ER-AP-20320, R0

Validation of **LC-MS/MS** Perchlorate **Analytical Data**

		Effective Da	ite:	4/25/2017
		Next Review Date:		4/25/2020
Hazard Class: Usage Mode:	☑ Low☑ Reference	Moderate UET		igh/Complex oth UET & Reference

The Responsible Manager has determined that the following organizations' review is required for initial procedure release as well as subsequent major revisions. Review documentation is contained in the Document History File.

Technical Leads

Quality Assurance

Classification Review:	\boxtimes	Unclassified UC	NI Classified
Diana Hollis	/ 111125	/ /s/ Diana Hollis	/ 4/18/2017
Name (print)	Z#	Signature	Date
Responsible Manager, Divisi	on and Title		
Nita Patel	/ 153003	/ /s/ Nita Patel	/ 4/20/2017
Name (print)	Z#	Signature	Date

Document No.:ER-AP-20320Revision:0Effective Date:4/25/2017Page:2 of 14

Reference

REVISION HISTORY

Document No./Revision No.	Issue Date	Action	Description
OIO-TP-5191, Rev. 0	8/5/2016	Minor Revision	Changed Document type and Organization. Replacing SOP-5191 to OIO-TP-5191
ER-AP-20320, R0	4/25/2017	Major Revision	Revised to reflect the guidance from the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Methods Data Review, January 2017 (EPA- 540-R-2017-002) holding time requirements and remove NNSA Model Validation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section

Page

	TITLE PAGE	1
	REVISION HISTORY	2
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	3
1.	PURPOSE	
2.	SCOPE	4
4.	PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS	4
5.	PREREQUISITE ACTIONS	4
6.	PERFORMANCE	5
6.1	Validation Process	5
6.2	Analyte Quantitation	6
6.3	Analyte Identification	
6.4	Holding Times and Sample Preservation	
6.5	Initial and Continuing Calibration	
6.6	Internal Standards	
6.7	Blanks	
6.8	Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Samples	
7.	RECORDS	10
8.	REFERENCES	10
9.	ATTACHMENTS	10
	Attachments	

Attachment 1, Data Validation Cover Sheet	. 11
Attachment 2, LC-MS/MS Perchlorate Analytical Data Validation Checklist	. 12

1. PURPOSE

This procedure represents the minimum standards for evaluating perchlorate analytical data by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry / mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) methods.

2. SCOPE

This document is intended to assist in the technical review of analytical data generated by environmental laboratories. Qualification of data is the product of data validation, analytical laboratory analysis, and focused validation that describe validation anomalies and their consequences.

3. BACKGROUND

Data qualifiers and reason codes are assigned to analytical results from perchlorate analyses according to the specifications in this method-specific procedure. These guidelines are developed using the EPA method-specific data quality criteria and/or National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review.

4. **PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS**

Nothing in this procedure precludes the data validator from going beyond the minimum requirements specified within this procedure. If additional directions are required, the data validator shall reference the EPA method-specific guidelines and/or National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review. Implementation of this procedure may be followed by a more focused and data-use-specific evaluation of the data by the project chemist, especially if the implementation of this procedure indicates the data may contain technical deficiencies.

5. **PREREQUISITE ACTIONS**

Data Validators must:

- Possess a minimum of a bachelor's degree in chemistry or one of the physical sciences AND either two (2) years of experience in generating analytical data in an environmental analytical laboratory OR two (2) years of data validation experience.
- Complete Attachment 1, Data Validation Cover Sheet, and Attachment 2, LC-MS/MS Perchlorate Analytical Data Validation Checklist, during data validation.

6. **PERFORMANCE**

6.1 <u>Validation Process</u>

EIM applies a subset of qualifiers described in this procedure to analytical data using autovalidation subroutines. EIM auto-validation applies qualification to analytical records using tests listed in Attachment 2 that have a Valid Reason Description containing "(AV)". When the project leader requests a focused validation the assigned data validator completes the following steps to assess all potential analytical data qualification:

- REVIEW the qualifiers assigned during EIM auto-validation to verify that qualifiers were assigned consistently with this procedure. If auto-validation qualification is found to be inconsistent with this procedure then the validator initiates a change request using ER-AP-20304, Change Control for Data in the Environmental Information Management (EIM) Database.
- [2] **PRINT** Attachment 1 and **REVIEW** the data package for potential qualification using Attachment 2.
- [3] **NOTE** conditions causing recommendation for qualification and options for qualification.
- [4] **COMPLETE** Attachment 1 and **FORWARD** to the project leader with conditions and options.

The project leader is the responsible party for making the decision of record if validation qualifiers should be assigned and EIM validation records updated. This record of decision is added to comments section of Attachment 1.

Once the decision of record has been made, Attachment 1 is sent to the Sample Management Office (SMO) staff. The SMO staff re-print the data validation record from EIM and add Attachment 1 that includes the record of decision to the final records package.

6.2 <u>Analyte Quantitation</u>

The assignment of the detection status to analytical measurements is the first step of analytical data validation. Most validation qualifiers and validation reason codes are applied based on the measurement's initial detection status. Results that are less than the report method detection limit (RMDL) are qualified as nondetect with the U validation qualifier. Results greater than or equal to the RMDL and less than the report detection limit (RDL) are qualified as detected and estimated with the J validation qualifier. Results greater than or equal to the RDL are qualified as detected and estimated with the J validation qualifier. Results greater than or equal to the RDL are qualified as detected and estimated with the NQ validation qualifier.

Criteria	Validation Qualifier	Validation Reason Code
Target analyte result is < RMDL; a	U	U_LAB
nondetect		
Target analyte result is \geq RMDL and	J	J_LAB
< RDL; a detect		
Target analyte result is \geq RDL; a	NQ	NQ
detect		

Since a result can have only one validation qualifier and one validation reason code the sequencing of validation steps is important. Analyte quantitation occurs first, then analyte identification, because most other validation functions depend on the correct identification and quantitation of the analytical parameter. When two or more qualifiers can be applied to a record, the qualifier representing the more severe consequence to data usability supersedes the qualifier with less severe consequence. The R validation qualifier has the greatest impact on data usability and supersedes other validation qualifiers.

6.2 <u>Analyte Quantitation</u> (continued)

Order Of Severity	Validation Qualifier	Description
1	R	The reported sample result is classified as rejected due to serious noncompliance regarding quality control acceptance criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.
2	UJ	The analyte is classified as not detected, with an expectation that the reported result is more uncertain than usual.
3	U	The analyte is classified as not detected.
4	J	The analyte is classified as detected but the reported concentration value is expected to be more uncertain than usual.
5	NQ	No validation qualifier flag is associated with this result, and the analyte is classified as detected.

LANL project chemists may identify quality deficiencies in analytical results affecting analyte quantitation. These deficiencies can include analytical results with detection limits elevated above project data-quality objectives, concentrations above the calibration range of the instrument or method, results exhibiting carryover or detector contamination, large relative percent difference between dual-column detects, chromatographic interference from another analyte, and other quality deficiencies. The reason code of PE19 is applied to affected records by the project chemist to identify these quality deficiencies when they are identified.

6.3 <u>Analyte Identification</u>

The identification of an analytical parameter is the second step of analytical data validation. Identification of perchlorate depends upon the relative retention time of the compound to the known retention time of the compound in the calibration standard, and the relative intensity of the mass spectrum of the perchlorate in a sample to the known intensity of the compound in a calibration standard. When mass spectral analyte identification criteria are not met the PE8 series of reason codes are applied to affected parameters. When relative retention time criteria are not met the PE0 series of reason codes are applied to affected parameters.

6.4 Holding Times and Sample Preservation

Sample handling requirements are specified to ensure integrity and defensibility of analytical measurements. Samples are to be prepared and analyzed within specified time limits. Samples are also preserved chemically and physically by controlling temperature and light. When sample handling requirements are not met the PE9 series of reason codes are applied to affected samples.

6.5 Initial and Continuing Calibration

Calibration is performed to set the operating range of the instrument and to ensure that the instrument is performing within specifications. The initial calibration and verification is performed prior to the start of analyses. Continuing calibration checks and instrument performance samples are performed periodically during analysis to ensure the instrument is providing accurate results. When initial calibration criteria are not met the PE7 series of reason codes are applied to affected analytes in all samples analyzed after the unacceptable initial calibration to the next acceptable initial calibration for that instrument. When continuing calibration criteria or are not met the PE7 series of reason codes are applied to affected analytes in all samples analyzed after the unacceptable continuing calibration to the next acceptable continuing calibration for that instrument. The 83/85 isotopic ratio reflects the isotopic ratio of naturally occurring ³⁵Cl/³⁷Cl in perchlorate samples. Where the isotopic ratio of a sample is not similar to the isotopic ratio of initial and continuing calibration standards it is an indication that the method is not sensitive enough to measure accurately the concentration of perchlorate in the sample. When instrument performance checks do not meet criteria the PE16 series of qualifiers are applied to affected analytes in all samples analyzed after the unacceptable instrument performance check to the next acceptable instrument performance check for that instrument.

6.6 Internal Standards

Internal standards are compounds not normally found in the environment, but which are easily measurable. They are added to samples, standards, and QC samples to compensate for fluctuations in the analytical system. Sample results are quantitated or adjusted by the relative response of associated internal standards. When internal standard criteria are not met the PE1 series of reason codes are applied to the affected sample.

6.7 <u>Blanks</u>

The Method Blank is an analyte-free matrix that is prepared and analyzed in the laboratory with the samples. The method blank determines contamination from the analytical processes. Method blanks are prepared with every preparation batch. If more than one method blank is associated with a given sample, qualification is based upon a comparison with the associated blank having the highest concentration of the parameter. When method blank criteria are not met the PE4 series of reason codes are applied affected samples.

6.8 Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Samples

The laboratory control sample is created by adding known amounts of parameters of interest to an aliquot of a blank matrix. The laboratory control sample is used to evaluate the effect of the analytical process of the recovery of analytes. When laboratory control sample criteria are not met the PE12 series of reason codes are applied to all associated samples.

The matrix spike is created by adding known amounts of parameters of interest to an aliquot of a sample matrix. The matrix spike is used to evaluate the effect of the sample matrix on the recovery of analytes. When matrix spike criteria are not met the I6 series of reason codes are applied to all associated samples.

7. **RECORDS**

Records generated by this procedure will be submitted to the Environmental Protection Records Management Office for document management in accordance with Institutional Records Management Procedure, P1020-1 and EP-AP-10003, Records Management.

- Completed Data Validation Cover Sheets
- Completed LC-MS/MS Perchlorate Analytical Data Validation Checklists

8. **REFERENCES**

EP-AP-10003, Records Management

ER-AP-20304, Change Control for Data in the Environmental Information Management (EIM) Database

P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management

9. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Data Validation Cover SheetAttachment 2: LC-MS/MS Perchlorate Analytical Data Validation Checklist

ATTACHMENT 1

Page 1 of 1

Data Validation Cover Sheet

Section I.									
Request N	umber:		Validation Date	:			Lab Code:		
Contract Laboratory Name:									
Validator: Organization:									
Analytical	Analytical Suite (Check All That Apply):								
TPH-GRO High Explosives Dioxin Furans					LCMSMS Perchlorates				
🗌 ТРН	-DRO		☐ Metals & Cyanide □] PCB C	ongene	rs	Organochlorine		
🗌 Gene	eral Chemi	istry] LCMSN xplosives	AS Hig	h	Pesticides/Polychlorinated Biphenyls		
Othe	er (Describ	e):							
			Section II. Com	pleteness	Check				
YES	NO	N/A	(check one)	YES	NO	N/A	(check one)		
			1. Chain-Of-Custody Form(S)				6. Raw/BSS Data		
			2. Case Narrative				7. Quality Control Forms		
			3. Sample Result Forms				8. Quantitation Reports		
			4. Sample Chromatograms				9. TICS Forms		
			5. Standard Chromatograms				10. TICS Mass Spectra		
Comments/problems noted (include information about requests for further information submitted to the contract laboratory and agreed-upon date of resolution and contract laboratory point of contact):									
Validator's Signature: Date:									
ER-AP-20320, R0							Los Alamos Environmental Safety & Health		
							tach additional comment sheets as necessary)		

 Document No.:
 ER-AP-20320

 Revision:
 0

 Effective Date:
 4/25/2017

 Page:
 12 of 14

Reference

ATTACHMENT 2

Page 1 of 3

Yes	No	N/A		LC-MS/MS Perchlorate Analytical Data	Assign Qualifier If Criterio			
(Check One)			Validation Checklist	Non-detected Analyte	Detected Analyte			
Holding Time and Sample Preservation								
			1.	The preserved sample was analyzed > 28-day holding time.	UJ, PE9b	J-, PE9b		
			2.	The holding time was > 2 times the applicable holding time requirement.	R, PE9a	J-, PE9a		
Calil	bratio	n – Ini	tial a	nd Continuing				
			3.	The affected results were not analyzed with a valid 5- point calibration curve and/or a standard at the reporting limit.	UJ, PE7	J, PE7		
			4.	The affected analytes were analyzed with an initial calibration curve that exceeded the %RSD criteria and/or the associated multipoint calibration correlation coefficient is <0.99.	UJ, R, PE7a	J, PE7a		
			5.	The ICV and/or CCV were recovered outside the method limits.	UJ, R, PE7c	J, PE7c		
			6.	The ICV and/or CCV were not analyzed at the appropriate method frequency.	UJ, R, PE7d	J, PE7d		
			7.	Required calibration information is missing or samples were analyzed on an expired calibration. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information.	R, PE7f	R. PE7f		
			8.	The Contract Required Detection Limit check standard (CRI) sample did not pass method- acceptance limits.	UJ, R, PE16	J, PE16		
			9.	The Interference Check Sample was not within $\pm 20\%$ of the known value.	UJ, PE16a	J, PE16a		
			10.	The required CRI sample information is missing. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information.	R, PE16c	R, PE16c		
			11.	The Cl 83/85 peak area ratio is >30% of the average peak area ratio of the mid-range calibration standard	UJ, PE16c	J, PE16c		

 Document No.:
 ER-AP-20320

 Revision:
 0

 Effective Date:
 4/25/2017

 Page:
 13 of 14

Reference

ATTACHMENT 2

Page 2 of 3

Yes	Yes No N/A			LC-MS/MS Perchlorate Analytical Data	Assign Quali Below If Crite	
(Check One))ne)		Validation Checklist	Non-detected Analyte	Detected Analyte
Blaı	nks					
			12.	The sample result is $\leq 5X$ the concentration of the related analyte in the method blank.	N/A	U, PE4
			13.	The affected analytes are considered estimated and biased high because this analyte was identified in the method blank but was $>5X$.	N/A	J+, PE4a
			14.	The sample result is $\leq 5X$ the concentration of the related analyte in the trip blank, rinsate blank, and/or equipment blank.	N/A	U, PE4d
			15.	Required method blank information is missing. Data may not be acceptable for use. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information.	R, PE4e	R, PE4e
Inte	rnal	Stand	lard			1
			16.	The IS area count is <25% of the expected value.	UJ, PE1a	J, PE1a
			17.	The IS area count is $<70\%$ but $>25\%$ of the average of that obtained from the calibration standards.	UJ, PE1b	J, PE1b
			18.	The IS area count is $>130\%$ of the average of that obtained from the calibration standards.	UJ, PE1c	J, PE1c
			19.	Required IS information is missing. Data may not be acceptable for use. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information.	R, PE1d	R, PE1d
Ana	lyte	Identi	ifica	tion		·
			20.	The perchlorate RRT is outside the acceptance range of 0.98 to 1.02 seconds.	R, PE0	J, PE0
			21.	Required Internal Standard (IS) retention time documentation is missing. Data may not be acceptable for use. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information.	R, PE0b	R, PE0b
			22.	The affected analyte is considered not detected because ion abundance ratios did not meet specifications.	N/A	R, PE8
			23.	The ion ratio documentation is missing. Data may not be acceptable for use. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information.	N/A	R, PE8a

 Document No.:
 ER-AP-20320

 Revision:
 0

 Effective Date:
 4/25/2017

 Page:
 14 of 14

Reference

ATTACHMENT 2

Page 3 of 3

Yes	No	N/A		LC-MS/MS Perchlorate Analytical Data	Assign Qualifier If Criterie	
(Cł	(Check One)			Validation Checklist	Non-detected Analyte	Detected Analyte
Lab	orat	ory C	ontr	ol Samples		
			24.	The LCS percent recovery was <10%. Follow the external laboratory limits.	R, PE12	J-, PE12
			25.	The LCS percent recovery was < the Lower Acceptance Limit but >10%. Follow the external laboratory limits.	UJ, PE12a	J-, PE12a
			26.	The LCS percent recovery was > the Upper Acceptance Limit. Follow the external laboratory limits.	N/A	J+, PE12b
			27.	The LCS documentation is missing. Data may not be acceptable for use. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information.	R, PE12c	R, PE12c
Matu	rix Sp	ike Saı	mples		·	
			28.	The MS/MSD percent recovery was <10%	R, PE12d	R, PE12d
			29.	The MS/MSD percent recovery was >10% but <75%	UJ, PE12e	J, PE12e
			30.	The MS/MSD percent recovery was >125%.	N/A	J+, PE12f
			31.	The MS/MSD relative percent difference was >20% for aqueous samples or >30% for solid samples.	UJ, PE12g	J, PE12g
Anal	yte Q	uantita	ation			
			1	The non-detected analytes have elevated detection limits and may not meet project data-quality objectives because the sample was diluted without any target analytes identified as a result of matrix interference. Reject non-detected results if the analytical laboratory cannot provide proof for matrix interference.	UJ, R, PE15	N/A
			33.	The sample was diluted because target analytes were > the initial verification calibration.	UJ, PE15a	J, PE15a
			34.	The LANL project chemist identified quality deficiencies in the reported data that require further qualification. This code can ONLY be used under advisement by the LANL project chemist.	UJ, R, PE19	J, R, PE19
			35.	Qualification of data via data validation did occur, however no data quality control requirements in this procedure were applicable. Adhere to the external laboratory qualifiers found within the Form 1 analytical data summary sheets generated by the external laboratory. (AV)	U, U_LAB	J, J_LAB NQ, NQ (No qualification)