



ESHID-602786

**Environmental Protection & Compliance Division** Los Alamos National Laboratory PO Box 1663, K491 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 (505) 667-2211

Environmental Management Los Alamos Field Office 1900 Diamond Drive, MS984 Los Alamos, New Mexico, 87544 (505) 665-5820/Fax (505) 665-5903

Date:

DEC 1 9 2017

Symbol: EPC-DO: 17-550

LA-UR:

17-31275

Locates Action No.: N/A

Mr. John E. Kieling, Chief Hazardous Waste Bureau New Mexico Environment Department 2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1 Santa Fe, NM 87505

Subject:

Transmittal of Analytical Results of the Sixth Pre-treatment Sample for the Los

Alamos National Laboratory Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

Dear Mr. Kieling:

The purpose of this letter is to report analytical results as required by the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Hazardous Waste Facility Permit issued to the Department of Energy (DOE) and Los Alamos National Security, LLC (LANS), collectively the Permittees, in November 2010. Permit Section 7.6(2) and Section C.3.2.4 of Permit Attachment C (Waste Analysis Plan) require the collection of pre-treatment solid waste samples from six remediated nitrate salt-bearing waste containers and pre-treatment liquid waste samples from two unremediated nitrate salt-bearing waste containers. Analytical results from LANL onsite laboratory testing must be provided to the New Mexico Environment Department Hazardous Waste Bureau (NMED-HWB) within 60 days of the sample collection. The sixth pre-treatment composite sample (from container 94068) was collected on October 31, 2017.

Enclosure 1 includes a memorandum detailing the analytical results from the on-site analytical laboratory to the waste generating organization. Enclosure 2 includes a table with a column indicating expected ranges for each analyte based on the Permittee's surrogate waste testing. The expected ranges for the remediated nitrate salt-bearing waste stream were developed by the Permittees from the ranges of the surrogate materials utilized while developing the treatment method for nitrate salt waste. All constituents and properties were comparable to the expected ranges for the waste stream. However, anion concentrations were found to have high variability within this sample. Anion testing will be repeated to determine if the broad distribution is due to the sample's heterogeneity. The results of retesting will be included in the Permittees' remediated nitrate salt-bearing waste analytical summary report at a later date.



If you have comments or questions regarding this submittal, please contact Arturo Duran (Environmental Management) at (505) 665-7772 or Mark P. Haagenstad (LANS) at (505) 665-2014.

Sincerely,

Benjamine B. Roberts (Acting) Division Leader

Sincerely,

Arturo Q. Duran

Permitting and Compliance Manager

#### BBR/AQD/MPH:am

Enclosure(s):

- 1) Analytical Results for Sample Collected from Remediated Nitrate Salt-Bearing Waste Container 94068
- 2) Comparison Table of Expected Chemical Constituents/Properties

Copy: Laurie King, USEPA/Region 6, Dallas, TX (E-File)

Neelam Dhawan, NMED/HWB, Santa Fe, NM, (E-File)

Siona Briley, NMED/HWB, Santa Fe, NM, (E-File)

Robert Murphy, NMED/HWB, Santa Fe, NM, (E-File)

Pam Allen, NMED/HWB, Santa Fe, NM, (E-File)

Douglas E. Hintze, EM-LA, (E-File)

David J. Nickless, EM-WM, (E-File)

Duane A. Parsons, EM-LA, (E-File)

William S. Goodrum, NA-LA, (E-File)

Peter Maggiore, NA-LA, (E-File)

Jody M. Pugh, NA-LA, (E-File)

Adrienne Nash, NA-LA, (E-File)

Karen E. Armijo, NA-LA, (E-File)

Jordan Arnswald, NA-LA, (E-File)

Darlene S. Rodriguez, NA-LA, (E-File)

Craig S. Leasure, PADOPS, (E-File)

William R. Mairson, PADOPS, (E-File)

Michael T. Brandt, ADESH, (E-File)

Randall M. Erickson, ADEM, (E-File)

Cheryl D. Cabbil, ADNHHO, (E-File)

Raeanna Sharp-Geiger, ADESH, (E-File)

Enrique Torres, ADEM, (E-File)

David J. Funk, ADEM, (E-File)

Stephanie Q. Griego, EWMO-DO, (E-File)

Robert C. Stokes, DESHS-EWMS, (E-File)



Mr. John Kieling EPC-DO: 17-550

### Copy:

Rebecca M. Chamberlin, C-AAC, (E-file)
Patrick T. Martinez, C-AAC, (E-File)
Mark P. Haagenstad, EPC-CP, (E-File)
Ellena I. Martinez, EPC-CP, (E-File)
Victoria R. Baca, DESHS-EWMS (E-File)
lasomailbox@nnsa.doe.gov, (E-File)
locatesteam@lanl.gov, (E-File)
epc-correspondence@lanl.gov, (E-File)
adesh-records@lanl.gov, (E-File)
rcra-prr@lanl.gov, (E-File)









Environmental Protection & Compliance Division Los Alamos National Laboratory PO Box 1663, K491 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 (505) 667-2211

Environmental Management Los Alamos Field Office 1900 Diamond Drive, MS984 Los Alamos, New Mexico, 87544 (505) 665-5820/Fax (505) 665-5903

Date:

DEC 1 9 2017

Symbol:

EPC-DO: 17-550

LA-UR:

17-31275

Locates Action No.: N/A

Mr. John E. Kieling, Chief Hazardous Waste Bureau New Mexico Environment Department 2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1 Santa Fe, NM 87505

Subject:

Transmittal of Analytical Results of the Sixth Pre-treatment Sample for the Los

Alamos National Laboratory Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

Dear Mr. Kieling:

The purpose of this letter is to report analytical results as required by the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Hazardous Waste Facility Permit issued to the Department of Energy (DOE) and Los Alamos National Security, LLC (LANS), collectively the Permittees, in November 2010. Permit Section 7.6(2) and Section C.3.2.4 of Permit Attachment C (Waste Analysis Plan) require the collection of pre-treatment solid waste samples from six remediated nitrate salt-bearing waste containers and pre-treatment liquid waste samples from two unremediated nitrate salt-bearing waste containers. Analytical results from LANL onsite laboratory testing must be provided to the New Mexico Environment Department Hazardous Waste Bureau (NMED-HWB) within 60 days of the sample collection. The sixth pre-treatment composite sample (from container 94068) was collected on October 31, 2017.

Enclosure 1 includes a memorandum detailing the analytical results from the on-site analytical laboratory to the waste generating organization. Enclosure 2 includes a table with a column indicating expected ranges for each analyte based on the Permittee's surrogate waste testing. The expected ranges for the remediated nitrate salt-bearing waste stream were developed by the Permittees from the ranges of the surrogate materials utilized while developing the treatment method for nitrate salt waste. All constituents and properties were comparable to the expected ranges for the waste stream. However, anion concentrations were found to have high variability within this sample. Anion testing will be repeated to determine if the broad distribution is due to the sample's heterogeneity. The results of retesting will be included in the Permittees' remediated nitrate salt-bearing waste analytical summary report at a later date.



Document:

Date:

Analytical Results for 94068

December 2017

#### CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Benjamine B. Roberts

(Acting) Division Leader

Environmental Protection and Compliance Programs

Los Alamos National Laboratory

12/15/2017

Date Signed

Arturo Q. Duran

Permitting Manager

Environmental Management

Los Alamos Field Office

U.S. Department of Energy

12/19/2017

Date Signed

### **ENCLOSURE 1**

Analytical Results for Sample Collected from Remediated Nitrate Salt-Bearing Waste Container 94068

EPC-DO: 17-550

LA-UR-17-31275

DEC 1 9 2017



# memorandum

Actinide Analytical Chemistry

To/MS: David Funk, ADEP, MS J910

Randy Erickson, ADEP, MS J910

From/MS: Rebecca Chamberlin, C-AAC, MS G740 Zwe

Pat Martinez, C-AAC, MS G740 Pm 12/14/17

Phone: 7-1841/5-1646

Symbol: C-AAC-17-0078

Date: 12/14/2017

### SUBJECT: Analytical Results for Drum 94068 Pre-Treatment Composite Sample

Sample Summary					
Drum #	94068				
Type of Sample	Pre-Treatment	Pre-Treatment RNS			
Sample collection date	10/31/17	10/31/17 11/14/17			
Analysis start date	11/14/17				
Sample description	RNS material composite prepared from equa portions of heterogeneous solid Top, Middle				
- "					
	and Bottom drum samples.				
pH (1 g solid / 25 mL water)	2.7				
Calculated pH of interstitial liquid	0.6				
Weight Loss Determination	% weight loss		(% uncertainty)		
≤ 110 °C	14.9 <u>+</u> 0.8		(5.4%)		
≤ 600 °C	65.8 <u>+</u> 0.7		(1.0%)		
Radionuclides (NDA, SNAP)	nCi/g	μg/g	(% uncertainty)		
Am 241	1.10E+05	32	(5.3%)		
Am 243	3.01E+01	0.2	(5.7%)		
Np 237	2.31E+00	3.3	(5.6%)		
Pu 238	1.58E+03	0.1	(10.5%)		
Pu 239	5.21E+04	840	(5.5%)		
Pu 240	1.24E+04	55	(6.6%)		
Pu 241	6.84E+04	0.7	(6.3%)		
Anions (Ion Chromatography)***	μg/g +/- 10% e	μg/g +/- 10% except where noted**			
Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> *)	230,000 (23 wt	230,000 (23 wt%) (50%)			
Nitrite (NO <sub>2</sub> -)	Not Detected				
Chloride (Cl <sup>-</sup> )	820		(45%)		
Fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )	650		(73%)		
Sulfate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )	370		(86%)		
Oxalate (C <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )	11000 (1.1 wt%	6)	(80%)		



C-AAC-17-0078 12/15/17

RCRA Metals (ICP-MS/AES)	μg/g +/- 20% except where noted**	
Silver (Ag)	0.24	
Arsenic (As)	0.04	
Barium (Ba)	1.5	
Cadmium (Cd)	2.3	
Chromium (Cr)	40	
Mercury (Hg)	< 0.1	
Lead (Pb)	16000 (1.6 wt%)	
Selenium (Se)	0.1	(90%)
Cations (ICP-MS/AES)	μg/g +/- 20% except where noted**	
Sodium (Na)	71000	
Aluminum (Al)	1200	
Calcium (Ca)	3300	
Potassium (K)	1300	
Magnesium (Mg)	8000	(23%)
Iron (Fe)	240	(33%)
Copper (Cu)	37	(33%)
Nickel (Ni)	54	(24%)
Silicon (Si)	27	
Zinc (Zn)	27	
Manganese (Mn)	16	
Beryllium (Be)	< 0.6	
Estimated Composition	wt% (g/100 g sample)	(% uncertainty)
Anions	24 <u>+</u> 12	(51%)
Cations	8.5 <u>+</u> 1.2	(14%)
Water	14.9 <u>+</u> 0.8	(5.4%)
Calculated Organic Material (combustible)	33 <u>+</u> 11	(34%)
Calculated Organic Material (combustible)	33 <u>+</u> 11	(34%)

**Undissolved:** The XRF analysis identified Pb as the major element in the undissolved sample. Other elements detected were Al, Ca, Fe, Cu, Pu, and Zr.

Oxidizers (as $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$ )	22.9 <u>+</u> 11.4	(50%)
Oxidizers (as NaNO <sub>2</sub> + NaNO <sub>3</sub> )	31.3 <u>+</u> 15.6	(50%

<sup>\*</sup>The NDA SNAP results are reported with 2 X standard deviation ( $2\sigma$ ). All other uncertainties are reported as 1 X standard deviation ( $1\sigma$ ).

<sup>\*\*</sup>Measurement uncertainty is 10% for anions and 20% for cations/RCRA. Uncertainties in excess of these values may be a result of sample inhomogeneity.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Replicate anion values diverge significantly compared to other RNS samples. Ion Chrometography QC checks were within normal range. This sample may be much more heterogeneous than previous RNS samples. A confirmation analysis will be performed.

C-AAC-17-0078 12/15/17

### **Sample Photos**



94068-TOP



94068-MIDDLE



94068-BOTTOM



94068 Composite

Labware LIMS# 22971. Analytical procedures and work instructions used:

- 1) ANC 212, Ion Chromatography
- 2) ANC 102, Inductively Coupled Plasma—Mass Spectrometry Using the VG Elemental Plasma Quad
- 3) ANC 221, Operating the Jobin-Yvon (JY) Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometer
- 4) WI-5, Analytical Sample Receipt, Subsampling, and Distribution within Analytical Chemistry
- 5) WI-30, Chemical Analysis, Characterization and Research
- 6) WI-42, Radiochemical Research and Development at CMR
- 7) NF-ANC-124, Nuclear Materials-Weight Loss Determination
- 8) ANC1325, X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometers in CMR

Cy: Craig Taylor, C-AAC, MS G740 C-AAC File

## **ENCLOSURE 2**

Comparison Table of Expected Chemical Constituents/Properties

EPC-DO: 17-550

LA-UR-17-31275

DEC 1 9 2017

### **Expected Chemical Constituents/Properties of Pre-Treatment Nitrate Salt-Bearing Waste**

Analyte	Analysis Results	Expected Range within Waste	Unit
		Stream	
Nitrate	23 ± 50 wt%	20-70	%
Lead	1.6 wt%	0-40	%
Water	$14.9 \pm 0.8 \text{ wt}\%$	10-30	%
Sodium	7.1 wt%	0-25	%
Aluminum	1,200 ppm	0-10,000	ppm
Calcium	3,300 ppm	0-10,000	ppm
Iron	240 ppm	0-10,000	ppm
Magnesium	8,000 ppm	0-50,000	ppm
Potassium	1,300 ppm	0-10,000	ppm
Arsenic	0.04 ppm	0-1	ppm
Barium	1.5 ppm	0-10	ppm
Beryllium	< 0.6 ppm	0-1	ppm
Cadmium	2.3 ppm	0-100	ppm
Chromium	40 ppm	0-1,000	ppm
Copper	37 ppm	0-1,000	ppm
Gallium	Not measured	0-1,000	ppm
Mercury	< 0.1 ppm	0-1	ppm
Nickel	54 ppm	0-1,000	ppm
Selenium	< 0.1 ppm ± 90%	0-1	ppm
Silicon	27 ppm	0-1,000	ppm
Silver	0.24 ppm	0-1	ppm
Chloride	820 ppm ± 45%	0-1,000	ppm
Fluoride	650 ppm ± 73%	0-1,000	ppm
Nitrite	Not detected	0-1,000	ppm
Oxalate	1.1 % ± 80%	0-1	%
Sulfate	370 ppm± 86%	0-10,000	ppm
pH of	2.7	0-7	рН
moistened solid			•
Organic Matter	33.3 ± 11.2 wt%	5-90	%