

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1	UCL Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects											
2												
3	User Selected Options											
4	Date/Time of Computation			ProUCL 5.110/13/2016 3:07:35 PM								
5	From File			ProUCL input 01-007(b) 0-1, 0-5, 0-10_b.xls								
6	Full Precision			OFF								
7	Confidence Coefficient			95%								
8	Number of Bootstrap Operations			2000								
9												
10												
11	Chromium											
12												
13	General Statistics											
14	Total Number of Observations				42		Number of Distinct Observations				38	
15							Number of Missing Observations				0	
16	Minimum				1.33		Mean				8.096	
17	Maximum				41.1		Median				3.7	
18	SD				8.796		Std. Error of Mean				1.357	
19	Coefficient of Variation				1.086		Skewness				1.947	
20												
21	Normal GOF Test											
22	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.719		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
23	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.942		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
24	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.227		Lilliefors GOF Test					
25	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.135		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
26	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
27												
28	Assuming Normal Distribution											
29	95% Normal UCL					95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)						
30	95% Student's-t UCL				10.38		95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)				10.76	
31							95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)				10.45	
32												
33	Gamma GOF Test											
34	A-D Test Statistic				1.664		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
35	5% A-D Critical Value				0.773		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
36	K-S Test Statistic				0.194		Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
37	5% K-S Critical Value				0.14		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
38	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
39												
40	Gamma Statistics											
41	k hat (MLE)				1.213		k star (bias corrected MLE)				1.142	
42	Theta hat (MLE)				6.673		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				7.087	
43	nu hat (MLE)				101.9		nu star (bias corrected)				95.97	
44	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				8.096		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				7.575	
45							Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)				74.37	
46	Adjusted Level of Significance				0.0443		Adjusted Chi Square Value				73.69	
47												
48	Assuming Gamma Distribution											
49	95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50))				10.45		95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)				10.54	
50												
51	Lognormal GOF Test											
52	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.876		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
53	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.942	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
54	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.153	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test						
55	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.135	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
56	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level												
57													
58	Lognormal Statistics												
59	Minimum of Logged Data					0.285	Mean of logged Data					1.626	
60	Maximum of Logged Data					3.716	SD of logged Data					0.947	
61													
62	Assuming Lognormal Distribution												
63	95% H-UCL					11.19	90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					11.81	
64	95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					13.6	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					16.1	
65	99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					21							
66													
67	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics												
68	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)												
69													
70	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs												
71	95% CLT UCL					10.33	95% Jackknife UCL					10.38	
72	95% Standard Bootstrap UCL					10.35	95% Bootstrap-t UCL					11.06	
73	95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL					11.09	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL					10.32	
74	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL					10.87							
75	90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					12.17	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					14.01	
76	97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					16.57	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					21.6	
77													
78	Suggested UCL to Use												
79	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL					14.01							
80													
81	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.												
82	Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness.												
83	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006).												
84	However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.												
85													
86													
87	Nickel												
88													
89	General Statistics												
90	Total Number of Observations					42	Number of Distinct Observations					36	
91							Number of Missing Observations					0	
92	Minimum					0.504	Mean					3.802	
93	Maximum					15.9	Median					2.08	
94	SD					3.756	Std. Error of Mean					0.58	
95	Coefficient of Variation					0.988	Skewness					1.722	
96													
97	Normal GOF Test												
98	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.724	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test						
99	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.942	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level						
100	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.287	Lilliefors GOF Test						
101	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.135	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level						
102	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level												
103													
104	Assuming Normal Distribution												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
105	95% Normal UCL						95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)						
106	95% Student's-t UCL					4.777	95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)						4.92
107							95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)						4.803
108													
109	Gamma GOF Test												
110	A-D Test Statistic					1.956	Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test						
111	5% A-D Critical Value					0.768	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
112	K-S Test Statistic					0.232	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test						
113	5% K-S Critical Value					0.139	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
114	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level												
115													
116	Gamma Statistics												
117	k hat (MLE)					1.46	k star (bias corrected MLE)					1.371	
118	Theta hat (MLE)					2.605	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					2.773	
119	nu hat (MLE)					122.6	nu star (bias corrected)					115.2	
120	MLE Mean (bias corrected)					3.802	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					3.247	
121							Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)					91.4	
122	Adjusted Level of Significance					0.0443	Adjusted Chi Square Value					90.65	
123													
124	Assuming Gamma Distribution												
125	95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50))					4.791	95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)					4.831	
126													
127	Lognormal GOF Test												
128	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.902	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test						
129	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.942	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
130	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.178	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test						
131	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.135	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
132	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level												
133													
134	Lognormal Statistics												
135	Minimum of Logged Data					-0.685	Mean of logged Data					0.955	
136	Maximum of Logged Data					2.766	SD of logged Data					0.853	
137													
138	Assuming Lognormal Distribution												
139	95% H-UCL					5.015	90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					5.345	
140	95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					6.091	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					7.127	
141	99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					9.162							
142													
143	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics												
144	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)												
145													
146	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs												
147	95% CLT UCL					4.755	95% Jackknife UCL					4.777	
148	95% Standard Bootstrap UCL					4.753	95% Bootstrap-t UCL					5.044	
149	95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL					4.899	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL					4.801	
150	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL					4.899							
151	90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					5.541	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					6.329	
152	97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					7.422	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					9.569	
153													
154	Suggested UCL to Use												
155	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL					6.329							
156													

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
157	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.											
158	Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness.											
159	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006).											
160	However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.											
161												
162	Selenium											
163												
164	General Statistics											
165	Total Number of Observations				42	Number of Distinct Observations				30		
166	Number of Detects				26	Number of Non-Detects				16		
167	Number of Distinct Detects				18	Number of Distinct Non-Detects				12		
168	Minimum Detect				0.17	Minimum Non-Detect				0.54		
169	Maximum Detect				1.6	Maximum Non-Detect				3.07		
170	Variance Detects				0.095	Percent Non-Detects				38.1%		
171	Mean Detects				0.384	SD Detects				0.308		
172	Median Detects				0.285	CV Detects				0.801		
173	Skewness Detects				2.921	Kurtosis Detects				9.707		
174	Mean of Logged Detects				-1.136	SD of Logged Detects				0.547		
175												
176	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only											
177	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.642	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test						
178	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.92	Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level						
179	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.313	Lilliefors GOF Test						
180	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.17	Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level						
181	Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
182												
183	Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs											
184	KM Mean				0.375	KM Standard Error of Mean				0.0555		
185	KM SD				0.29	95% KM (BCA) UCL				0.478		
186	95% KM (t) UCL				0.469	95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL				0.473		
187	95% KM (z) UCL				0.466	95% KM Bootstrap t UCL				0.542		
188	90% KM Chebyshev UCL				0.542	95% KM Chebyshev UCL				0.617		
189	97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL				0.722	99% KM Chebyshev UCL				0.927		
190												
191	Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only											
192	A-D Test Statistic				1.543	Anderson-Darling GOF Test						
193	5% A-D Critical Value				0.751	Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
194	K-S Test Statistic				0.226	Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF						
195	5% K-S Critical Value				0.172	Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
196	Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
197												
198	Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only											
199	k hat (MLE)				2.937	k star (bias corrected MLE)				2.624		
200	Theta hat (MLE)				0.131	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.147		
201	nu hat (MLE)				152.7	nu star (bias corrected)				136.4		
202	Mean (detects)				0.384							
203												
204	Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects											
205	GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs											
206	GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20)											
207	For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs											
208	This is especially true when the sample size is small.											

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
209	For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates										
210	Minimum				0.17	Mean				0.362	
211	Maximum				1.6	Median				0.317	
212	SD				0.248	CV				0.685	
213	k hat (MLE)				4.093	k star (bias corrected MLE)				3.817	
214	Theta hat (MLE)				0.0884	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.0948	
215	nu hat (MLE)				343.8	nu star (bias corrected)				320.6	
216	Adjusted Level of Significance ( $\beta$ )				0.0443						
217	Approximate Chi Square Value (320.62, $\alpha$ )				280.1	Adjusted Chi Square Value (320.62, $\beta$ )				278.8	
218	95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when n>=50)				0.414	95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when n<50)				0.416	
219											
220	Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates										
221	Mean (KM)				0.375	SD (KM)				0.29	
222	Variance (KM)				0.0842	SE of Mean (KM)				0.0555	
223	k hat (KM)				1.672	k star (KM)				1.569	
224	nu hat (KM)				140.5	nu star (KM)				131.8	
225	theta hat (KM)				0.224	theta star (KM)				0.239	
226	80% gamma percentile (KM)				0.578	90% gamma percentile (KM)				0.773	
227	95% gamma percentile (KM)				0.963	99% gamma percentile (KM)				1.39	
228											
229	Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics										
230	Approximate Chi Square Value (131.77, $\alpha$ )				106.3	Adjusted Chi Square Value (131.77, $\beta$ )				105.4	
231	95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when n>=50)				0.465	95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when n<50)				0.469	
232											
233	Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only										
234	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.885	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
235	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.92	Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
236	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.174	Lilliefors GOF Test					
237	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.17	Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
238	Detected Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level										
239											
240	Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects										
241	Mean in Original Scale				0.358	Mean in Log Scale				-1.148	
242	SD in Original Scale				0.245	SD in Log Scale				0.44	
243	95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data)				0.422	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL				0.427	
244	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL				0.446	95% Bootstrap t UCL				0.482	
245	95% H-UCL (Log ROS)				0.397						
246											
247	Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution										
248	KM Mean (logged)				-1.151	KM Geo Mean				0.316	
249	KM SD (logged)				0.522	95% Critical H Value (KM-Log)				1.914	
250	KM Standard Error of Mean (logged)				0.101	95% H-UCL (KM -Log)				0.424	
251	KM SD (logged)				0.522	95% Critical H Value (KM-Log)				1.914	
252	KM Standard Error of Mean (logged)				0.101						
253											
254	DL/2 Statistics										
255	DL/2 Normal					DL/2 Log-Transformed					
256	Mean in Original Scale				0.719	Mean in Log Scale				-0.657	
257	SD in Original Scale				0.559	SD in Log Scale				0.83	
258	95% t UCL (Assumes normality)				0.864	95% H-Stat UCL				0.97	
259	DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons										
260											

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
261	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics										
262	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level										
263											
264	Suggested UCL to Use										
265	95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL				0.617						
266											
267	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.										
268	Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness.										
269	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006).										
270	However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.										
271											
272	Plutonium-239/240										
273											
274	General Statistics										
275	Total Number of Observations				35	Number of Distinct Observations				34	
276	Number of Detects				25	Number of Non-Detects				10	
277	Number of Distinct Detects				25	Number of Distinct Non-Detects				9	
278	Minimum Detect				0.135	Minimum Non-Detect				-0.0117	
279	Maximum Detect				20.1	Maximum Non-Detect				0.224	
280	Variance Detects				30.35	Percent Non-Detects				28.57%	
281	Mean Detects				5.613	SD Detects				5.509	
282	Median Detects				3.96	CV Detects				0.981	
283	Skewness Detects				1.235	Kurtosis Detects				1.045	
284											
285	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only										
286	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.854	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
287	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.918	Detected Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
288	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.16	Lilliefors GOF Test					
289	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.173	Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
290	Detected Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level										
291											
292	Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics using Normal Critical Values and other Nonparametric UCLs										
293	KM Mean				4.007	KM Standard Error of Mean				0.901	
294	KM SD				5.221	95% KM (BCA) UCL				5.571	
295	95% KM (t) UCL				5.53	95% KM (Percentile Bootstrap) UCL				5.559	
296	95% KM (z) UCL				5.489	95% KM Bootstrap t UCL				5.988	
297	90% KM Chebyshev UCL				6.709	95% KM Chebyshev UCL				7.933	
298	97.5% KM Chebyshev UCL				9.632	99% KM Chebyshev UCL				12.97	
299											
300	Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only										
301	A-D Test Statistic				0.374	Anderson-Darling GOF Test					
302	5% A-D Critical Value				0.777	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
303	K-S Test Statistic				0.129	Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF					
304	5% K-S Critical Value				0.18	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
305	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level										
306											
307	Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only										
308	k hat (MLE)				0.903	k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.821	
309	Theta hat (MLE)				6.219	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				6.838	
310	nu hat (MLE)				45.13	nu star (bias corrected)				41.05	
311	Mean (detects)				5.613						
312											

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
313	Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates											
314	Mean (KM)				4.007	SD (KM)				5.221		
315	Variance (KM)				27.26	SE of Mean (KM)				0.901		
316	k hat (KM)				0.589	k star (KM)				0.558		
317	nu hat (KM)				41.23	nu star (KM)				39.03		
318	theta hat (KM)				6.803	theta star (KM)				7.186		
319	80% gamma percentile (KM)				6.602	90% gamma percentile (KM)				10.59		
320	95% gamma percentile (KM)				14.8	99% gamma percentile (KM)				25.06		
321												
322	Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics											
323						Adjusted Level of Significance ( $\beta$ )					0.0425	
324	Approximate Chi Square Value (39.03, $\alpha$ )				25.72	Adjusted Chi Square Value (39.03, $\beta$ )					25.21	
325	95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$ )				6.081	95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$ )					6.205	
326												
327	Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
328	KM Mean (logged)				N/A	KM Geo Mean				N/A		
329	KM SD (logged)				N/A	95% Critical H Value (KM-Log)				N/A		
330	KM Standard Error of Mean (logged)				N/A	95% H-UCL (KM -Log)				N/A		
331	KM SD (logged)				N/A	95% Critical H Value (KM-Log)				N/A		
332	KM Standard Error of Mean (logged)				N/A							
333												
334	DL/2 Statistics											
335	Mean in Original Scale				4.018	SD in Original Scale				5.289		
336	95% t UCL (Assumes normality)				5.529							
337	DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons											
338												
339	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics											
340	Detected Data appear Approximate Normal Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
341												
342	Suggested UCL to Use											
343	95% KM (t) UCL				5.53							
344												
345	When a data set follows an approximate (e.g., normal) distribution passing one of the GOF test											
346	When applicable, it is suggested to use a UCL based upon a distribution (e.g., gamma) passing both GOF tests in ProUCL											
347												
348	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.											
349	Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness.											
350	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006).											
351	However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.											
352												