0-3 Well R-50 screen 2 apparent hydrograph—expanded scale



Figure C-7.0-4 Well R-28 hydrograph



Figure C-7.0-5 Well R-42 hydrograph



Figure C-7.0-6 Well R-44 screen 1 hydrograph



Figure C-7.0-7 Well R-44 screen 2 hydrograph



Figure C-7.0-8 Well R-45 screen 1 hydrograph



Figure C-7.0-9 Well R-45 screen 2 hydrograph



Figure C-8.1-1 Well R-50 screen 1 trial drawdown



Figure C-8.1-2 Well R-50 screen 1 trial recovery



Figure C-8.1-3 Well R-50 screen 1 trial calculated recovery



Figure C-8.2-1 Well R-50 screen 1 drawdown



Figure C-8.2-2 Well R-50 screen 1 recovery



Figure C-8.2-3 Well R-50 screen 1 drawdown and recovery comparison



Figure C-8.3-1 Well R-50 screen 1 lower-bound transmissivity



Figure C-9.0-1 Well R-50 screen 2 test data



Figure C-9.1-1 Well R-50 screen 2 trial 1 drawdown



Figure C-9.1-2 Well R-50 screen 2 trial 1 recovery



Figure C-9.2-1 Well R-50 screen 2 trial 2 drawdown



Figure C-9.2-2 Well R-50 screen 2 trial 2 drawdown—Hantush solution for anisotropy of 1.0



Figure C-9.2-3 Well R-50 screen 2 trial 2 drawdown—Hantush solution for anisotropy of 0.1



Figure C-9.2-4 Well R-50 screen 2 trial 2 drawdown—Hantush solution for anisotropy of 0.01



Figure C-9.2-5 Well R-50 screen 2 trial 2 recovery



Figure C-9.3-1 Well R-50 screen 2 lower-bound hydraulic conductivity

Appendix D

Borehole Video Logging (on DVD included with this document)

Appendix E

Geophysical Logs and Schlumberger Geophysical Logging Report (on CD included with this document)